

# OPUS2

Fishmongers' Hall Inquests

Day 29

May 26, 2021

Opus 2 - Official Court Reporters

Phone: 0203 008 6619

Email: [transcripts@opus2.com](mailto:transcripts@opus2.com)

Website: <https://www.opus2.com>

1 Wednesday, 26 May 2021  
 2 (10.00 am)  
 3 (In the presence of the jury)  
 4 Summing—up  
 5 JUDGE LUCRAFT: Good morning, everyone. Nice to see you.  
 6 As I indicated, I'm now going to sum up the evidence  
 7 to you. Once I have done that, I will give you some  
 8 legal directions. I will endeavour to provide you with  
 9 a summary of the evidence you have listened to over the  
 10 last six weeks. I will cover all of the evidence, but  
 11 will focus in particular on the evidence dealing with  
 12 the management of Khan in the community, the sharing of  
 13 information and guidance regarding Khan, and the  
 14 organisation of and security measures for the event at  
 15 Fishmongers' Hall. The reason for highlighting those  
 16 areas will become clear in due course, when I take you  
 17 through the legal directions and the questionnaire that  
 18 you will be asked to respond to. Although I am going to  
 19 give you my summary of the evidence that you have  
 20 listened to, in due course you must form your own view  
 21 of the evidence that you have heard.  
 22 Before I start on the summing—up of the evidence,  
 23 can I just say one or two things. At the heart of these  
 24 Inquest hearings are two young lives that were lost when  
 25 Usman Khan launched his attack on 29 November 2019. It

1

1 is clear from what we have heard that both Jack and  
 2 Saskia's lives enriched those around them. Their lives  
 3 were tragically cut short. Each of Jack and Saskia had  
 4 demonstrated a profound commitment to the good of  
 5 society: Jack, through his work on education and  
 6 rehabilitation of offenders, and Saskia, through her  
 7 research work in the field of sexual violence and her  
 8 concerns for victim support in the justice system.  
 9 In the early part of this hearing, we heard evidence  
 10 of remarkable courage and compassion. Steven Gallant,  
 11 John Crilly, Darryn Frost and Lukasz Koczocik showed  
 12 great bravery in fighting off Khan and subduing him.  
 13 Members of the public and the emergency services went to  
 14 the aid of those who had been injured, including at  
 15 a time when the scene was not known to be safe. The  
 16 police responded to the emergency with speed, and  
 17 firearms officers dealt with Khan at close quarters,  
 18 when most or all thought they may be dealing with  
 19 an IED. Lives were saved as well as lost in this  
 20 terrible attack.  
 21 Amy Coop, Millicent Grant, Catherine Jaquiss,  
 22 Benjamin Jarman and Judge John Samuels were all  
 23 attendees at the Learning Together event held at  
 24 Fishmongers' Hall on Friday, 29 November 2019. They set  
 25 the scene for the events at Fishmongers' Hall.

2

1 Ms Grant, a chartered legal executive, and  
 2 Ms Jaquiss, a barrister, attended the creative writing  
 3 workshop and turning points workshop session  
 4 respectively. They heard Usman Khan speaking, but  
 5 neither were concerned by his behaviour. Both  
 6 Mr Jarman, a PhD student at Cambridge University, and  
 7 Ms Jaquiss, sat at a table with Khan during the morning.  
 8 Mr Jarman described him as anxious. Ms Jaquiss noted  
 9 that Khan had said that he had been involved with a  
 10 group that had led him down the wrong path, he had now  
 11 seen that was wrong and he said something about going  
 12 a different way now.  
 13 Ms Coop, a filmmaker making a short film about the  
 14 event, was in the Banqueting Hall filming and looking  
 15 for more subjects to film. The last footage she took  
 16 was at 13.57. Ms Coop, Ms Jaquiss, Mr Jarman and  
 17 Ms Grant were in the Banqueting Hall and they all heard  
 18 screaming coming from outside it.  
 19 Ms Coop left the Banqueting Hall and looked down the  
 20 stairway from the landing at the top. She could see  
 21 Saskia Jones looking very unwell and someone trying to  
 22 administer first aid. Judge Samuels, the retired judge,  
 23 and a retired member of the Parole Board, was on the  
 24 balcony when he heard screams and looked down, where he  
 25 too saw Saskia lying collapsed on the stairs.

3

1 Ms Jaquiss and Ms Grant saw Dr Amy Ludlow leave the  
 2 Banqueting Hall and come back seconds later saying they  
 3 needed to call for the police and the ambulance.  
 4 Dr Ludlow then went back out and shut the door, taking  
 5 her phone with her. Ms Coop and Judge Samuels saw  
 6 Dr Ludlow on her phone, and we know the first call to  
 7 the emergency services was at 13.59.  
 8 Ms Coop saw Stephanie Szczotko coming up the stairs  
 9 appearing distressed, covered in blood and being  
 10 assisted. Judge Samuels saw Darryn Frost with a narwhal  
 11 tusk. Ms Jaquiss, Ms Grant and Mr Jarman saw  
 12 Ms Szczotko come into the Banqueting Hall covered in  
 13 blood, receiving assistance from someone. Ms Jaquiss,  
 14 Ms Coop, Ms Grant and Judge Samuels were all evacuated  
 15 and heard several gunshots.  
 16 DC James Boyce set the scene for evidence concerning  
 17 the attack in Fishmongers' Hall taking us through  
 18 a compilation of the footage that covered the movements  
 19 of Jack before the attack on him. We know that Jack  
 20 left the building at 13.37, coming back in at 13.39.  
 21 Ama Otchere was a housekeeping supervisor at  
 22 Fishmongers' Hall. She had just returned the keys for  
 23 the ladies' powder room to the reception desk just  
 24 before 2 o'clock and was by the cloakroom desk when she  
 25 heard someone calling from the gentlemen's toilets. As

4

1 she went to push the door, Khan came out wearing  
 2 a padded jacket and a long knife in his right hand which  
 3 was held up. He held his finger to his mouth, gesturing  
 4 to her not to scream. She said that he had anger on his  
 5 face. She saw him stab Saskia in her shoulder and then  
 6 she ran off. Ms Otchere ran towards the powder room,  
 7 and went through a door leading to the service  
 8 staircase, she was shouting and screaming. She saw  
 9 Lukasz, a porter from Fishmongers' Hall, and asked him  
 10 to call the police as someone was stabbing people. He  
 11 said he was going to deal with the situation.

12 Izzy Rowbotham, a student at Anglia Ruskin and  
 13 a part-time office manager for Learning Together was by  
 14 the reception desk with Simon Larmour, a research  
 15 associate with Learning Together, that was just before  
 16 2 o'clock. They saw Jack coming from the area in the  
 17 opposite corner, near the bathroom, shouting that he had  
 18 been stabbed. Jack was hunched over, holding his  
 19 stomach, and was walking towards the reception area  
 20 office. Blood could be seen on his white shirt. We  
 21 know this was at about 13.57. They then saw Khan a few  
 22 metres away coming towards them with two knives, and  
 23 Izzy said "No Usman, no, please, no, don't".

24 As it was obvious he was not going to stop, she  
 25 turned to her left, hunched down to protect herself.

5

1 She felt him stabbing her multiple times. She was on  
 2 the floor and when he had gone she decided to play dead.  
 3 She tried to phone the emergency services and was later  
 4 aware of the police arriving and receiving first aid.

5 Mr Larmour had dropped his phone and jumped over the  
 6 table and went to the reception office, asking  
 7 Dawn Batchelor, a receptionist for the company, to call  
 8 911, then 999. Ms Batchelor was in her office just  
 9 before 2.00 when Jack entered followed by Mr Larmour.  
 10 They both saw Jack collapse in the office. Mr Larmour  
 11 tried to find a weapon, open a window, and then he put  
 12 Jack in a better position and tried to stop the  
 13 bleeding. He tried to find material he could use to put  
 14 pressure on the wounds. He said that Jack passed out  
 15 quite quickly and was moaning, his eyes were glazed  
 16 over, and he looked pale.

17 Ms Batchelor saw Khan with a knife, holding it up  
 18 near head height, about 6-8 foot from her. He looked at  
 19 her, but said nothing. She then asked Gareth Watkins,  
 20 a security officers at the Fishmongers' Hall, who also  
 21 saw Jack and Khan run towards the office, to shut the  
 22 door, which he did, and kept his hand on the handle to  
 23 keep it shut. Mr Watkins recalled Khan against the  
 24 door. Ms Batchelor called the emergency services and we  
 25 know that she was on the phone between 2.00 and 2.10.

6

1 About a week before the event, Mr Larmour had called  
 2 Khan to say he would be meeting him at the station. It  
 3 was a short call but Mr Larmour noted that Khan seemed  
 4 a bit off. He then spoke to Lisa Ghiggini and told her  
 5 he seemed a bit weird and depressed.

6 At about 7.30 on the morning of the event, he  
 7 received a call from Khan, who was erratic and  
 8 panicking, as his train had been cancelled. Jack  
 9 assisted in finding him a new train. Mr Larmour said he  
 10 went with John Crilly to Euston station where they met  
 11 Khan. He appeared okay and quite normal. They then  
 12 travelled together to Fishmongers' Hall.

13 Mr Watkins saw various bits of furniture flying  
 14 through the air towards Khan and Khan was now near the  
 15 security door and he pointed one of the knives towards  
 16 Andrew Ransom's chest telling him to let him out.  
 17 Mr Ransom paused for a while and eventually used his key  
 18 fob on the panel by the door to open it. Mr Watkins  
 19 then grabbed a fire extinguisher from the reception  
 20 office to protect himself and ran out of the entrance  
 21 and yelled at people on the bridge to get away. Both  
 22 Ms Batchelor and Mr Larmour recall others coming in to  
 23 render first aid to Jack.

24 Mr Watkins saw Mr Ransom and Amy Spolton entering  
 25 the reception office when he reentered

7

1 Fishmongers' Hall. Ms Batchelor saw the police coming  
 2 into Fishmongers' Hall, and this was at 2.05, ran into  
 3 the reception office a few minutes later. She, along  
 4 with Mr Ransom, tried to get the defibrillator off the  
 5 wall. Mr Larmour helped the police medics take Jack's  
 6 clothes off and then left the office. When he walked  
 7 out, he heard gunshots. He also saw someone helping  
 8 Ms Rowbotham out, and then he went upstairs and saw  
 9 Saskia, who was injured.

10 Mr Watkins administered first aid to Ms Rowbotham by  
 11 applying pressure to her wounds and saw Mr Koczocik  
 12 grabbing a boarding pike. He was told by the police to  
 13 continue applying pressure and then to get  
 14 a defibrillator.

15 PC Kate Langtry, PS Daniel Murphy, PS James Minney  
 16 and PC Stuart Parke, officers of the City of London  
 17 Police were at Wood Street Police Station just before  
 18 2.00 when they received the call to an incident. They  
 19 travelled from the station to London Bridge using lights  
 20 and sirens, coming down the north carriageway where they  
 21 got out of their van. PC Langtry went to the southbound  
 22 carriageway by foot and told all persons to clear the  
 23 area.

24 We know from the transcripts of the body-worn camera  
 25 that this was at about 14.06, 14.07. PC Murphy and

8

1 PS Minney ran down the north carriageway to Fishmongers'  
 2 Hall, cleared the bridge, and PS Murphy alongside  
 3 PC Parke cleared shops on the south carriageway.

4 There were armed officers in the vicinity of  
 5 Fishmongers' Hall with their guns out, and other  
 6 officers at the top of the steps of the Hall. PS Minney  
 7 told PS Murphy there were casualties inside, and  
 8 PS Murphy then signalled to PC Langtry and PC Parke to  
 9 go to Fishmongers' Hall and they ran down the north  
 10 carriageway. PC Minney asked if the armed officers had  
 11 a defibrillator as someone had come out from  
 12 Fishmongers' Hall asking for one. YX97, an armed  
 13 officer in Trojan City 1 threw a first aid bag towards  
 14 him and he took it.

15 PS Minney saw that Ms Rowbotham, Mr Koczocik and  
 16 Jack were injured and then went back to the entrance and  
 17 called the other officers for assistance. PC Minney  
 18 directed PC Langtry and PS Murphy to the reception  
 19 office and passed the first aid bag to those dealing  
 20 with Saskia and Ms Rowbotham. PC Langtry and PS Murphy  
 21 went into the office and saw people administering first  
 22 aid to Jack. They began to administer first aid.  
 23 Clothing was removed and they did a top to toe body  
 24 check for other injuries. PS Murphy went out of the  
 25 office and asked PC Venning to get scissors to help

9

1 remove the clothing. Tourniquets were applied, chest  
 2 seals. PS Murphy noted that Jack reacted, and made  
 3 an attempt to get up before laying back and stopping  
 4 breathing. They realised there was no pulse and so they  
 5 commenced CPR. Jack had gone from thrashing quite  
 6 violently to being quite still.

7 PS Murphy was doing mouth-to-mouth whilst others did  
 8 compressions. At one point he heard something, stopped,  
 9 and lowered himself to check for breathing, but there  
 10 was nothing. There was a rasping sound and to  
 11 PC Langtry it was agonal breathing, consistent with Jack  
 12 going into cardiac arrest.

13 They put the defibrillator pads on Jack's body and  
 14 then PC Langtry, PS Nash and PS Murphy commenced CPR.  
 15 We know, again, from the body-worn camera this was about  
 16 14.14 to 14.15, and at 14.16, a vital signs check was  
 17 being made and at 14.17 the defibrillator was saying  
 18 "shock not advised". PC Langtry and Nash alternated on  
 19 chest compressions and PC Venning came in and assisted  
 20 by counting.

21 Darren Settle is part of the Metropolitan Police  
 22 firearms unit. On the day he was an acting inspector  
 23 and undertook the role of tactical firearms commander.  
 24 He was in an ARV with another officer, Acting Inspector  
 25 Mark Atkins, in the duty officer's car, Trojan 1. At

10

1 about 2.00 pm they got the radio message about the  
 2 incident and started heading towards London Bridge.  
 3 Mr Settle estimated they arrived 5-10 minutes. He tried  
 4 to establish the facts and set up a control towards the  
 5 north side of the bridge, asking various units to come  
 6 and join him, including explosives officers and the  
 7 London Ambulance Service.

8 He received confirmation from the control room that  
 9 Operation Plato had been declared, and again, we know  
 10 that's at 14.09. Mr Settle sent Acting Inspector Atkins  
 11 to make a ground assessment and he reported back that  
 12 a bomb dog had a look or a sniff of the suspect but gave  
 13 no clear indications. He explained that they could  
 14 trust the dog to a degree, but needed a person trained  
 15 in explosives to render the device safe. However, it  
 16 changed the risk he was prepared to take and meant that  
 17 he could justify sending in the LAS forward to help to  
 18 save lives.

19 PC Pete Cade, a Metropolitan Police Service  
 20 specialist firearms command was on duty with other  
 21 officers in a marked police vehicle. They were in the  
 22 Oxford Circus area when they received a call that  
 23 support was needed at Fishmongers' Hall. Whilst en  
 24 route, the most relevant update they received was that  
 25 Khan was possibly wearing an IED and PC Cade heard

11

1 repeated shouts of "critical shot", as well as hearing  
 2 gunfire. They arrived on the scene within a matter of  
 3 minutes, parked at the bottom of London Bridge with the  
 4 junction of Cannon Street and Eastcheap and then ran  
 5 down the road. PC Cade directed the driver to take  
 6 a medic bag. He took a ballistic shield and they went  
 7 to the front of Fishmongers' Hall.

8 He spoke to YX97 and was told that Khan had been  
 9 shot and, he believed, neutralised. YX97 himself was at  
 10 Bishopsgate Police Station when he got that call and  
 11 travelled using sirens and blue lights to the scene. We  
 12 know from the transcripts, again, of the body-worn  
 13 cameras, this is at 14.15. He is asking about officers  
 14 with advanced defibrillators and a little later, Mr Cade  
 15 directs Ms Ors, an officer, to bring the ambulance  
 16 service forward but for them to be made aware that there  
 17 was an IED threat. PS Cade then went into  
 18 Fishmongers' Hall himself to assess the scene and saw  
 19 Jack and then Saskia receiving CPR. He left the Hall to  
 20 retrieve another medic bag, following a shout from  
 21 an ARV officer, and then went to assist in providing  
 22 first aid to Ms Szczotko.

23 Carlton Cullinan and Nicholas Eve, paramedics with  
 24 the London Ambulance Service, were in Cheapside when  
 25 they got the call at 14.06 about the incident. They got

12

1 in their vehicles and went to London Bridge. They  
 2 arrived at 14.09. Mr Eve parked on the southbound side  
 3 of London Bridge and Mr Cullinan parked on the  
 4 northbound side. Mr Cullinan went to Mr Eve's vehicle  
 5 as it wasn't clear then why the road was blocked. As he  
 6 was discussing the case with Mr Eve, they heard gunfire.  
 7 Mr Eve reported back to the control room, and  
 8 Mr Cullinan went to his vehicle and put on his ballistic  
 9 equipment. There was further gunfire whilst he was  
 10 putting on his ballistic kit, and when the gunfire  
 11 stopped, they were getting their equipment ready if  
 12 casualties came towards them.

13 At 14.05, the Helicopter Emergency Medical Service  
 14 team, consisting of Dr Andrew Milne, a registrar with  
 15 the London Air Ambulance, Laura Pugsley, a HEMS  
 16 paramedic, and Dr Samy Sadek, a consultant, got a call  
 17 about the incident. They arrived by the fast response  
 18 car at the rendezvous point by Monument station at 2.20.  
 19 They met with Mr Eve and Mr Cullinan. Mr Eve approached  
 20 the HEMS team to fill them in on what he knew.  
 21 Ms Pugsley recalls Mr Cullinan putting on protective  
 22 kit. They were then brought forward to  
 23 Fishmongers' Hall.

24 Mr Cullinan recalls a plan being made with HEMS to  
 25 go into the warm zone, extricate two patients in cardiac

1 arrest. Mr Eve and Mr Cullinan entered  
 2 Fishmongers' Hall. They told the police to continue  
 3 with the CPR and gave instruction to get Jack out of the  
 4 building, that was at 14.21, as they wanted to get out  
 5 of the warm zone to somewhere where more extensive  
 6 treatment could be given.

7 Jack was seen to be put on a Sked and they needed to  
 8 get him out very quickly. After Jack had been taken  
 9 out, Mr Cullinan provided care to Ms Rowbotham and  
 10 assisted in getting her out of the building as well.

11 PS Murphy, PC Venning and PC Nash used the Sked to  
 12 carry, then drag Jack north to the mouth of the junction  
 13 between King William Street and Cannon Street. The HEMS  
 14 team themselves entered Fishmongers' Hall at 14.22.  
 15 Ms Pugsley recalls them stopping momentarily to discuss  
 16 entering a warm zone. They went from patient to patient  
 17 doing a very quick triage. Ms Rowbotham had lost a lot  
 18 of blood, but was breathing, talking and moving. Saskia  
 19 had received a stab wound to the neck, had lost a lot of  
 20 blood, no longer had a cardiac output, was in cardiac  
 21 arrest and had been so for 15 minutes. Jack had stab  
 22 wounds to the arms and chest, had lost output moments or  
 23 minutes before they arrived and CPR was ongoing. Given  
 24 the hazards at the scene and the potential IED, they  
 25 felt they needed to get patients with a chance of them

1 helping to the casualty clearing area as fast as  
 2 possible.

3 In Dr Milne's assessment at the time, Saskia did not  
 4 have any meaningful chance of recovery. She had lost  
 5 a lot of blood, had been in cardiac arrest too long, and  
 6 because of where the injury was, they did not have  
 7 a meaningful hope of reversing it. Jack too was in  
 8 cardiac arrest, and the region where he had been stabbed  
 9 and the briefer duration of the cardiac arrest meant  
 10 that in his mind they had a chance to reverse it and  
 11 they wanted to get him out first. Ms Rowbotham had also  
 12 lost a lot of blood and they needed to resuscitate her  
 13 as rapidly as possible as well.

14 Dr Milne then went to Ms Rowbotham where there were  
 15 some police medics and made an assessment, and Dr Sadek  
 16 spoke to the team looking after Saskia, and then the  
 17 team came back together. They left the scene at 14.26  
 18 and made their way to the casualty clearing point.

19 PC Harvey Sampford was by Bishopsgate Police Station  
 20 when he heard the message that shots had been fired. He  
 21 and other officers were driven to the scene in  
 22 an unmarked vehicle by John Jeffrey, a police sergeant.  
 23 They parked facing south on the north carriageway.  
 24 PC Sampford helped to clear the area and buildings and  
 25 set up a cordon before coming back towards King William

1 Street. He saw Jack on the Sked and went to help.

2 He also saw Veronica Merchant and Joanna Tew, LAS  
 3 paramedics, arrive. They had been at Piccadilly when  
 4 they received the call. They arrived at 14.24.  
 5 Ms Merchant tells the control that Jack was in traumatic  
 6 cardiac arrest and called for further assistance. CPR  
 7 continued with PC Taylor taking over the compressions  
 8 from PC Langtry. PC Sampford did some chest  
 9 compressions as well and, as directed by Ms Merchant,  
 10 swapped the defibrillator pads whilst she and Ms Tew  
 11 monitored and dealt with an airway. Again, we know from  
 12 the body-worn video footage transcripts that the time  
 13 now is at about 14.26. She inserted an airway to keep  
 14 it open and allow ventilation.

15 At one point, PS Murphy was given the airbag which  
 16 he used to administer breaths in between compressions.  
 17 Dr Milne and Dr Sadek performed a clamshell thoracotomy  
 18 on Jack, opening his chest to perform the surgery and to  
 19 carry out some investigations, this was at 14.29.  
 20 Ms Merchant was assisting by putting her hand in the  
 21 chest to occlude the aorta so they could assess the  
 22 heart. Dr Milne explained that with a collapsed lung, a  
 23 pneumothorax, you may get a release of air under tension  
 24 and a release of blood, which would suggest thoracic  
 25 bleeding, but there was none here. There was no cardiac

1 tamponade or a build up of air preventing the heart  
 2 beating properly. Then they had to focus on the  
 3 condition of the heart itself and that told them that  
 4 the heart was empty and there was nothing that could be  
 5 done. The decision was taken to stop the resuscitation,  
 6 and at 14.33, Dr Milne formally pronounced life extinct.

7 Whilst Ms Pugsley waited for Ms Rowbotham to be  
 8 brought to her, she went to see how Jack was and she  
 9 agreed with the course of action to stop, before  
 10 returning herself to deal with Ms Rowbotham.

11 DC Boyce set the scene of the evidence concerning  
 12 the attack on Saskia and the emergency care efforts by  
 13 taking us through a compilation of the CCTV as it  
 14 related to her. Saskia arrived at Fishmongers' Hall at  
 15 about 10.55, left on a couple of occasions during the  
 16 day. We saw some images that show her sitting at the  
 17 same table as Khan. She appeared to be speaking with  
 18 him before the opening session.

19 At 13.47, Saskia left the Hall to smoke a cigarette  
 20 outside with Marc Conway, a police officer at the Prison  
 21 Reform Trust, and Steve Machin, a governor from  
 22 HMP Whitemoor, and Michelle Molver, a student support  
 23 worker at Pentonville Prison who undertook a module with  
 24 Learning Together. Saskia returned to the Hall between  
 25 13.56 and 13.57 on her own.

17

1 Mr Conway, Mr Machin and Ms Molver returned to the  
 2 doors of Fishmongers' Hall just before 2.00. As they  
 3 approached, they heard a commotion, shouting and  
 4 screaming. Mr Conway and Ms Molver looked through the  
 5 windows and saw people fighting with Khan. Mr Conway  
 6 recalls seeing Mr Koczocik hitting Khan, who had a knife  
 7 in each hand, and with a chair, and Khan not reacting to  
 8 it. Mr Conway told Mr Machin and Ms Molver that they  
 9 should move to the other side of the bridge. They  
 10 crossed the road and Mr Conway called the police.

11 Mr Conway and Ms Molver saw Khan leaving  
 12 Fishmongers' Hall followed by Mr Crilly, who was holding  
 13 a fire extinguisher, and Mr Gallant, who was holding the  
 14 narwhal tusk, thrusting it towards Khan. Mr Conway saw  
 15 that they were involved in an altercation and so ran to  
 16 help them. When he crossed the bridge, they were about  
 17 100 yards from the fall. The group had stopped and had  
 18 managed to knock Khan to the floor. Mr Conway started  
 19 to get the knives from Khan and recalled stamping on  
 20 Khan's hand. He may have got a few kicks in. He heard  
 21 Mr Crilly say not to let go of his hands because he had  
 22 a bomb.

23 He recalled having his foot on Khan's arm and  
 24 feeling Khan trying to get to his waist, where he saw  
 25 strapping. He heard armed police arrive and he moved

18

1 away as instructed. He heard the taser being fired, and  
 2 then saw the police shoot Khan twice. Mr Conway was  
 3 telling the police to shoot Khan in the head, as he had  
 4 a bomb.

5 Sandra Bufano, a waitress at the Hall, returned to  
 6 the Hall about 13.45 following her break, and went to  
 7 the cloakroom to relieve another member of staff.  
 8 Whilst there, Saskia came out to leave her coat.  
 9 Ms Bufano heard two sets of screams, with about a 10–15  
 10 second gap, and once the second scream started again,  
 11 she said to Saskia "What are they doing in there?"  
 12 Saskia, who was rummaging through her bag, didn't appear  
 13 to respond. Ms Bufano then saw Khan step out from the  
 14 gentlemen's toilet, he was in front of the counter,  
 15 staring at her. Ms Bufano noticed that he had a black  
 16 mask on but thought nothing of it. Khan made a step,  
 17 and Saskia was about a metre and a half away from him.  
 18 He came closer, and Saskia stepped back. As Khan moved  
 19 closer, Saskia continued to take steps back. Ms Bufano  
 20 said she couldn't see anything in his hands. Saskia was  
 21 moving back towards the corner, and Khan was now in  
 22 front of her. Ms Bufano then saw a movement of his arm  
 23 and Saskia screamed. The movement was close to her neck  
 24 and she saw Saskia put out a hand to her neck. Other  
 25 than Saskia's screams, it had been silent.

19

1 Ms Bufano said that she then saw Jack standing where  
 2 Khan had been at the bottom of the stairs. He had come  
 3 from the toilets. She ran the opposite way to Khan,  
 4 shouted out to call the police and barricaded herself  
 5 into the kitchen. After hearing the alarm sound, she  
 6 then heard gunshots.

7 Gareth Evans, a former prisoner at HMP Grendon, who  
 8 had an associate with Learning Together, was speaking to  
 9 Judge Samuels and Mr Crilly on the landing when they  
 10 heard screams. Mr Evans and Mr Crilly went downstairs  
 11 to check. They saw Saskia standing on the stairs by the  
 12 pillars. She was walking towards Mr Evans, holding the  
 13 right-hand side of her neck with her left hand. As  
 14 Mr Evans went towards her, Saskia collapsed and he  
 15 caught her. She let go of her neck and lots of blood  
 16 came out. She looked like she was trying to say  
 17 "Please". Mr Evans sat her down on the first few steps  
 18 and was talking to her. She was then conscious, and he  
 19 was saying comforting things to her, that she was loved,  
 20 that she was beautiful. He also put his hand on her  
 21 wound and he asked Adam Roberts to help him.

22 Mr Roberts, a prison officer, based at both  
 23 HMP Grendon and Springhill, but mostly Springhill, who  
 24 had escorted Mr Gallant to the event at  
 25 Fishmongers' Hall, and James Ford, a former prisoner at

20

1 Grendon who had an association with Learning Together,  
 2 were in the Banqueting Hall when they heard the  
 3 screaming. Mr Roberts went to the landing, where he saw  
 4 Saskia lying on the stairs with her head towards the top  
 5 of the stairs and her feet towards the bottom.  
 6 Mr Roberts said he took over first aid. He sat next to  
 7 Saskia, shielded her and put pressure on her wound and  
 8 used his jacket to try and stop the bleeding. He told  
 9 Saskia to look at him and not Khan.

10 The bleeding seemed to stop, and then Saskia started  
 11 gasping, rather than breathing. When she started  
 12 gasping he picked her up to put her on a flat surface to  
 13 start to give CPR, but then also to get pressure back on  
 14 her wound. Within about five minutes of Mr Roberts  
 15 being there and just after 2.05, Saskia was no longer  
 16 breathing. We know from the police record of calls that  
 17 Dr Ludlow who was on the stairs with them provided this  
 18 information at 14.05.

19 Mr Ford, who had seen Ms Szczotko come in holding  
 20 her wrist, could see Saskia from the balcony. He went  
 21 to assist in providing CPR to Saskia. When he first  
 22 moved towards Saskia, she had her eyes open. He checked  
 23 her pulse but couldn't find one. Someone told him her  
 24 name, so he called her name and she blinked. She knew  
 25 they were there. He was squeezing her right hand.

21

1 CPR, we know, started at about 2.05, and Mr Ford had  
 2 been with her for two or three minutes by that stage.  
 3 In the early stages of CPR he was giving chest  
 4 compressions and recovery breaths; others helped with  
 5 the CPR while Mr Roberts held the wound.

6 PC Parke, PC Matthew Bedingfield and PC Chris Hook  
 7 are officers of the City of London Police. PC Parke was  
 8 at Wood Street Police Station and PC Bedingfield and  
 9 PC Hook at Bishopsgate Police Station when they each  
 10 received the call about this incident.

11 When they arrived, PC Parke, Sergeant Murphy and  
 12 PC Langtry went to clear the bridge. PC Parke went into  
 13 a Pret café and shouted to all to leave and to make  
 14 their way to the north from the scene. This took  
 15 a couple of minutes. PC Bedingfield went to the Hall  
 16 and saw Saskia receiving first aid. He then took over  
 17 compressions and left the Hall to get a defibrillator.

18 PC Minney was at the entrance to Fishmongers' Hall,  
 19 saying that there were casualties inside. PC Parke went  
 20 in where he could see Saskia at the bottom of the  
 21 stairs, PC Bedingfield on her left-hand side doing chest  
 22 compressions and Mr Roberts still applying pressure to  
 23 her neck.

24 PC Parke asked Mr Roberts about the number of wounds  
 25 and the blood. Mr Roberts said he was only aware of

22

1 one, but a lot of blood had been pumping out. PC Parke  
 2 offered to take over from PC Bedingfield and then  
 3 Mr Roberts. PC Hook entered at about 2.09 and began  
 4 rescue breaths on Saskia. CPR was ongoing whilst  
 5 PC Parke cut away some clothes and prepared to apply  
 6 defibrillator pads.

7 At 14.11, PC Parke is recorded referring to  
 8 a gurgling sound and saying that he thought he had found  
 9 a pulse. To PC Hook, the sound meant the airway was  
 10 compromised, whether by a blockage or damage, and he  
 11 informed his colleagues of that and they tried to make  
 12 an inspection to see if the airway was clear. It  
 13 appeared to be clear, and so CPR continued.

14 At one point they noted a twitch of Saskia's eyes.  
 15 PC Hook found a possible pulse on her left wrist and  
 16 asked PC Parke to check the right wrist, where he  
 17 thought he found a faint pulse. PC Parke is heard  
 18 making comments about Saskia's pupils not reacting when  
 19 checking for signs of life. PC Hook had a concern as to  
 20 whether it was reliable as they had CPR ongoing and  
 21 Mr Roberts' hand was still pressed to the wound on her  
 22 neck and may have been affecting the pulse that they  
 23 thought they were detecting. They discussed weighing up  
 24 the risk of Mr Roberts removing his hands and relieving  
 25 the pressure on the wound, but also to ensure that the

23

1 defibrillator was getting an accurate reading.

2 At 14.17, PC Hook gave the instruction for  
 3 Mr Roberts to remove his hands so that he could assess  
 4 the wounds. When the hands were removed, he could see  
 5 that the wound was no longer bleeding, which he knew to  
 6 be a bad sign, as the blood pressure had dropped.

7 The defibrillator gave the message "no shock  
 8 advised", and PC Hook said "she's gone", but they were  
 9 still continuing with CPR despite that.

10 Mr Eve, an LAS paramedic and part of the Tactical  
 11 Response Unit arrived with them. Before attending to  
 12 Saskia, Mr Eve had seen Ms Rowbotham, was happy with the  
 13 treatment that she was being given and told those  
 14 providing the first aid to continue. He then went to  
 15 Saskia. Initially Mr Eve asked about the safety, and  
 16 whether they were happy to continue with CPR and to stay  
 17 in the Hall. He asked how long the CPR had been going  
 18 on for, and PC Hook said 5–10 minutes. He then asked  
 19 Mr Roberts how long he had been performing CPR before  
 20 the police arrived and was told that was about 5 minutes  
 21 too.

22 Mr Eve also asked if the defibrillator had advised  
 23 any shocks at any stage, and was told no. He felt it  
 24 had been a prolonged time with no cardiac output in the  
 25 10–15 minutes that they had been with her. He then made

24

1 an assessment of her airway and the wound. The airway  
 2 was open, and he was happy there was nothing obstructing  
 3 or occluding it. He was told that if Mr Roberts removed  
 4 his hand, blood would spurt out, but when the hand was  
 5 removed, no blood came. To him, the location of the  
 6 wound indicated that a major blood vessel had been  
 7 damaged, and Saskia had lost a lot of blood in the  
 8 course of the attack. Mr Eve thought there was nothing  
 9 more that could be done, and went to consult with  
 10 Dr Sadek.

11 Dr Sadek had entered Fishmongers' Hall at 14.22, and  
 12 following his assessment of all the casualties, he  
 13 advised them to cease CPR. We know from the timings on  
 14 various camera footage that this was at 14.23. Dr Sadek  
 15 explained that Saskia had been stabbed in the area of  
 16 the neck and bled, and as he had been informed, had been  
 17 in cardiac arrest for at least 15 minutes before this,  
 18 and in his opinion there was no intervention that would  
 19 save her life.

20 In response to questioning from Mr Pitchers,  
 21 Dr Sadek confirmed that he didn't think the faint pulse  
 22 that had been detected at around 2.12 would have made  
 23 any difference to his decision—making, or to the  
 24 management plan of Saskia. Dr Sadek also explained that  
 25 HEMS would not consider themselves able to reverse

1 cardiac arrest after 5–10 minutes. A formal declaration  
 2 of death on Saskia was made at 14.25.

3 Dr Sadek gave directions for Jack, Mr Koczocik,  
 4 Ms Rowbotham to be taken out of the Hall, and he then  
 5 went to Jack. He was told that he had been in cardiac  
 6 arrest for a few minutes, had signs of life when the  
 7 emergency services first arrived. This information and  
 8 the location of the wound meant Jack needed to get out  
 9 of the building and have immediate surgery. Dr Sadek  
 10 didn't think they could act safely and quickly to do the  
 11 surgery they needed to perform where they were. They  
 12 left the Hall at 14.26 and carried out the thoracotomy.

13 After leaving Saskia, Mr Evans moved towards Khan,  
 14 who was at the bottom of the stairs. He joined in the  
 15 efforts to drive him back to the entrance foyer. Once  
 16 they succeeded, Mr Evans closed the right—hand set of  
 17 doors leading to the entrance foyer. He looked through  
 18 the glass panels and saw Ms Rowbotham was by the window  
 19 and looked injured. Mr Evans then re—opened the doors  
 20 to go to help her. He spoke to her and tried to comfort  
 21 her. After Dr Sadek had finished treating Jack, he went  
 22 to Ms Rowbotham. She had very serious injuries and  
 23 after some treatment at the scene, she was taken to  
 24 hospital at 14.53.

25 We then heard, ladies and gentlemen, from others who

1 had witnessed the attack: Simon Bird, Mr Ransom,  
 2 Dmitri Anishenko and Jeffery Stevelman, who were  
 3 witnesses to the attack within Fishmongers' Hall. We  
 4 also heard from Ms Szczotko and Mr Koczocik who were  
 5 attacked by Khan whilst in the Hall.

6 Mr Bird, a maintenance electrician employed at the  
 7 Hall was in his ground floor office with Mr Ransom, a  
 8 maintenance engineer at the Hall. After hearing the  
 9 fire alarm they both went to the entrance hall and could  
 10 hear Khan shouting "Open the fucking door, open the  
 11 fucking door". Khan came towards Mr Ransom and put  
 12 a knife towards his chest saying to him "Open the  
 13 fucking door". In reply he said he did not have a fob  
 14 to open the door, and Khan said again "Just open the  
 15 fucking door". Mr Ransom then edged towards the  
 16 reception office. Before he could get there, other  
 17 doors into the reception area open and people came  
 18 through.

19 Mr Anishenko, a porter, heard a lady crying and went  
 20 into the corridor to look down to the ground floor to  
 21 see what was happening. Mr Koczocik, the porter at  
 22 Fishmongers' Hall, was on the lower ground floor just  
 23 before 2 o'clock, when Ms Otchere ran and said someone  
 24 had been stabbed. He went to the office to inform  
 25 Mr Stevelman, the head butler, and Antonella Santos that

1 someone had been stabbed and then ran upstairs to the  
 2 ground floor. Mr Stevelman told Ms Santos to call the  
 3 police and then himself went running after Mr Koczocik.

4 Mr Bird, Mr Anishenko, Mr Koczocik, all saw  
 5 an altercation between Khan and others. Mr Koczocik  
 6 followed slowly and took a boarding pike from the wall.  
 7 He went back to the lobby area from the staircase and  
 8 was face—to—face with Khan, trying to fight him off and  
 9 stab him with the pike. They were both in the foyer.  
 10 He thrust at him a couple of times, but Khan was batting  
 11 his attempts away, and then he hit him in the liver area  
 12 but it didn't seem to do anything to Khan. He didn't  
 13 know then whether he had anything under his jacket.

14 Khan then grabbed the pike with one hand and was  
 15 still holding the knives. He pulled the pike towards  
 16 him, hand over hand, and as they came closer, Khan  
 17 slashed Mr Koczocik's hand and his shoulder. He said at  
 18 the time he didn't feel the pain particularly, but Khan  
 19 had cut the tendon and he couldn't grip the pike any  
 20 more. Mr Koczocik moved backwards towards the main  
 21 staircase and Khan came towards him.

22 Mr Crilly was spraying the fire extinguisher at Khan  
 23 and others began to crowd him. Khan started to move  
 24 back. Mr Stevelman, who was in the area by the grand  
 25 staircase when he saw Mr Koczocik with the pike hitting

1 Khan, saw him pull open his jacket and say: "Call the  
 2 police", and "Get the police, I've got a bomb".  
 3 Mr Stevelman thought the vest looked fake, but he  
 4 had stabbed people, and in a situation like that, he had  
 5 not seen a suicide vest.  
 6 Mr Ransom was reluctant to open the door and  
 7 discussed this with Mr Evans who was in the reception  
 8 office with Jack. Mr Ransom eventually opened the door  
 9 and Khan went outside. Mr Evans and Mr Ransom then  
 10 closed the doors so Khan couldn't get back in. Khan  
 11 went down the stairs and turned onto London Bridge, then  
 12 they re-opened the doors and called out that he had  
 13 a knife. Khan turned around and came back a few of the  
 14 stairs towards the Hall. Mr Crilly let off the fire  
 15 extinguisher at him and went out after him followed by  
 16 the other men. Mr Koczocik saw that when they left the  
 17 Hall, he went out and began warning people he had a  
 18 knife. A few minutes later he saw Khan being shot with  
 19 a taser. He returned to Fishmongers' Hall where police  
 20 administered first aid to him. After they left the  
 21 Hall, Mr Ransom went downstairs to get first aid kits  
 22 and then helped with Jack.  
 23 Ms Szczotko, a criminology student, who undertook  
 24 a module with Learning Together, was by the main  
 25 stairway when she heard screams and shouts. She could

1 see 5–10 people running in front of her from her left to  
 2 her right. At the back of the group, she saw Khan, who  
 3 tried to talk to her. As he came closer, she noticed  
 4 the knife in his right hand above his head, and then she  
 5 remembered being struck by something. She instinctively  
 6 raised her arm, just as he struck, as a defence.  
 7 Ms Szczotko did not recall Khan being particularly  
 8 bothered, psyched up or angry. She described him as  
 9 "expressionless".  
 10 After being stabbed in the arm and the torso,  
 11 Ms Szczotko went upstairs and Dr Ruth Armstrong guided  
 12 her to the Banqueting Hall and then to a small toilet.  
 13 Ms Ghiggini took over and applied pressure to the wound.  
 14 They stayed there for some 5–10 minutes before going to  
 15 the corridor.  
 16 Ann-Marie Willison, a co-founder of the Divert  
 17 programme, was in the Banqueting Hall when she heard  
 18 screaming and saw Ms Szczotko enter covered in blood.  
 19 The alarm went off and she helped everyone out of the  
 20 Banqueting Hall before providing first aid to  
 21 Ms Szczotko. She was trying to stem the blood flow from  
 22 her injuries.  
 23 We also heard from Mr Stevelman that neither himself  
 24 nor his colleagues knew anything about the  
 25 Learning Together event or the types of people that

1 would be attending. They are given a function sheet  
 2 with information on it and tends to be a one-word  
 3 description, and for Learning Together it would probably  
 4 just say "charity". He knows now there were prisoners  
 5 attending the event and had he known before, he would  
 6 have felt obliged to tell his staff and given them  
 7 a choice. He could not be confident extra security  
 8 measures would have been followed had they known, as  
 9 they had not been used before.  
 10 Since the event, security procedures have changed,  
 11 and they now have a traffic light system on security  
 12 that flags where things may be an issue. High ranking  
 13 royals bring their own security, but they have different  
 14 levels of protocol as to whether they need extra  
 15 security or not. He said that a knife arch is in the  
 16 pipeline. One had not been used before November 2019,  
 17 nor had people using metal detector wands or bag  
 18 searches at the entrance or a police presence other than  
 19 for royals or dignitaries attending being used. His  
 20 personal take was that they would never have taken on  
 21 such an event as this. The event, he said, should never  
 22 have been held with this list of attendees at  
 23 Fishmongers' Hall.  
 24 I'm next, members of the jury, going to turn to  
 25 witnesses who are concerned with events on London Bridge

1 after Khan had left the Hall, and in this context we  
 2 heard from Mr Crilly, Mr Frost, Mr Gallant,  
 3 Charles Morgan and DC Jeremy Meek. They were all  
 4 involved in the altercation with Khan on the bridge. In  
 5 this context we also heard from Jojo Athappilly and  
 6 Conor Stevenson who saw the altercation.  
 7 Mr Crilly, a former prisoner at HMP Grendon who had  
 8 an association with Learning Together, was talking to  
 9 Judge Samuels on the balcony just before 2 o'clock when  
 10 he heard screams coming from downstairs. On the second  
 11 set of screams, he and Mr Evans went down the left  
 12 staircase. He could see Saskia on the stairs and Khan  
 13 at the bottom of the stairs by the pillars, screaming  
 14 and shouting. He recalls trying to start a dialogue  
 15 with Khan, who replied by shouting "Allah Akbar". He  
 16 walked past Saskia and approached Khan, who he could now  
 17 see had a suicide belt on. He said to him "What the  
 18 fuck are you doing? What's going on?" Khan responded,  
 19 "I'm going to kill you all, you're all fucking dead",  
 20 and then "Allah Akbar". He also said, "What the fuck  
 21 you got there?" and Khan said, "I'm going to blow you  
 22 up". He responded "It's fucking fake, blow it", to  
 23 which Khan said "I'm waiting for the police", to which  
 24 he then replied "What are you talking about, waiting for  
 25 the police?"

1 Mr Crilly then said he tried to distract him by  
 2 running around, and when Ms Ghiggini, who had been  
 3 trying to reason with Khan was out of the way, he threw  
 4 a wooden lectern at Khan. The lectern bounced off Khan  
 5 and then he went down the entrance way to the reception  
 6 area where Khan went to stab Ms Rowbotham. Mr Crilly  
 7 then said he hit him with a chair and Khan went back  
 8 into the corridor .

9 Mr Frost, part of the internal communications team  
 10 for HMPPS, part of the Ministry of Justice, and  
 11 Mr Gallant, a prisoner at Springhill who had  
 12 an association with Learning Together, had been in the  
 13 Banqueting Hall when they heard the commotion. They too  
 14 had seen Dr Ludlow enter and tell everyone to stay in  
 15 the Hall.

16 Mr Frost and Mr Gallant ran to the balcony and  
 17 Mr Frost ran down the left staircase , Mr Gallant down  
 18 the right. They saw Saskia on the stairs. Mr Frost  
 19 went to get a narwhal tusk from the area by the Court  
 20 Drawing Room. Mr Gallant grabbed a big piece of wood  
 21 and threw it at Khan, which connected lightly. Khan  
 22 then pulled back his coat to show the suicide belt. At  
 23 this point, Khan was two or three metres from  
 24 Mr Gallant. Mr Frost was now on his right with the  
 25 narwhal tusk, holding it to Khan's chest. Mr Frost

33

1 recalls Mr Gallant using a chair to push the swing door,  
 2 using it as a shield , and then Khan hitting the other  
 3 side of the door. Khan then stopped banging on the  
 4 door, saw the narwhal tusk, and said "I'm not here for  
 5 you, I'm here for the police, I'm waiting for the  
 6 police". This was said in a calm way, as if trying to  
 7 negotiate with him.

8 Someone then said "He's got a bomb", and Khan  
 9 motioned downwards with his head and confirmed this. He  
 10 said the stand-off lasted 10 or 15 seconds and Mr Frost  
 11 then recalls Mr Gallant throwing a chair at Khan which  
 12 glanced off his shoulder and then he began to go  
 13 forwards towards Mr Gallant.

14 Mr Frost said he passed the narwhal tusk he had to  
 15 Mr Gallant, who used it to try to stab Khan in the  
 16 chest, and managed to whack him on the shoulder with the  
 17 tusk. The tusk snapped, and then Khan was coming  
 18 towards him again, and so he backed off towards the main  
 19 staircase .

20 While someone was distracting Khan, Mr Gallant  
 21 picked up a chair and again whacked Khan with it. It  
 22 didn't have as much effect as he thought it would do and  
 23 Khan then came towards him and there was a bit of a  
 24 stand off, and again Khan said "I'm waiting for the  
 25 police, I'm waiting for the police".

34

1 Whilst others were confronting Khan, Mr Crilly went  
 2 back to the reception area and found a fire  
 3 extinguisher. Mr Crilly, Mr Frost and Mr Gallant  
 4 followed him, Mr Frost holding a second tusk. Khan was  
 5 then sprayed with the fire extinguisher .

6 Mr Stevenson, a risk analyst, was on his way to his  
 7 office , and Mr Athappilly was walking across the  
 8 Fishmongers' Hall side of the bridge when they saw Khan  
 9 coming out of Fishmongers' Hall being chased by a group  
 10 of men. Stevenson recalled that Khan had a knife which  
 11 seemed to come out from the back of his hand; he didn't  
 12 appear to have a grip of it. Khan started running  
 13 towards where Mr Athappilly was and he was about  
 14 2 metres away from Khan, and he noticed his expression  
 15 was confused, and looked awkward in his movements. He  
 16 thought he might be wearing something, as he wasn't  
 17 running freely .

18 Mr Morgan was walking past Fishmongers' Hall when  
 19 Khan ran out and ended up in front of him. He picked up  
 20 a flat wooden stick from the floor, before someone came  
 21 from behind and struck Khan.

22 About 50 metres or so up the road Khan stopped  
 23 running, faced Mr Frost, Mr Gallant and Mr Crilly, and  
 24 raised the knives. Mr Frost, who was 3–4 metres away  
 25 from him, saw flesh showing and thrust the narwhal tusk

35

1 towards Khan who buckled over slightly whilst swiping  
 2 with the knives. Mr Frost then pulled the narwhal tusk  
 3 back, Khan lowered the knives, and at that moment, the  
 4 fire extinguisher went off, creating a cloud.

5 Mr Gallant ran past Khan's left shoulder and was  
 6 directly behind him. He pulled Khan's shoulder  
 7 backwards and Khan fell to his knees. Mr Gallant  
 8 recalls Khan getting back to his feet and gave him  
 9 an upper cut to the face which made him fall back to the  
 10 ground.

11 Mr Frost then dropped the narwhal tusk, jumped onto  
 12 Khan's back, and reached for his wrists. He was on his  
 13 back slightly to the side. Mr Frost then had his hands  
 14 over Khan's knuckles and Khan was trying to roll over.

15 Mr Frost said he put all of his weight on Khan and  
 16 was almost face-to-face with him. People came running  
 17 and they were kicking and punching him to the head, and  
 18 so Mr Frost said he shifted himself to protect Khan's  
 19 head. Someone was stood on his hand, and Mr Frost was  
 20 shouting out "Don't hit him" and to take the knives.

21 Mr Crilly recalls hitting him to the head with the  
 22 extinguisher, and using it to hit the hands. Mr Crilly  
 23 said he grabbed his hands, tried to get the knives away.  
 24 Mr Crilly also recalls taking a knife from him and  
 25 striking him with the butt of the knife to the temple.

36

1 DC Meek, a British transport police officer was  
 2 walking towards London Bridge when he saw Khan being  
 3 chased and ran across the road. He knelt down and  
 4 prised Khan's hand open, taking a knife from him. He  
 5 saw armed officers arrive and took the knife to his  
 6 colleagues at the British Transport Police. Mr Frost  
 7 was grappling with Khan for a minute or so when he  
 8 became aware of the police officers shouting nearby  
 9 "Armed police, move away". Others moved away and  
 10 Mr Frost was left holding Khan. The police were firm  
 11 and shouting and were very close to him. His concern  
 12 was he didn't want Khan to get to be able to let off the  
 13 bomb. The officer was shouting loudly to him and  
 14 Mr Gallant grabbed Mr Frost's shoulder and said "Come  
 15 on, mate".  
 16 The police tried to remove him but he refused to  
 17 move. There was a bit of a struggle for a while. Khan  
 18 said to WS5, "I've got a bomb". The officer glanced  
 19 down and said "He's got a bomb" and for everyone to move  
 20 away. Mr Frost said he was still hesitant to comply  
 21 with the command to move away, but the officers caught  
 22 him off balance and pulled him away with more force.  
 23 After they all got away, a taser was fired and then  
 24 a gunshot, but Khan was still moving. Mr Crilly  
 25 recalled the police taking their time and thought Khan

1 had an opportunity to do something in response to the  
 2 officer's command before the taser shots and the shots  
 3 were fired.  
 4 We also heard from Mr Crilly about his time at  
 5 HMP Grendon. He explained that there were no  
 6 segregations or adjudications and it had a democratic  
 7 nature of a therapeutic community where the prisoners  
 8 had more say. He also explained that you have to work  
 9 your way down through the system from category A into  
 10 a dispersal prison. Mr Crilly said he had been in two  
 11 minds himself about attending the event because whilst  
 12 Learning Together were doing an amazing thing in prison,  
 13 there was a little less available outside prisons than  
 14 he had hoped for or he had expected.  
 15 In prison, he spoke about TACT offenders whipping  
 16 each other and throwing fat on people. He described  
 17 them as running every dispersal prison in the country,  
 18 forming a gang, and you're either in the gang or you're  
 19 not, and the gang operation is enforced through threats  
 20 and violence, and that there are hierarchies in the  
 21 gangs. According to Mr Crilly, the prison staff know  
 22 who is causing the trouble.  
 23 Mr Gallant also explained that his application at  
 24 HMP Springhill to attend the event was rejected, but  
 25 Dr Ludlow said she would make enquiries and then

1 permission had been granted for him to attend.  
 2 Mr Armstrong, on behalf of Jack's family,  
 3 acknowledged what Mr Crilly did was astonishingly brave.  
 4 Mr Rule echoed those sentiments, as did I in respect of  
 5 both Mr Crilly and Mr Gallant.  
 6 I'm next going to turn, members of the jury, to deal  
 7 with the further witnesses that concern the arrival of  
 8 the armed response vehicles and the confrontation with  
 9 the armed officers and Khan. You will recall that  
 10 Mr Desmond Bockarie was an Uber taxi driver, and another  
 11 gentleman, Joaquin Mora-Busquets, who was on holiday in  
 12 the UK, gave evidence concerning the arrival of the ARVs  
 13 and the confrontation.  
 14 Mr Bockarie had been driving north over  
 15 London Bridge, the traffic was moving slowly. He was  
 16 about 25-30 metres away from the incident when he saw  
 17 people chasing Khan. He got out of his vehicle and  
 18 heard a police siren, which was coming from the north.  
 19 He saw the fire extinguisher being used, and also the  
 20 narwhal tusk.  
 21 Mr [Mora-Busquets] was walking across the bridge  
 22 with his wife and children when he heard the shouting  
 23 and saw the altercation with Khan. He was about  
 24 30 metres away and having seen them get Khan to the  
 25 floor, he then saw somebody trying to remove the knife.

1 He noticed that the men were being very cautious around  
 2 Khan. Mr Bockarie flagged the police down and pointed  
 3 to where the altercation was taking place. He then saw  
 4 armed officers approaching the scene and the officers  
 5 were trying to get everyone safe. Mr [Mora-Busquets]  
 6 recalls three police officers arriving as the men freed  
 7 the knife and an officer had his gun at Khan.  
 8 The officers indicated that the men should get away  
 9 from Khan and they moved away, with one armed officer  
 10 they saw reaching over and dragging one of the men away  
 11 from the scene.  
 12 Mr Bockarie recalled then seeing something that  
 13 seemed to cause the police to change their behaviour,  
 14 which he later realised was the fake bomb vest, but he  
 15 didn't see it at the time. When the male was freed,  
 16 Mr [Mora-Busquets] recalls the officer on the right  
 17 shooting Khan three times. Khan then fell back to the  
 18 ground. He was stretched on the ground and starting to  
 19 move his hands around.  
 20 Other police officers were arriving when Khan tried  
 21 to sit up. As soon as he began to sit up,  
 22 Mr [Mora-Busquets] recalled one or more of the police  
 23 officers in front of him shoot Khan seven, eight, or  
 24 even nine times. The police then began to clear the  
 25 whole area.

1 I'm going to turn next to deal with those who dealt  
 2 with Learning Together. We heard from a number of  
 3 witnesses concerning Khan's involvement with Cambridge  
 4 University and with Learning Together.  
 5 Usmani Tariq, you might recall, was the CEO of  
 6 Henley Homes, a property development and construction  
 7 company. He attended a Learning Together event at  
 8 Cambridge University when a video message from Khan was  
 9 shown. At that point he had no knowledge nor  
 10 understanding of Khan's convictions. Some months later,  
 11 Dr Armstrong contacted him to ask if he could offer any  
 12 support for Khan and whether she could give Khan his  
 13 number. The location and restrictions on Khan made it  
 14 difficult for him to offer any real practical  
 15 assistance. He felt it was a sensible decision based on  
 16 the risk management not to engage with Khan further.  
 17 Mohammad Al-Dhmour was a part-time general  
 18 administrator in the criminology department of the  
 19 University of Cambridge. He started working for  
 20 Learning Together on 11 November 2019 and reported to  
 21 Dr Ludlow. He didn't get any formal training; it was  
 22 on-the-job training.  
 23 The main topic of conversation in the office at the  
 24 time was the Fishmongers' Hall event. It was mentioned  
 25 that he could go if he wished, but he didn't have

1 a specific role or task. He was aware that both prison  
 2 and university students would be at the event, as well  
 3 as invited guests. In the office, people would not go  
 4 into detail about the offences the prison students had  
 5 committed.  
 6 The Reverend Paul Foster is an Anglican prison  
 7 chaplain who moved to HMP Whitemoor in 2010. He  
 8 explained that the role of the chaplain is to provide  
 9 faith services to prisoners and staff pastoral care and  
 10 teaching. He worked alongside a Muslim chaplain as part  
 11 of a multi-faith team. Reverend Foster said that he  
 12 visited Khan whilst in segregation on 2 and  
 13 4 March 2017. He was also part of a restorative forum  
 14 team the chaplaincy put together in 2018 to discuss the  
 15 use of Spice in prison. Khan participated in the forum  
 16 and made some significant contributions. He said Khan  
 17 seemed very understanding and wanted to seek a solution,  
 18 rather than just punish people. He came across as quite  
 19 sympathetic.  
 20 On 28 October 2018, Khan agreed to attend the  
 21 Sycamore Tree programme, a victim awareness course where  
 22 attendees would look at their crime, try to identify and  
 23 understand the impact on victims, and repair damage.  
 24 The course comprised of six sessions and Reverend Foster  
 25 saw him five times for about two and a half hours in

1 a group of 20. Khan could not make the first session so  
 2 they had a good one-to-one chat. They also had a good  
 3 interview before the course about his intentions and  
 4 what he hoped to gain. Reverend Foster recorded as  
 5 follows:  
 6 "Khan was motivated... to find a greater awareness  
 7 of crime and how it affects people" and "He eventually  
 8 engaged extremely well with his index offence of  
 9 terrorist offence, though he also used a violent  
 10 incident from his youth as well", and "I would have  
 11 liked to see him cover his index offence more".  
 12 He noted that Khan began to cover his index offence  
 13 after two or three sessions and it took coaxing to get  
 14 there. Khan said he had felt shame about his offence,  
 15 particularly towards the Muslim community, and appeared  
 16 to show remorse. Reverend Foster also recorded that  
 17 Khan had displayed a willingness to learn about the  
 18 Islamic faith, had acknowledged the negative impact of  
 19 his offending, and had developed what he understood to  
 20 be a deep understanding of the benefits of restorative  
 21 justice.  
 22 Reverend Foster got to know Khan. He thought Khan  
 23 seemed very engaged in the courses. Khan spoke to him  
 24 about his offending going forwards. He expressed that  
 25 he wanted to change, make a fresh start and pay more

1 attention to the ripple effect of his actions.  
 2 Reverend Foster said he was not privy to prison  
 3 intelligence in 2018 and the only feedback he got from  
 4 fellow prisoners or prison staff was positive.  
 5 He was surprised to hear that at the time Khan was  
 6 about to engage in the Sycamore Tree programme there was  
 7 prison intelligence reporting that he had tried to  
 8 radicalise other prisoners, and at the time of his  
 9 release there was intelligence indicating that he  
 10 intended to return to extremism once released, and even  
 11 that he may commit an attack. Reverend Foster  
 12 acknowledged that without knowing about the intelligence  
 13 and relying on the way Khan presented himself, it was  
 14 difficult to form a reliable assessment of future risks,  
 15 and so he had a very limited picture.  
 16 Dr Ludlow, one of the co-founders of  
 17 Learning Together, has been an academic working in the  
 18 area of criminology since 2012, with significant  
 19 experience working with prisoners and the criminal  
 20 justice system. She has a general awareness of the  
 21 issues of extremism and radicalisation, but that is not  
 22 her field of expertise. She has engaged in Prevent  
 23 training that she completed in 2018, and as we heard on  
 24 a number of occasions, Prevent is part of the  
 25 government's counter-terrorism strategy. She has also

1 engaged on numerous occasions with HMPPS safety and security training.

3 Learning Together was founded in 2014 by her along with Dr Armstrong as an educational initiative. She said that Learning Together is not about prisoner rehabilitation, and it's not an intervention; it is about offering people the chance to learn together in an exclusive environment that has been carefully considered. As well as running courses in prisons, and interacting with prisoners, it is part of their mission to forge more long-standing relationships with prison-based students, both during and after their time in prison.

14 She explained that it was ordinary for her, as an educator, to have long-term relationships with her students, which included writing references and making them aware of job opportunities. She knew from research that long-term relationships are important to movements away from crime. This approach was adopted for Khan, as it was for other prison-based and university-based students.

22 Dr Ludlow explained how Learning Together courses operate: that there is a course convener who has oversight of the course. Students apply using an application form. They receive inductions which

1 contain ground rules. Students sign a compact and there is a policy which provides practical guidance, such as behaviour in prisons around staff and dress code.

4 For the sessions at Whitemoor Prison, the criteria being applied and the procedures for inmates were a matter, she said, for her colleagues from HMPPS, but she understood there would be input from security that would include intelligence as well as routine security from the offender management unit, from a psychological interventions perspective and from the education department, from an education, prison-based perspective. Any resident at Whitemoor could take part if cleared as safe to do so. She didn't ask, and was not told about, the offending history of those attending or their conduct in prison.

16 When asked whether it concerned her that she was bringing undergraduates into a prison knowing nothing about how those prisoners, including some very serious offenders, were behaving the rest of their time in prison, she said that she relied on her colleagues who would have that information to make those decisions, and she trusted them to make those assessments. If told that a person joining the course had a history of violent behaviour in prison and whether that was a concern to her, those histories, she said, are quite

1 common, and so of itself was not a concern. What she was assured by was that her HMPPS colleagues have access to that information, and were feeding into their judgments and assessments about whether the activity was safe.

6 If someone studying on one of their courses was the subject of intelligence suggesting that they were radicalising other prisoners from the perspective of Islamic fundamentalism, it would concern her to the extent that it was a concern to her HMPPS colleagues that would have sight of the information, and that fed into their decision-making.

13 Formal risk assessments were not prepared for prison-based courses or even events in the community, such as that at Fishmongers' Hall. She said there was no requirement for them to do so and so they didn't.

17 She had not read guidance from the University of Cambridge on risk assessments, and risk assessment management for travel, fieldwork, and work away from Cambridge before the events on 29 November. Having looked at them since then, she accepted it did apply to activities of Learning Together.

23 With a view to the 29 November event, she would have assessed that as a low risk and, in looking at the protections, she said they had followed them. Had the

1 draft safeguarding policy been implemented at the Institute, it would have triggered the necessary completion of a form. She said that she had organised many conferences in the course of her academic career, but had never completed nor been required to complete a risk assessment for them, and she had no expertise in criminal justice risk assessment.

8 A Lancaster University risk assessment form for a Learning Together event at a prison in 2017 was shown to her. Having read the documents, she said that they did substantively what was set out in that document.

12 Standing back, she accepted that preparing a formal risk assessment and going through the task of preparing a document of this type can be a useful exercise, and make it easier to invite peer feedback. Substantively, she was confident that in fact they were doing all of the things that were documented here. Had a formal risk assessment been carried out for the event at Fishmongers' Hall in November 2019, and whether that would have identified various risks arising from having multiple current and former serious offenders in the community at the event and participating with the other participants, she said it would have identified risks, but she thought the measures to manage them would have been identical to the ones they actually followed.

1 She had attended many events and in her field,  
 2 people attend with criminal convictions all the time,  
 3 and she never had discussions with the venue about  
 4 security measures, save that you have to be satisfied  
 5 that the venue is reasonably sound and safe.  
 6 Here, Fishmongers' Hall colleagues told them that  
 7 they had recently hosted an event for the Prison  
 8 Education Trust. The security measures were identical  
 9 to those that were used at a previous event, and so she  
 10 didn't think that would have prompted any different  
 11 reflection. She said principally her main approach for  
 12 managing risk is relying on colleagues in probation and  
 13 the MAPPA team to take a view about whether that person  
 14 can attend the event. She said Fishmongers' Hall knew  
 15 who was coming, and they'd consulted their colleagues in  
 16 HMPPS fully.  
 17 Mr Pitchers picked up that the information  
 18 Fishmongers' Hall had about the attendees at the event  
 19 was a guest list. It might have affiliations but no  
 20 more. Her view was that the company knew the people  
 21 Learning Together worked with and that it was an alumni  
 22 event, and in her view, they would have known,  
 23 therefore, that ex-offenders were attending.  
 24 She agreed there was no reason from that to know  
 25 that a terrorist offender was attending, but they knew

1 they dealt with all of those across the criminal justice  
 2 system. In her view they could have made the inference  
 3 that as they were working with people convicted of  
 4 terrorist offences, that that was a possibility.  
 5 She considered physical security at the venue as  
 6 a matter for the venue principally. She has never in  
 7 her career, save for events at the prison or the Houses  
 8 of Parliament attended an event where there has been a  
 9 bag search or a metal detector, that was not normal  
 10 educational practice. Linking that to the risk  
 11 assessment, if she had been asked for information about  
 12 the need for physical security measures, she would have  
 13 had that conversation, but she was content that the  
 14 venue was safe and that Khan had permission to attend  
 15 without any restrictions and without any warning given  
 16 to her.  
 17 She accepted that safety in general terms would have  
 18 been relevant for the assessment, but she did not  
 19 consider physical security as the person was free,  
 20 generally, to be in the community.  
 21 Khan had applied to join the creative writing course  
 22 which began in November 2017. The security checking  
 23 process was along the lines that she had set out. She  
 24 had interviewed him, but nothing really stood out from  
 25 it. She was not told anything about his offending or

1 his conduct in prison but said that she became aware of  
 2 his TACT offences. She was aware he had written  
 3 an essay on radicalisation in modern Europe, which was  
 4 posted to her. She had not read it in detail at the  
 5 time. She considered it to be a rather long-winded  
 6 response to personal grievances, but the content, she  
 7 said, did not concern her.  
 8 She was shown some emails by Mr Pitchers for  
 9 the March 2019 event at Cambridge, to which Khan had  
 10 been invited, but MAPPA didn't approve his attendance.  
 11 There was a list with two MPs on it whose names were  
 12 then removed when the list was sent to Mr Skelton. She  
 13 was unable to explain why that had happened, that she  
 14 was not the author of the email, but she said this would  
 15 be inconsistent with their practices.  
 16 Regarding the event at Fishmongers' Hall, Khan had  
 17 showed some anxiety about travelling to London which  
 18 resulted in someone meeting him at Euston station. She  
 19 knew he would be travelling without an escort to London.  
 20 It was not a surprise to her, as she had understood he  
 21 had been under intensive control through the MAPPA  
 22 arrangements. It was a change in position, but she had  
 23 no information to offer a counter view.  
 24 On the day of the event, she had seen Khan in the  
 25 day but noted nothing remarkable about his appearance or

1 his demeanour. Moving on to the incident, she heard  
 2 screams and sounds like heavy items falling. She went  
 3 from the Banqueting Hall and heard a voice she thought  
 4 was Dr Armstrong's saying to call an ambulance, and so  
 5 she went to get her phone and was then going down the  
 6 stairs and saw Saskia lying, injured. She saw that  
 7 Saskia was seriously injured with blood coming from her  
 8 neck. It was obvious to her that Saskia had been  
 9 attacked. She called the emergency services and she  
 10 thought she was on the stairs moving up and down, and  
 11 then came alongside Mr Roberts, who was giving care and  
 12 relaying information on the call. Saskia became less  
 13 responsive while she was there; initially she had  
 14 responded to her name. She was then communicating with  
 15 the police and offering support to Mr Roberts and saying  
 16 kind words to Saskia.  
 17 In the aftermath, the University of Cambridge has  
 18 placed a pause on Learning Together events and courses.  
 19 A reflection report was prepared, completed  
 20 in March 2020. The report records the Institute had  
 21 well developed protocols for managing risks, but that  
 22 Learning Together courses principally taking the form of  
 23 teaching in a prison setting don't align  
 24 straightforwardly with those research protocols. There  
 25 was a form in place at the Institute for research

1 activities . There was no such risk assessment for  
 2 non-research activities. The report also suggested that  
 3 further thought needed to be given as to how risk  
 4 assessment and management might work in the future  
 5 within the context of Learning Together.

6 She and Dr Armstrong prepared a response paper  
 7 welcoming the proposals for strengthening of the  
 8 policies . A reflection from many former prisoners is  
 9 that Learning Together has been an extremely important  
 10 force for good for them in their lives and in their  
 11 rehabilitation . She agreed that Learning Together has  
 12 work to do in improving its risk assessment and the  
 13 management procedures for events in the prison, and in  
 14 the community. She said they are deeply committed to  
 15 learning from this tragedy, as well as learning and  
 16 developing more broadly.

17 She and Dr Armstrong have worked with HMPPS  
 18 colleagues to convene a group to look at the toolkit and  
 19 how it might be strengthened, and a memo of  
 20 understanding making more explicit the division of  
 21 responsibilities between them. She had also reflected  
 22 on training for her own staff and ways in which that  
 23 might be strengthened, and it is an active conversation  
 24 with HMPPS colleagues, as well as the provision and  
 25 support for professional reflective practice.

53

1 She didn't think there was anything about any of  
 2 these improvements that would have changed the way they  
 3 dealt with Khan. With terrorist offenders, she did  
 4 think they give rise to particular issues, but she  
 5 thought those best placed to deal with and consider  
 6 those risks were her HMPPS colleagues. She recognised  
 7 that working with people who are convicted of really  
 8 serious offences is difficult . Those who have or may  
 9 exhibit behaviours that are nihilist or destructive in  
 10 any encounters that are Learning Together activities  
 11 would not be welcomed.

12 In relation to the question of whether somebody in  
 13 the past, like Khan, has demonstrated a mindset that  
 14 causes them to want to set up a training camp for  
 15 marauding terrorist or suicide bombers, then that sort  
 16 of person needs to be handled specially and carefully  
 17 within a programme such as Learning Together, she said  
 18 this:

19 "I think it gives rise to some specific risks , but  
 20 I think that that individual may benefit to exactly the  
 21 same extent as somebody convicted of other serious  
 22 offences from an education perspective, so I think it's  
 23 really important that education and its potential  
 24 benefits are afforded to everyone that is considered  
 25 safe to engage in those activities . I can't find

54

1 a principled basis on which I can differentiate the  
 2 risks that were Khan's risks compared to the risks that  
 3 might be present in other people who engage in our  
 4 activities ."

5 As to the balance to be struck in their programmes  
 6 between showing openness to former offenders and  
 7 minimising risks to those who participate, and whether  
 8 they had got it right , the balance they have struck has  
 9 been that informed by the evidence, which is that people  
 10 convicted of all types of offences can benefit from  
 11 education, and, again, those best placed, she said, to  
 12 make judgments about individuals are those in the  
 13 probation, police and the security services .

14 Members of the jury, we will take our mid-morning  
 15 break there. I'm sure you're all ready for a break from  
 16 listening to me, but we will have a comfort break there  
 17 and we will sit again in about 15 minutes' time. Thank  
 18 you.

19 (In the absence of the jury)

20 I'll rise .

21 (11.21 am)

22 (A short break)

23 (11.43 am)

24 (In the presence of the jury)

25 JUDGE LUCRAFT: Welcome back, everyone.

55

1 Picking up on where I'd got to, I am next going to  
 2 turn to Dr Armstrong who, as we know, is the co-founder  
 3 of Learning Together. She too has extensive academic  
 4 and research experience in relation to prisons, penal  
 5 policy and offenders, not including extremism and  
 6 radicalisation . She is CTC cleared and can move  
 7 unescorted around prisons carrying keys. She undertook  
 8 Prevent training within prisons at HMP Feltham in 2014  
 9 and one module at the university Prevent training  
 10 in January 2021.

11 She was asked to complete Prevent training online by  
 12 her line manager in 2017, but objected because of her  
 13 role as a school governor, her concerns around the  
 14 Prevent duty, and as she thought she was adequately  
 15 trained. She also undertook TACT therapeutic community  
 16 training and general security training , but no risk  
 17 assessment or extremism and radicalisation training .

18 Dr Armstrong carried out research for the  
 19 Learning Together programme which sought to understand  
 20 the mechanisms through which individuals move away from  
 21 crime. Her research has not involved considering the  
 22 efficacy of the programme in moving people away from  
 23 crime. She explained that physical safeguards of  
 24 researchers need to be negotiated transparently to avoid  
 25 damaging trusting relationships, which could undermine

56

1 the quality of research.

2 As to steps to clear inmates, Dr Armstrong thought

3 applications for Learning Together would be sifted by

4 security and the OMU, the Offender Management Unit, with

5 input from psychology and others.

6 Once inmates were cleared to participate,

7 Learning Together would consider applications. She was

8 not aware of the criteria, thresholds or standards

9 applied when making checks, nor if they were being

10 excluded by offence type, but it would surprise her if

11 they did.

12 She was aware that inmates may be excluded, based on

13 risk. Dr Armstrong would not be surprised if an inmate

14 on the programme had a history of radicalisation and

15 bullying in prison, or was a TACT offender, but would

16 expect HMPPS to do risk assessments, communicate the

17 relevant risks, and prevent or remove inmates from

18 participating in the programme if necessary.

19 She acknowledged that extending Learning Together to

20 Whitemoor meant that they were more likely to encounter

21 high risk individuals, but it was approved by the

22 superiors at the university and negotiated for about

23 a year with HMPPS to ensure effective safeguards were in

24 place.

25 Dr Armstrong was aware that on release, Khan was

1 supervised by MAPPA, couldn't access the internet

2 unsupervised, or have a smartphone. Herself and members

3 of Learning Together had some contact with him and his

4 probation officer.

5 In January 2019, she emailed Mr Skelton about

6 contact details for Khan and information about events.

7 Mr Skelton raised some questions, one in relation to

8 Khan's offences, and Dr Armstrong explained that she was

9 aware of his TACT conviction, but unaware of any

10 specific risk factors. She acknowledged that her email

11 wasn't clear and may have misled Mr Skelton into

12 thinking she had a wider knowledge of his offences.

13 Prior to an event taking place, Mr Skelton would have

14 been sent a full list of attendees. She was reliant on

15 probation to communicate risks relevant to

16 Learning Together activities.

17 On 5 March, Dr Armstrong and her colleagues went to

18 Stafford to conduct a research interview and to make

19 a short film of Khan, as he was not allowed to attend

20 the event in Cambridge. She discussed this with

21 Mr Skelton, who had concerns about the film but not the

22 research interview. He asked her to outline matters in

23 relation to the film via email so he could forward it to

24 MAPPA. She provided the video to Mr Skelton to view

25 alongside MAPPA, before it was shown at the Madingley

1 event. She can't recall informing him that the

2 interview would be voice recorded and he did not request

3 a copy or the notes.

4 Following the visit, she discussed Khan's well-being

5 with Mr Skelton, but thought it was unethical to share

6 any specifics including information indicative of his

7 mindset. When we watched the short film where Khan was

8 seen saying he spent most of his time in prison in

9 segregation and that was unknown, Dr Armstrong was not

10 aware at the time he was lying. She was not concerned

11 about the accuracy of the film and she had no reason to

12 think that his experience of Learning Together was

13 false, and it was being played at a private event, as

14 agreed with probation.

15 From March to September, she had text communications

16 with Khan, including a discussion about a job interview.

17 She put him in contact with a former police officer who

18 worked in community building and de-radicalisation. She

19 also provided him with a non-networked Chrome notebook

20 at the Whitemoor event.

21 On 4 September, Dr Armstrong visited

22 Fishmongers' Hall to check that it was suitable. There

23 were no discussions then about security, but she

24 expected some Fishmongers' Company employees to have

25 been aware what sorts of people would be attending. In

1 the months preceding the event, Learning Together

2 applied for a grant and provided information that

3 explained their alumni included students who were

4 released from prison.

5 A risk assessment wasn't completed as there was not

6 one that governed community events, but such processes

7 are being put in place. She explained that venues would

8 usually complete risk assessments before holding

9 Learning Together events, and accepted that they knew

10 more about who was attending than the

11 Fishmongers' Company would, so could have assisted the

12 venue in completing a risk assessment. She was

13 surprised that Khan was not escorted to the event in

14 terms of support but also in terms of security.

15 At the event on 29 November, she was in the

16 Banqueting Hall when she heard the screams. She saw

17 that Saskia had been injured and called the emergency

18 services. She also provided assistance with first aid

19 after taking an injured Ms Szczotko to the toilets.

20 Dr Armstrong agreed that Learning Together has work

21 to do in improving risk assessment and management,

22 alongside prison and probation colleagues, and the

23 university. She thought that there should be a risk

24 management process and a level of accountability as to

25 who owns it, as well as increased transparency about

1 risks. She expressed that she preferred individualised  
 2 risk assessments as opposed to category exclusions, as  
 3 there are TACT offenders who have been released and have  
 4 not re-offended. She also considered that a form that  
 5 provides detail about the event and gives HMPPS  
 6 an opportunity to say whether there is intelligence  
 7 relevant to risks would be helpful.

8 Dr Armstrong expressed that if they had been told  
 9 about the intelligence relating to Khan and the risks,  
 10 he would not have been invited. She was unsure whether  
 11 Khan's attack was a "Fuck it" moment, or an attack he  
 12 was planning whilst in prison. Following the attack,  
 13 an advisory board was convened and a strategic advisor  
 14 appointed by the university to assist with analysing  
 15 risk and the cost implications. She also explained that  
 16 following the Inquests, they will make recommendations  
 17 about how to resume Learning Together's activities and  
 18 to minimise risks.

19 Ms Ghiggini worked as a part-time administrator in  
 20 the criminology department of the  
 21 University of Cambridge and was the communications lead  
 22 for Learning Together. She assisted with the logistics  
 23 for the Fishmongers' Hall event. She did not recall  
 24 Fishmongers' asking any questions about the sorts of  
 25 people attending the event. Ms Ghiggini had some

1 communications with Khan shortly after his release at  
 2 the end of 2018, but first met him in person at the  
 3 event.

4 She had a number of phone calls with him and, as  
 5 a result, found out about his background and information  
 6 about his life from his perspective, so she did not know  
 7 if it was true or not. At first he was really chatty,  
 8 hopeful and happy to be out. He would speak about his  
 9 time in prison and his family, particularly when he was  
 10 in prison. He was supposed to go and see his family but  
 11 was scared to go because of the restrictions on him. He  
 12 was enthusiastic for Learning Together. He was  
 13 sometimes troubled and referred back to the time that he  
 14 said he had spent in segregation.

15 As a non-expert, Ms Ghiggini thought that he had  
 16 been traumatised by his time in prison, he was rather  
 17 lonely and wanted someone to talk to. Khan had told her  
 18 about Charles Bronson and that Bronson had said words to  
 19 the effect of "If you're going to do something, just do  
 20 it". Khan had mentioned Bronson a lot of times, but  
 21 this was said in the two weeks before the attack. He  
 22 did not seem to be engaging with his writing after  
 23 release from prison, nor had he enrolled in any courses  
 24 since prison.

25 In the summer of 2019, the communications petered

1 out. Ms Ghiggini did not know why but was quite glad in  
 2 a way to get on with her own work. Khan got back in  
 3 touch with her in September 2019. He had become more  
 4 withdrawn and less chatty. Ms Ghiggini recalls  
 5 Dr Ludlow saying to her that PS Calum Forsyth was  
 6 concerned as Khan had stopped going to the gym and was  
 7 sleeping in late. Dr Ludlow suggested that Ms Ghiggini  
 8 had asked Khan to do something productive for the event  
 9 in order to boost his spirits, to engage him.  
 10 Ms Ghiggini phoned Khan and asked him to produce  
 11 something. He wrote a poem which he then dictated to  
 12 her over the phone. She printed it and posted it to him  
 13 so he could say if it was okay, and then they made some  
 14 amendments on the phone.

15 Ms Ghiggini had not been given any guidance about  
 16 how to police personal boundaries. She recalled phoning  
 17 Khan to express concerns about how he would get to the  
 18 event. Ms Ghiggini said she told Dr Ludlow he was quite  
 19 anxious and asked if they could get him a taxi from  
 20 Euston. Dr Ludlow said he would just have to deal with  
 21 it. Ms Ghiggini believes she texted Khan to say he  
 22 would have to get the tube, or something like that.

23 Jessie Monck is a development consultant at the  
 24 University of Cambridge and the lead for the  
 25 implementation of the university Prevent training in

1 2016. Records on the university's systems for evidence  
 2 of completion of four Prevent training courses showed  
 3 Ms Ghiggini and Ms Rowbotham completed module 1  
 4 in November 2017; Dr Ludlow completed module 1  
 5 in May 2018, and Dr Armstrong completed module 1  
 6 in January 2021. There is no record of the university  
 7 being notified that any of these had undertaken Prevent  
 8 training elsewhere. Jack had accessed the site where  
 9 module 1 of the Prevent training was hosted, but had not  
 10 viewed the material.

11 I'm next going to turn, ladies and gentlemen, to  
 12 a review of the expert evidence which you heard first  
 13 from the pathologist and then also from Professor  
 14 Deakin.

15 Dr Ashley Fegan-Earl is an expert Home Office  
 16 pathologist who had performed the post mortem  
 17 examinations on both Saskia and Jack on 1 December 2019.  
 18 With Saskia, there was one external injury at the base  
 19 of her neck. The wound was 5 cm in width. He noted  
 20 that the ends were different with the upper end sharp  
 21 and the lower more squared off. In his opinion, this  
 22 was caused by a knife with a single sharp cutting edge.

23 The internal examination showed the track of the  
 24 wound. It had caused damage to the muscles in the root  
 25 of the neck, the subclavian artery and vein, the

1 brachial plexus, which he describes as a web of nerves,  
 2 had been cut through, and the upper lobe of the right  
 3 lung had been penetrated and the wound ended in the  
 4 right second thoracic vertebrae, the second bone within  
 5 the chest itself. The distance and deepest point of  
 6 penetration some 10 cm. There would, he said, be  
 7 a number of effects: the chest cavity is normally  
 8 an airtight space and if it is breached, air gets in and  
 9 can cause the lung to collapse, which compromises the  
 10 ability to breathe; bleeding from the lung, which has  
 11 many blood vessels from the subclavian artery and the  
 12 vein which results in the accumulation of blood within  
 13 chest cavity. He said that a combination of the  
 14 bleeding and the collapsed lung would render Saskia into  
 15 significant difficulties.

16 His conclusion was that Saskia suffered a single  
 17 stab wound to the side of the neck that had penetrated  
 18 the major nerves and blood vessels in the region, before  
 19 passing fully through the lung, impacting against the  
 20 side of the thoracic spine.

21 The injury to both the artery and the vein led to  
 22 catastrophic bleeding, both externally and internally.  
 23 This was plainly from a stab wound caused by a knife,  
 24 and a knife with a single sharp cutting edge that had  
 25 penetrated, as I say, some 10 cm from the skin before it

1 struck the spine. The weapons taken from Khan were  
 2 consistent with the injury. In his opinion, severe  
 3 force would have been used. Looking at the structures  
 4 damaged, it went through the skin and bone as the most  
 5 resistant.

6 It is rare for victims of stabbings to collapse  
 7 immediately to the ground, and there are a number of  
 8 factors that can affect survival time. He said that  
 9 Saskia was a young lady who was fit and well at the  
 10 time, with a healthy heart. In situations like this,  
 11 the heart beats harder and faster to accommodate the  
 12 blood loss, and the body produces adrenaline, and so it  
 13 is not surprising at all that a person is capable of  
 14 purposeful movement, but the blood pressure  
 15 progressively drops, and there comes a time when there  
 16 will be a collapse. It is unlikely to be many minutes.

17 From his examination, the treatment given to Saskia  
 18 had not contributed to her death. The cause of her  
 19 death was shock and haemorrhage due to the stab wound to  
 20 the chest.

21 With Jack, the post mortem examination identified  
 22 several external injuries. To the left arm there was  
 23 a curved slash wound that cut down to the surface of the  
 24 triceps muscle but did not cut major arteries. Also on  
 25 the front arm was another slash wound. Neither was

1 individually fatal. On the back of the left hand on the  
 2 little finger was a slash or chopping injury 5 cm in  
 3 length and 2 cm deep that damaged one of the tendons.  
 4 No major blood vessels were damaged. On the forearm was  
 5 a stab wound with a notch, a more complex type of stab  
 6 wound and associated with a wound on the opposite side  
 7 of the arm. It would bleed heavily though on its own  
 8 not fatal.

9 On the right hand there were several injuries and  
 10 then on the right arm an extremely extensive chopping  
 11 injury that extended fully across the back of the upper  
 12 right arm. At its maximum it extended 18 cm from side  
 13 to side. It cleaved deeply upwards and inwards passing  
 14 through the skin and the fat of the forearm and then  
 15 dividing the triceps muscle to the bone of the upper  
 16 arm. The depth of penetration was some 17 cm and  
 17 a wound of this type would bleed freely and copiously.

18 He noted a stabbing injury to the neck. Again, not  
 19 on its own fatal. There was a catastrophic and fatal  
 20 injury to the chest on the upper inner right breast. It  
 21 was a stab wound some 7.2 cm wide. From the internal  
 22 examination, he noted damage to the pectoral muscles  
 23 down to the right fourth rib as to bone and cartilage,  
 24 and then the internal organs: the middle lobe of the  
 25 right lung, downwards through the diaphragm and into the

1 liver. The injury would result in the collapse of the  
 2 right lung and bleeding from the lung and from the  
 3 liver. If this had been the only injury, it would have  
 4 been fatal.

5 He noted some blunt force trauma on the face,  
 6 a cluster on the injury to the right eye. There were  
 7 other superficial injuries. He concluded that Jack  
 8 sustained multiple stab and slash wounds which, in his  
 9 view, were indicative of a dynamic assault with  
 10 significant defensive posturing, by which he meant that  
 11 there had been some reflex actions to the assault, with  
 12 the arms raised and the smaller injuries consistent with  
 13 someone trying to defend themselves or even gain control  
 14 of a knife during the assault.

15 The fatal injury is the one to the upper chest which  
 16 had cut through a rib, passed through the right lung,  
 17 diaphragm and liver. It would have led to torrential  
 18 haemorrhage and death. The stab wound to the back  
 19 penetrated deeply into the muscles but did not damage  
 20 significant organs.

21 Despite the fatal injury Jack, again, would have  
 22 been capable of purposeful activity, and that accorded  
 23 with the events described in the statements that he had  
 24 read, and he confirmed that Jack too was also a fit and  
 25 healthy individual and engaged in a highly dynamic

1 interaction . The fatal injury may not have been the  
 2 last injury . He would have been capable of moving on  
 3 and sustaining it . Jack could have been capable of  
 4 purposeful activity for several minutes, but  
 5 Dr Fegan—Earl could not, he said, be exact as to the  
 6 timings.

7 Again, as to the degree of force used, when a knife  
 8 enters the chest, often it rolls above or below the rib  
 9 and penetrates between the ribs. With increasing levels  
 10 of force, the knife may simply contact and then cut  
 11 through the rib. In his view, that suggests that  
 12 a higher tier of force is used. With the major chopping  
 13 wound to the right arm, he had no doubt that extreme  
 14 force was used. The injuries were consistent with being  
 15 caused by the knives taken by Khan. In his case the  
 16 cause of death was shock and haemorrhage caused by the  
 17 stab wound to the chest.

18 Professor Charles Deakin is a consultant in  
 19 anaesthetics and intensive care. He is also a professor  
 20 of resuscitation and pre-hospital emergency medicine.  
 21 He had considered the injuries to Saskia and to Jack,  
 22 the injuries they had sustained and considered whether  
 23 they might have survived with different treatment at the  
 24 scene.

25 With Saskia, he explained that the knife had entered

69

1 the base of her neck where the major blood vessels were  
 2 divided and then under that is the lung, which was also  
 3 damaged. There would have been rapid and profuse  
 4 bleeding. Some of that would have been apparent  
 5 externally but there would also have been significant  
 6 internal blood loss. Whilst the body can cope with  
 7 losing some blood, it cannot cope with the loss of a lot  
 8 of blood and as the blood pressure drops, the level of  
 9 blood going to the heart and brain reduces, the level of  
 10 consciousness decreases, and it becomes so low that the  
 11 heart will stop beating at the point the patient goes  
 12 into cardiac arrest . The blood internally collects  
 13 around the base of the lung. In addition, the lung when  
 14 penetrated will allow air to escape and that will put  
 15 pressure on the lung and in effect squash it down. Both  
 16 interact to make the situation a lot more serious and so  
 17 the patient will deteriorate quite rapidly .

18 In terms of medical care, he had considered the  
 19 chronology from 13.56 or 13.57 when the injured  
 20 occurred, then the start of CPR, the use of the  
 21 defibrillator , the arrival of the LAS paramedics into  
 22 Fishmongers' Hall, the arrival of the HEMS team and  
 23 their assessment. Having assessed all of the material  
 24 available to him, he did not think that Saskia could  
 25 have survived. She had such catastrophic injuries that

70

1 caused her heart to stop so quickly that it was really  
 2 not probable that she stood any realistic chance of  
 3 surviving those injuries .

4 With Saskia having suffered a cardiac arrest so  
 5 rapidly, even if she had been in hospital straightaway,  
 6 he did not think that any treatment would have resulted  
 7 in her surviving, unfortunately. Even if the LAS had  
 8 been there within the first few minutes, there was very  
 9 little chance they could have saved her life. For  
 10 Saskia to have stood any chance of survival, she would  
 11 have needed to have been in hospital before suffering  
 12 cardiac arrest, and even then, with the catastrophic  
 13 haemorrhage, it would have been very difficult to treat,  
 14 and he did not think she stood any chance,  
 15 unfortunately, of surviving.

16 With Jack, the major injuries he sustained, the  
 17 knife entered the chest and penetrated the lung through  
 18 the diaphragm and injured the tip of the liver . This  
 19 was the most significant injury, but there were other  
 20 injuries too that bled profusely. Most of the blood  
 21 loss was external, albeit some internal. There was, he  
 22 said, a similar impact to the right lung for Jack as  
 23 there had been for Saskia. With Jack too that would  
 24 have led to a rapid fall in blood pressure and to  
 25 collapse. He had also looked at the detailed chronology

71

1 in Jack's case from the point of injury between 13.56  
 2 and 13.57, through the initial first aid then the first  
 3 aid by police officers, the application of the  
 4 tourniquet, the use of a defibrillator, CPR, the removal  
 5 of Jack on the drag sled to a casualty clearly point,  
 6 then the resumption of CPR, the shock from the  
 7 defibrillator and then the carrying out of the  
 8 thoracotomy and what was then observed about the heart  
 9 being empty.

10 In his opinion, it was not realistically possible  
 11 for Jack to have survived with any earlier or different  
 12 treatment that could practically have been provided at  
 13 the scene. Jack had such significant injuries, and ones  
 14 that are difficult to treat on the scene. It is very  
 15 difficult to control blood loss on scene, where it is so  
 16 extensive. No treatment would have been effective.  
 17 Even if paramedics and the LAS had got there earlier,  
 18 the underlying problem that led to the death was the  
 19 blood loss, and that would have been extremely difficult  
 20 to treat at the scene. It really needed, he said,  
 21 surgical intervention in an operating theatre to stand  
 22 any chance of controlling that blood loss.

23 With Jack to have stood any chance of survival, he  
 24 too would have needed to be in hospital before he  
 25 suffered cardiac arrest, but again, he had very

72

1 significant and very severe injuries , and he didn't  
 2 think even in hospital there would have been any  
 3 realistic chance of Jack surviving.  
 4 The care at the scene provided by bystanders and  
 5 then followed by the police was, in his view, having  
 6 watched all of the body-worn footage that was available  
 7 was, in his words, absolutely outstanding. He was very  
 8 impressed by the standard of care that was given and he  
 9 said that without exception, every single individual who  
 10 delivered first aid did so in a superb way and did  
 11 everything that they possibly could have done in the  
 12 circumstances.  
 13 Vincent Cirimele is an expert forensic scientist .  
 14 You may recall he carried out tests on beard hair taken  
 15 from Khan at post mortem to look for any drug abuse, to  
 16 assess if he had been exposed to drugs before death.  
 17 The examinations that he conducted suggest the  
 18 occasional use of cocaine by Khan between late August  
 19 and mid-November 2019. The findings also suggest  
 20 occasional exposure to heroin over the same period, but  
 21 he could not exclude external contamination as  
 22 a possible contributor for the drug being in the beard  
 23 hair. There is no evidence to suggest that Khan was  
 24 a repeated cocaine or heroin user over the oldest time  
 25 periods covered by the two distal beard hair section

1 tests that were carried out.  
 2 A change of topic, I'm going to now turn to  
 3 Commodore Toby Williamson, clerk to the  
 4 Fishmongers' Company. He has been in that post  
 5 since March 2017. Fishmongers' Company is one of the  
 6 oldest livery companies in the City of London. It hosts  
 7 its own events and events for others at  
 8 Fishmongers' Hall. The company, he explained, has  
 9 a general court, which is the equivalent of a board for  
 10 a limited company, setting policy and vision for the  
 11 company. There is also the Wardens' Committee, a subset  
 12 of the main court, and equivalent to the main operating  
 13 board of an otherwise company.  
 14 There are various other committees responsible for  
 15 specific areas. Alongside is an executive team of four,  
 16 led by the clerk that manages the charitable side, the  
 17 events management functions, and implements decisions.  
 18 Under that team is a series of other members of staff.  
 19 There is the head of events, Ms Santos; a head of  
 20 facilities , Adam Cresswell; a security supervisor, Steve  
 21 Noe, and a Health and Safety Committee that meets not  
 22 less than three times a year and takes responsibility  
 23 for ensuring compliance. As clerk, he was designated as  
 24 the responsible person for health and safety.  
 25 A very high proportion of the events they put on are

1 not open to the public and everyone coming in is either  
 2 a member, an employee, or is known to the client  
 3 organiser. Normally, he said, they had two trained  
 4 security guards on duty at the front door and one at the  
 5 back. SIA, Security Industry Association, training was  
 6 provided, and, as he understood it, those on duty were  
 7 up to date with their training. There was an automatic  
 8 lock on the main door, that could be opened with a key  
 9 fob.  
 10 As to the time on 29 November when Mr Watkins left  
 11 for a break and the receptionist covered the door in  
 12 terms of letting people in and out, that is something  
 13 that occurred on occasions. There were panic buttons,  
 14 he said, located around the building. The CCTV they  
 15 have was upgraded a few months before the event, but  
 16 there is no interior CCTV. The exterior cameras were  
 17 there to monitor those going in and out and to deal with  
 18 the risks arising from people trying to gain access to  
 19 the building.  
 20 At the end of November 2019 the company did not own  
 21 any knife arches, metal detector equipment or knife  
 22 wands. Before the end of 2019, no serious consideration  
 23 had been given to purchasing or borrowing such  
 24 equipment.  
 25 The company maintained a risk register. There are

1 individual risk assessments for specific events should  
 2 they be warranted, and he said the risk register is  
 3 a living document.  
 4 In general terms, where events were booked for  
 5 outside organisations, the company would request details  
 6 of the nature of the event, the organisers, the material  
 7 to be covered, whether it was a conference, a lunch or  
 8 dinner, and what they were trying to achieve from the  
 9 event, and then issues as to scale and domestic  
 10 requirements.  
 11 He had turned down events that didn't fit with what  
 12 they can cope with, or that they would wish to allow to  
 13 take place in the building. With questions about  
 14 whether any special security requirements existed for  
 15 the event, he worked on the basis that, as a standard,  
 16 the building is always safe and secure. The  
 17 Learning Together event was running on a familiar  
 18 template applied to events at the Hall generally.  
 19 In terms of what might make them decide to carry out  
 20 a risk assessment for a particular event, it would  
 21 probably be the profile of the particular attendees. He  
 22 gave an example of an event attended by a serving Prime  
 23 Minister.  
 24 For events internal to the Hall, it was very rare  
 25 that they would have independent, separate and bespoke

1 risk assessment. Some events, such as the one that the  
 2 Prime Minister attended might require special measures  
 3 in terms of security, but that was not normally on the  
 4 Hall. The view taken was that the Hall was basically  
 5 safe and if organisers needed special security, they  
 6 will bring it with themselves. They had never known  
 7 organisers of an event to need to bring additional  
 8 security, save for the VVIP level.

9 The Hall did not put in place bag searches at the  
 10 door as a standard policy before November 2019. It was  
 11 done once when the Prince of Wales was coming, at the  
 12 request of the police. There had been no discussion at  
 13 their meetings before 29 November 2019 about  
 14 a particular event requiring a bag search.

15 The head of facilities and the security supervisor  
 16 undertook terrorism awareness training in July 2019 and  
 17 with his background, Commodore Williamson considered he  
 18 had the same level of awareness. The training took the  
 19 form of a half-day event. Staff in general all received  
 20 briefings on emergency responses, such as the run, tell,  
 21 hide policy. A health and safety management audit was  
 22 undertaken in December 2018 by BV Associates. They  
 23 prepared a risk assessment form which graded risks by  
 24 the likelihood of their happening and the consequences  
 25 of them happening.

1 In addition, in late 2018 the company engaged  
 2 Control Risks to do a specific piece of work. They  
 3 needed to put in place a risk register and this Control  
 4 Risks project looked at both amorphous risks, such as  
 5 reputational risks, and also hard-edged physical risks.  
 6 A staged process was proposed: risk review, risk  
 7 assessment and round table review.

8 An initial report with a strategic risk register was  
 9 produced in April 2019. One risk the report identified  
 10 was this: "Terror — lone wolf attack at Fish Hall via  
 11 a non-blast attack (vehicle as a weapon or knife attack)  
 12 targeting the property and users" with the risk  
 13 identified as high. Any risk is a combination of  
 14 likelihood and consequence and the gross risk indicates  
 15 what it would be judged as, unless you take the  
 16 mitigating measures to reduce that to what you would  
 17 deem to be tolerable and as low as reasonably  
 18 practicable.

19 Control Risks identified some vulnerabilities  
 20 including a lack of documented security procedures for  
 21 responding to a terrorism scenario and a lack of  
 22 internal access control measures. In a situation where  
 23 you have a marauding terrorist you can lock your  
 24 building down so you can have internal controlled doors.  
 25 The challenge with internal controlled doors is how they

1 sit against fire safety regulation, when you want them  
 2 to be open, so that's drawing attention to it as  
 3 a vulnerability specific to this risk. Controls are  
 4 identified, including terrorism awareness training and  
 5 viable security at the perimeter.

6 A further risk of a lone wolf terror attack  
 7 targeting another building or location in the area and  
 8 impacting on the Fishmongers' Hall indirectly was  
 9 identified with the same vulnerabilities in controls.

10 In the discussions they had with Control Risks, the  
 11 possibility that an attacker may emanate from an event  
 12 had not been suggested.

13 In September 2019 after Control Risks had written  
 14 the initial risk register, a revised register was  
 15 prepared. Risk 6 on the terror risks and the risk  
 16 treatment options were to identify some additional  
 17 training, secured with the City of London Police, and  
 18 an option to step increase visible security presence and  
 19 the screening of guests at selected events.

20 Screening of guests meant a further level of  
 21 background checks about the people coming through the  
 22 front door. Consideration was then being given to  
 23 speaking to organisers about asking routine questions  
 24 about those attending. No such decision had been made  
 25 by November 2019.

1 The October version of the risk register contained  
 2 the same. Risk 17 dealt with the risk of an outside  
 3 terror attack impacting the hall indirectly, and with  
 4 a risk of treatment added as follows: always hold  
 5 an event-specific review of the building security.

6 What that meant was if there was a demonstration  
 7 coming through the City, what do you do to ensure the  
 8 property is safe? What to do if there is an outside  
 9 demonstration. That version of the register was  
 10 considered, he said, at a meeting on 13 November 2019.  
 11 Risks 6 and 17 were not discussed specifically.

12 Prisoner rehabilitation is a cause supported by the  
 13 charitable arm of the company. The company was  
 14 introduced to Learning Together from late 2018, and two  
 15 grants were sought and given. Some from the company  
 16 attended Learning Together events: an event  
 17 in March 2019 in Cambridge and one in June 2019 at HMP  
 18 Whitemoor. Those events were an opportunity to see how  
 19 the grants were being used.

20 In July 2019, Learning Together asked about  
 21 potential venues, and the possibility of the Hall was  
 22 mentioned. On 27 August 2019, it was said that the Hall  
 23 was not available for an event ending after 4.00 pm, but  
 24 then Learning Together asked for a slot ending before  
 25 4.00 pm. A draft programme was sent through, and on

1 9 September, a formal proposal submitted for the company  
2 to hold the event free of charge, which it agreed to do.

3 Commodore Williamson knew it was going to be  
4 happening. As to an understanding that that included  
5 inmates that had been released, he did not then  
6 appreciate the term "alumni" as referring to  
7 ex-offenders and those currently in custody.

8 Some from the Fishmongers' Company had been to  
9 Learning Together events and that included both inmates  
10 and ex-inmates. When asked whether anyone reading  
11 an invitation to an event of this kind would understand  
12 alumni to include prison-based alumni, he imagined that  
13 they would know that.

14 Had he known before the event that some people had  
15 been in prison and been released into the community were  
16 attending it would not have surprised him. It would  
17 have surprised him to be told that some attending had  
18 been in prison for serious offences, including serious  
19 violence, but it would not, he said, have been  
20 a showstopper, but he had no understanding of the  
21 detail. To his knowledge, no questions were asked of  
22 Learning Together about the types of people attending.  
23 If they had been told an event would be attended by  
24 several released ex-offenders, he didn't think they  
25 would have imposed any special requirements or added any

81

1 special measures for security.

2 If Learning Together had wanted more security, the  
3 company would have put that on. He was asked this:

4 "Dr Ludlow and Dr Armstrong told us they relied on  
5 the Fishmongers' Company to an extent to have proper  
6 security in place appropriate for the event, and you're  
7 telling us that without asking detailed questions about  
8 the event you effectively relied upon them to tell you  
9 if the event was anything other than a low risk. Would  
10 you accept that with two organisations taking those  
11 views, there is a risk or concerns slipping through the  
12 crack between the two?"

13 In reply, he agreed.

14 There was no discussion of any added security  
15 measures for this event. For the event, they had  
16 security guards front and back of the Hall, but no bag  
17 searching or metal detectors at the time, and no  
18 specific risk assessment was carried out, nor any  
19 specific staff briefing.

20 After the incident on 29 November, the company  
21 undertook an extensive review. At the Warden's  
22 Committee meeting on 12 December 2019 the event was  
23 discussed. A director regarded as the most independent,  
24 Andrew Wallace, who was in charge of fisheries and fish  
25 and based in Scotland, was tasked to carry out

82

1 an internal review, this was a review of risk 6 in the  
2 register, a lone wolf attack, and the issues to be  
3 focused upon, including the physical security measures,  
4 consideration of the nature of activities in the Hall,  
5 and that the company should always retain the power of  
6 veto.

7 The Wallace report made several recommendations. It  
8 recommended there should be much a firmer contract with  
9 any charity or commercial client coming through the door  
10 so that the understanding of many things, but most  
11 specifically the audience, the nature of what is  
12 required, is understood at the outset, and when close to  
13 the event, everyone is much clearer as to who is coming  
14 through the door. A benefit of setting it out is to  
15 ensure steps are thought through and taken in  
16 a structured way.

17 There were also recommendations for physical and  
18 virtual building and event security including the  
19 potential for random bag searches at certain types of  
20 events. There were recommendations too for changes to  
21 the CCTV, extending it to the interior of the building.

22 In March 2020, a walk-through metal detector was  
23 acquired by the Fishmongers' Company and they retain the  
24 right to use it for all events, but it might be limited  
25 to large numbers or higher risk ones.

83

1 Another recommendation was to put in place a duty  
2 manager role where a single person manages an event and  
3 knows exactly what they are required to do as to  
4 security, facilities and catering staff. The report  
5 included a draft standard operating procedure, with  
6 events divided into categories with measures for  
7 philanthropic events and high risk events involving high  
8 risk individuals and convicted offenders where there was  
9 a presumption against holding such events, dividing  
10 events into categories based on certain risk factors.

11 If this procedure and dedicated risk manager had  
12 been in place before 29 November, that person would have  
13 had the responsibility for finding out about the event  
14 with a view to putting in place any appropriate  
15 additional security.

16 When asked if there would have been, then,  
17 a presumption against hosting this event, he said: not  
18 necessarily, as the guests were known to the host, and  
19 so the event would have been around category B in their  
20 grading.

21 However, what the company did not know was about  
22 Khan, and that he was a terrorist. That fact alone  
23 would have rendered it a category D event. By  
24 definition, there is a presumption that category D  
25 events would not take place. Category D is for high

84

1 risk individuals and convicted offenders.  
 2 If Commodore Williamson and his colleagues had been  
 3 asked by the State agencies about the levels of security  
 4 in place, they would have answered the questions and  
 5 given details, and if they had been asked to put in  
 6 place a bag search, metal detection, or to tell the City  
 7 of London Police, they would have done so.  
 8 He thought the events on 29 November had exposed  
 9 weaknesses that they had been able to address. The most  
 10 significant ones to him were that a greater degree of  
 11 assessment required on the profile of attendees coming  
 12 to an event.  
 13 Jorge Lopez is another porter at Fishmongers' Hall.  
 14 He helped to set up for the event on 29 November. He  
 15 was given a sheet of paper with the basic details for  
 16 him to know how to set up the room. About five days  
 17 after the incident, there was a staff meeting for all  
 18 the employees of the Fishmongers' Hall. They were then  
 19 told that the conference had ex-convicts, and that had  
 20 they known, they could have arranged extra security for  
 21 the day.  
 22 Detective Superintendent Robinson next. Most of his  
 23 police posts have been in counter-terrorism, and he gave  
 24 evidence dealing with protective security within the  
 25 City of London area. He explained that the City of

1 London Police has a Special Branch that gathers  
 2 intelligence and carries out investigations about  
 3 terrorism matters. It also has Counter-Terrorism  
 4 Security Advisors, and their focus is on giving  
 5 specialist advice.  
 6 In July 2019, a new tasking was given to the CTSAs,  
 7 those counter-terrorism terrorism security advisors, to  
 8 consider locations within the force area that may be  
 9 attractive to a terrorist. Neither Fishmongers' Hall  
 10 nor, indeed, any of the other livery halls qualified for  
 11 additional guidance under that tasking.  
 12 CTSAs also give general advice in their police force  
 13 area. The City of London Police give workshops,  
 14 training and advice at outreach events. There was  
 15 an event held in 2018 at the Plaisterers' Company for  
 16 counter-terrorism awareness training. That event was  
 17 attended by Mr Cresswell and Mr Noe from the  
 18 Fishmongers' Company. Further back in time, in 2012, a  
 19 table-top exercise organised by the City of London CTSAs  
 20 was hosted at Fishmongers' Hall.  
 21 As part of that event, guidance was given on access  
 22 control to prevent unauthorised people entering  
 23 buildings, security passes, screening and patrolling,  
 24 and random screening of hand baggage. An information  
 25 pack was provided as part of that project and that

1 advice, he said, was made available to all livery  
 2 companies.  
 3 Regarding the fact that an event with prisoner  
 4 rehabilitation and some former and serving prisoners to  
 5 be in attendance was taking place, he would expect those  
 6 involved to make an assessment as to whether it was  
 7 something that needed to be passed to the police. As  
 8 a senior police officer knowing about counter-terrorism,  
 9 if he had been asked should an organisation such as the  
 10 Fishmongers' inform the City Police of an event with  
 11 this profile, he would say yes.  
 12 At the time, they did not produce a specific  
 13 document on this, but since these tragic events, they  
 14 produced one within days, a document that set out advice  
 15 to event organisers, which included knowing who is  
 16 attending your event, understanding your staffing  
 17 requirements, and if using agency staff, about vetting,  
 18 and that the staff must be briefed.  
 19 The next topic I'm going to turn to is to deal with  
 20 the evidence given by DCI Brown about the life and  
 21 background of Khan, and his preparation for the attack.  
 22 DCI Brown set the scene of the police investigation  
 23 into the background of Khan that had taken place after  
 24 the attack and for the purposes of these Inquests.  
 25 Khan, we heard, was born in Stoke-on-Trent on

1 10 March 1991. His parents came from Pakistan and he  
 2 was the second youngest of seven children. In 2004 he  
 3 had his first contact with the police. That concerned  
 4 an incident at school of assault with racial slurs.  
 5 Khan said he had been expelled from school, went to  
 6 Pakistan for two months, as his mother was ill, and was  
 7 not then having any further education whilst of school  
 8 age.  
 9 DCI Brown said it had not been possible to verify  
 10 the information that Khan had given. In a home visit  
 11 after his release from prison, Khan gave more  
 12 information to a probation officer, Mr Skelton, about  
 13 his early years, including that Khan had said he had  
 14 been involved in gang culture. Khan also spoke about  
 15 not being involved in religion at that time but building  
 16 up a grievance about what was happening in Kashmir  
 17 and then beginning to educate himself via the internet in  
 18 religion.  
 19 In his teens, it appears that Khan began to be  
 20 attracted to extreme Islam and interested in the  
 21 teachings of two prominent Muslim figures, including  
 22 Anjem Choudary, the leader of ALM. There was further  
 23 contact with the police in 2009, when CCTV picked up two  
 24 men attacking an individual. A brick was thrown by Khan  
 25 at the victim, and he was later arrested. Khan accepted

1 a police caution for that attack.  
 2 Press reports showed Khan's teaching in 2008–2010.  
 3 He was preaching Islamic extremism on behalf of ALM at  
 4 da'wah stalls. ALM, we know, is a proscribed  
 5 organisation. Khan's activities came to the attention  
 6 of Staffordshire Police, and his sister's home was  
 7 searched. In a press conference on the following day,  
 8 he said he was not a terrorist.

9 DCI Brown told us that in 2010 it appears that Khan  
 10 began a relationship with a young woman and they had  
 11 an unofficial Muslim wedding, but had never lived  
 12 together as man and wife. They had maintained contact  
 13 during his early years in prison, but around 2014 they  
 14 separated and had had no further contact.

15 In his first terrorism investigation with Khan,  
 16 there were concerns about radicalisation and promoting  
 17 extremism, which included Khan. Khan later said the  
 18 search had an effect on his reputation and his  
 19 attitudes. He said his reputation was ruined and the  
 20 raids had turned his life upside down.

21 The material seized included extremist and  
 22 fundamentalist material but didn't meet the threshold  
 23 for prosecution. In January 2010, Operation Norbury was  
 24 launched to look at several Stoke-on-Trent Islamist  
 25 extremists who harboured intentions to travel abroad and

1 to engage in violent jihadi activity.  
 2 In the summer of 2010, Khan travelled to Pakistan  
 3 with another, apparently to study. He travelled with  
 4 Mohammed Shahjahan, who later became a co-defendant in  
 5 Operation Guava. That related to nine individuals, who  
 6 were arrested and charged with terrorism offences. They  
 7 fell into three groups: one based in London, one in  
 8 Cardiff, and one in Stoke. The one in Stoke was with  
 9 Khan and three others.

10 In the sentencing remarks for that case, the judge  
 11 referred to members of the group having been involved in  
 12 preaching radical Islamic or da'wah and having come to  
 13 know each other through a network of meetings and having  
 14 decided to engage in conduct preparatory to violence.  
 15 The judge accepted they had been motivated by a magazine  
 16 called Inspire produced by Al Qaeda in the  
 17 Arabian Peninsula and that between November and December  
 18 2010 the Security Services had become aware of the nine  
 19 men in the three groups and had mounted a sophisticated  
 20 and effective monitoring operation which led to the  
 21 arrests in December 2010. The monitoring revealed  
 22 discussions at Khan's address between him and another  
 23 about how to construct a pipe bomb from a recipe  
 24 referred to in the Al Qaeda magazine Inspire. Khan was  
 25 arrested for offences of engaging in conduct in

1 preparation for acts of terrorism and conspiracy to  
 2 cause an explosion likely to endanger property or life.  
 3 Khan was remanded into custody from  
 4 27 December 2010, and remained in custody, as we know,  
 5 until the end of 2018. Khan pleaded guilty to  
 6 a section 5 offence of engaging in conduct and  
 7 preparation specifically to the funding and planning to  
 8 build a terrorist training facility in Pakistan. His  
 9 basis of plea was accepted, and that was that he  
 10 accepted that he conspired with others to set up  
 11 a terrorist training camp which might, in due course,  
 12 send back fighters to commit outrages in the UK, which  
 13 didn't then have specific plans or timetables.

14 On 9 February 2012, Khan was sentenced to  
 15 an indeterminate sentence of imprisonment for public  
 16 protection with a custodial term of 16 years. As  
 17 DCI Brown explained, it would have meant that he would  
 18 then serve at least 8 years before a review by the  
 19 Parole Board as to whether he could be considered safe  
 20 for release on licence. His sentence was subject to  
 21 an appeal to the Court of Appeal and in March 2013, his  
 22 sentence was varied and he was given a determinate  
 23 sentence, an extended sentence of 21 years with  
 24 a custodial term of 16 years, and an extended licence of  
 25 five years. It meant that he would be released after

1 eight years but would be subject to a licence for the  
 2 remainder of the sentence period. Significantly, his  
 3 release after eight years would be no longer subject to  
 4 approval by the Parole Board.

5 DCI Brown explained that initially Mr Khan served  
 6 the sentence in Belmarsh, was then transferred to  
 7 Wakefield before returning to Belmarsh in July of 2012.  
 8 He then moved to HMP Long Lartin in 2013, then to  
 9 Frankland, before going to Manchester in 2014, and then  
 10 to Woodhill. He was then at Whitmoor from  
 11 20 July 2016, and moved to Woodhill on 13 December 2018,  
 12 and was released from custody from there.

13 Khan was categorised as a category A prison  
 14 throughout, a prisoner whose escape would be highly  
 15 dangerous to the public. He was also high risk, which  
 16 refers to the risk or prospect of him escaping.  
 17 Typically there are less than 100 category A high risk  
 18 prisoners in the prison estate.

19 DCI Brown then outlined various matters dealing with  
 20 the period that Khan was in prison and once released at  
 21 the end of his sentence. These matters included reports  
 22 of incidents in prison, records of intelligence that had  
 23 been made, notes of significant meetings Khan had with  
 24 others, including his offender manager, the probation  
 25 officer, and then the MAPPA meetings.

1 Turning to planning and preparation, DCI Brown said  
 2 the investigation has not revealed exactly when Khan  
 3 started his planning or preparation for this attack.  
 4 There were a number of events on the day before the  
 5 attack that were of some concern, and it may be then  
 6 that the attack plans were made.  
 7 On 20 November, Khan had purchased a roll of T–Rex  
 8 gaffer tape from a Tesco in Stafford. That tape matches  
 9 that used in the creation of the IED. Khan left his  
 10 flat at about 5.10 that day, returning at 5.25,  
 11 suggesting he went specifically to purchase that item.  
 12 As DCI Brown explained, as that tape has many uses, they  
 13 cannot be sure that he had an attack planned at that  
 14 stage.  
 15 On Friday 22 November, at 2.15, Khan had purchased  
 16 a red man bag from TK Maxx in Stafford and that bag  
 17 matched the one recovered from the toilet cubicle in  
 18 Fishmongers' Hall. The employee who served him thought  
 19 Khan suspicious as he was wearing sunglasses inside.  
 20 When she asked if he was having a nice day, Khan had  
 21 just grunted back at her.  
 22 On 28 November, the day before the attack, Khan made  
 23 most of the purchases relevant to the attack. At 10.36  
 24 that morning, he withdrew £20 in cash, which it is  
 25 believed he used for the purchase of the knives that

1 day.  
 2 At 11.09 that morning, he was called by  
 3 Dawn Spilsbury and said that he was at the barbers. As  
 4 DCI Brown explained, having a haircut and a beard trim  
 5 is a common act of final preparation of cleanliness  
 6 prior to martyrdom and has been seen before in other  
 7 terrorist cases.  
 8 At 1.12 that day, Khan went to Trespass Camping and  
 9 Survival Store where he purchased a fleece and a blue  
 10 padded jacket in an extra large size. He already owned  
 11 a jacket and had bought it in an extra large size. It  
 12 is believed that he bought one a size larger so as to  
 13 hide the fake suicide belt.  
 14 At 13.36 that day, he went to Sports Direct and  
 15 bought Nike boots and a top, also in an extra large  
 16 size, those items he was wearing on 29 November.  
 17 At 13.54 he purchased scissors and a motorbike face  
 18 mask from Poundstretcher. The scissors were in a  
 19 backpack at Fishmongers' Hall and it is believed he used  
 20 them to cut the tape to tie the knives to his hands. He  
 21 was wearing a mask when he came from the toilets.  
 22 He also bought razors and eyebrow wax strips and,  
 23 again, DCI Brown explained that a common act prior to  
 24 martyrdom is preparation of cleanliness and the shaving  
 25 or removing of body hair from the chest, the pubic hair

1 and the underarm hair. And the post mortem here later  
 2 revealed that he, Khan, had shaved his pubic hair and  
 3 his underarm hair.  
 4 That day at 5.15, Khan purchased another pack of  
 5 T–Rex gaffer tape from Tesco. As to the knives,  
 6 DCI Brown explained that the investigation had sought to  
 7 identify every shop and market within the vicinity of  
 8 where the data showed that Khan was. The knives were  
 9 not sold in any shop in Stafford, but are consistent  
 10 with the type of products sold on the market and it is  
 11 believed that they were bought from a stall in the  
 12 market on the day before the attack and the amount of  
 13 cash paid, believed to be £15, was consistent with the  
 14 cash withdrawal that Khan had made. The knives, he  
 15 said, are not items accounted for by any card  
 16 transactions.  
 17 Khan travelled to London on 29 November. He was due  
 18 to catch the 7.39 train. On arrival at the station,  
 19 Khan withdrew £30 in cash from an ATM. He then went to  
 20 the gents for a couple of minutes. There is a phone  
 21 call with Mr Larmour about trains, and Khan is seen to  
 22 get on a train at 7.44 and travel to Euston. In the  
 23 journey, his ticket was checked and as it was for  
 24 a different service, he had to buy another ticket, paid  
 25 for partly in cash and partly on card.

1 Khan went to the toilets on the train at 8.47 for  
 2 about seven minutes, and DCI Brown thought it was then  
 3 that he may well have put on the hoax IED belt. Khan  
 4 arrived at Euston at 9.08, went to an ATM and withdrew  
 5 another £30, before then going to the gents at 9.15 for  
 6 three minutes. Khan then had a phone call with  
 7 Mr Larmour and they arranged to meet before travelling  
 8 on to Fishmongers' Hall.  
 9 The police had searched Mr Khan's flat after the  
 10 event. They found black PVC tape, cling film, and the  
 11 eyebrow wax. They examined external bins in an area  
 12 near the flat and that included torn up packaging for  
 13 knives, for a 4–piece knife set. Also wiring was found  
 14 that was used in the hoax IED.  
 15 DCI Brown explained that the bins had only recently  
 16 been emptied and these items were at the top so  
 17 consistent that preparations were done soon before the  
 18 attack.  
 19 Ms Spilsbury's statement was read to you. She is  
 20 a case manager for Ixion Holdings. Khan participated in  
 21 their programme since January 2019. She had met Khan,  
 22 thought him to be pleasant and easy to talk to. He  
 23 engaged well and it was clear to her that he was willing  
 24 to get into work. Her last meeting with Khan was  
 25 scheduled on 21 November 2019. He tried to postpone it

1 but Mr Skelton intervened and Mr Khan showed up. She  
 2 tried hard, she said, to get Khan into employment. She  
 3 felt he was consistently getting knocked back, there  
 4 were numerous barriers for him to overcome. She was  
 5 concerned that Khan was on his own a lot, and she  
 6 mentioned this to Mr Skelton.

7 Her last interaction with Mr Khan was on 28 November  
 8 where she updated him to say that she was in the process  
 9 of getting him funding for a course. She thought he  
 10 sounded fine.

11 During the course of the Inquests you heard from  
 12 Mr Khan's brother. He expressed the family's sincere  
 13 condolences to the families of both Jack and Saskia. He  
 14 explained that he is the older brother of Khan, he is  
 15 seven years younger than he was. Their father had come  
 16 to the UK in the 1960's, married his mother in Pakistan,  
 17 and then she had come to the UK in the 1980s. In  
 18 general, he said, they were a close Muslim family, they  
 19 observed most of the traditions. When he was asked  
 20 about May 2004 and the time when Usman, aged 13, got  
 21 into a fight and the police had been involved, he was  
 22 not aware of that at the time, he said.

23 In 2004 he was living at home but was out a lot on  
 24 all-nighters. He could not recall his brother getting  
 25 expelled and had a vague thought that Usman had finished

1 his education in the normal way.

2 He was asked whether he recalled his brother going  
 3 to Pakistan when their mother was ill in 2004 or 2005.  
 4 He thought that Usman had gone to Pakistan but he  
 5 couldn't recall why. Between 2005 and 2010 he said he  
 6 didn't have much contact with Usman, that he was going  
 7 out with his friends a lot to parties and wasn't going  
 8 home. He reiterated that he didn't know what Usman was  
 9 really doing. He didn't think Usman went to college,  
 10 and may have been out chilling with his friends. As to  
 11 Usman getting involved in criminality and gang culture  
 12 in Stoke, that was not something he knew anything about  
 13 then. Usman, he said, kept everything to himself and he  
 14 didn't hear anything about any trouble.

15 As to June 2009 and Usman being arrested for  
 16 throwing a brick and then being cautioned, he said he  
 17 found out about that from their mother. He was told  
 18 that Usman had got into trouble with the police and had  
 19 had a fight. After Usman was cautioned, he told him to  
 20 make sure he didn't get into any more trouble.

21 He was asked whether his brother was becoming more  
 22 aware of an Islamic faith. He said he knew he was  
 23 praying, but no more than that. As to Usman telling  
 24 others he looked into current affairs and having a  
 25 grievance about what was happening in Kashmir, he said

1 he was not aware of that. As to evidence of Usman  
 2 preaching an extreme form of Islam in public over  
 3 a period between 2008 and 2010, including for ALM, he  
 4 said that he and the family were not aware of that until  
 5 Usman got arrested. It was a shock when he was  
 6 arrested, they didn't have a clue. He confronted Usman  
 7 and asked him what he was doing, why wasn't he going to  
 8 work and doing something with his life, but Usman said  
 9 he was preaching and the police had stopped him and he  
 10 gave the police the leaflets and said there's nothing  
 11 wrong. They tried to ask Usman, and he said to leave  
 12 him alone.

13 He spoke about living in Tunstall, two or three  
 14 miles away. He had no idea who Usman was living with at  
 15 the time. The time on the stalls and handing out  
 16 literature did concern the family and they got quite  
 17 worried about it when he was arrested and raided by the  
 18 police. It gave the family some concern that Usman was  
 19 on the wrong track.

20 Turning, then, to December 2010, when Usman had been  
 21 arrested and charged with terrorism offences. He said  
 22 he was aware through the news what Usman had been  
 23 charged with. From the news they found out he had been  
 24 arrested for an offence of terrorism. It was a real  
 25 shock to them. They had no suspicions before then that

1 Usman might be doing something more serious than getting  
 2 into trouble locally or preaching on the stalls. Had  
 3 they known, they would have tried to stop him in his  
 4 tracks. The family reaction was of total shock. He  
 5 said it was unbelievable. Usman wouldn't tell them  
 6 anything, other than he claimed he had not done anything  
 7 wrong.

8 Between December 2010 and 2018, Usman was in prison,  
 9 and he had contact with the family. He himself had  
 10 visited Usman a number a time. He explained that Usman  
 11 was edgy at the start and then began to be more  
 12 remorseful. They didn't talk about the offences but  
 13 they'd talk about random things. From the news, they  
 14 discovered that he had pleaded guilty on the basis that  
 15 he had been involved in trying to set up a terrorist  
 16 training camp and they were aware of that. They did ask  
 17 Usman about this, but he wouldn't open up about it and  
 18 they didn't want to stress him. He said that they were  
 19 not aware of violence incidents in prison or that he was  
 20 thought to be high up in the Muslim community in prison  
 21 or that he was suspected of being involved in  
 22 radicalising others, preaching extremist Islam in  
 23 prisons.

24 When asked if it was the situation that the family  
 25 tried to turn a blind eye to what Usman was doing and

1 shut it out, he said not. He said they'd tried to do  
 2 their best they could while Usman was in prison, and  
 3 they relied on prison officers to keep an eye on Usman,  
 4 thinking that he was progressing and had changed.  
 5 He knew that in 2018 Khan was released and living in  
 6 Stafford, initially in a hostel and then in his own  
 7 flat. He went to see him on the first day he was in the  
 8 hostel, and they went to get some fast food, then Usman  
 9 got clearance to go to the parents' home and he would  
 10 visit on Sundays. Usman was subject to a curfew and he  
 11 was picked up by either him or a brother and they got  
 12 him back to the venue before the time the curfew ended.  
 13 In the later months, he stayed for several hours on  
 14 a Sunday.  
 15 As to his time, he didn't know what Usman was doing  
 16 with his time. He did ask him, and he said about  
 17 a computer and going to the shops. He was speaking  
 18 about getting a job and that he had got a CSCS for the  
 19 building industry and he seemed optimistic about the  
 20 future. He spoke about getting married and having a  
 21 family and generally Usman was upbeat when they saw him.  
 22 He had visited Usman on 20 November 2019. Usman, he  
 23 said, came across the same as he had before, it was  
 24 a bit of a routine: they watched a bit of a TV series  
 25 and when they'd finished eating, said "See you next

1 week". He was not, he said, aware that he was more  
 2 isolated or that he was spending more time on his own.  
 3 Usman was playing on an Xbox or would go for a walk.  
 4 He said he was going to go to an event but he took no  
 5 notice of what Usman said about it. He knew he was  
 6 going to London with the same course as last time with  
 7 Cambridge University, and thought he was going to be  
 8 escorted, as he had been before.  
 9 On 28 November, they spoke on the telephone. It was  
 10 a brief call at about 6.00 pm. On the Sunday they had  
 11 spoken about his sink being blocked and they had spoken  
 12 about it and laughed about it and joked about it. He  
 13 was aware that Khan spoke to others in the family and  
 14 explained to their mother that he was going to London.  
 15 When it was suggested to him that neither he nor the  
 16 family had really pressed Usman enough for what he had  
 17 done and what he was doing after release, they had not  
 18 pushed to find out more about his life, he said they had  
 19 tried their best but they relied on people who were  
 20 monitoring Khan.  
 21 Turning next to Mr Machin, he is the head of  
 22 counter—corruption and counter—terrorism at HMP  
 23 Whitemoor. He joined the HMPPS in 1998 and has spent  
 24 his career working at Whitemoor in various departments.  
 25 For the last five years he has been in the

1 counter—terrorism department as a custodial manager and  
 2 most recently as head of that department.  
 3 The role of his department, he said, is to assess  
 4 all the security information in relation to the people  
 5 they case—manage, and to try to suggest interventions  
 6 that may be suitable for them. They manage those who  
 7 have committed terrorism—related offences and those at  
 8 risk of being drawn into terrorism.  
 9 The existence of the counter—terrorism department is  
 10 known to all prisoners and they have regular meetings  
 11 with the prisoners. They consider intelligence about  
 12 prisoners and the management of them.  
 13 Before 2013, intelligence gathering was  
 14 a paper—based system. It was updated to the electronic  
 15 Mercury system in 2013, and for each prisoner it covers,  
 16 there is a Mercury Intelligence record. Reports come  
 17 into an inbox, the analysts then work on them, and once  
 18 they've done that, it's passed through to a manager and  
 19 then actions are assigned.  
 20 The reports could come from any member of prison  
 21 staff, public, or they could be anonymous.  
 22 Consideration is given as to whether action is required  
 23 on each piece of information. When a new prisoner comes  
 24 from another prison, there may be information on the  
 25 Mercury system and it will be reviewed. The analysts

1 also get out into the prison and look at open records.  
 2 They have conversations with the staff and they get  
 3 feedback on people's individual behaviour.  
 4 Each prisoner has a NOMIS record that captures the  
 5 bulk of the information about prisoners and all staff  
 6 can access that. There is also a CT case management  
 7 system called Pathfinder. Meetings take place each  
 8 month and the Pathfinder caseload is considered, and  
 9 decisions can be made on steps to manage particular  
 10 prisoners. Options may include interventions on moving  
 11 to different parts of the prison, and even to  
 12 a separation centre or informing the police of action  
 13 that may require input from them.  
 14 He explained that prisoners have access to PIN  
 15 phones. All calls from PIN phones are recorded. Legal  
 16 and confidential numbers are not monitored but as Khan  
 17 was a high risk cat A prisoner, 100% of his calls were  
 18 recorded. Khan was found to have broken the rules on  
 19 phone calls on at least two occasions. As Khan was  
 20 a terrorist offender and a category A high risk, all his  
 21 mail with the exception of legally privileged  
 22 confidential post was read.  
 23 An annual review on behaviour and intelligence is  
 24 undertaken to consider categorisation. Khan was  
 25 reviewed but the categorisation for him remained the

1 same throughout his time in prison. The effect of  
 2 a high risk categorisation meant that he was searched  
 3 twice every month, he would move cells every month,  
 4 there were restrictions on his communications. The  
 5 staff also recorded his location every hour.  
 6 For Khan to go from his cell to the classroom, he  
 7 would go to a centre of the wing, be searched and, if  
 8 necessary, they would use a hand-held detector and  
 9 possibly a rub-down search.  
 10 Khan had spent some time in segregation units. That  
 11 indicated that staff in the prisons felt that his risk  
 12 was too much to remain in a normal wing. Mr Machin  
 13 explained that an adjudication is a formal decision of  
 14 breaching prison rules. There were 15 in Khan's time in  
 15 prison and eight were found to be proven. Some were not  
 16 proceeded with.  
 17 The Multi-Agency Public Protection Arrangements,  
 18 MAPPA, cover those prisoners who present a risk to the  
 19 public. The MAPPA process involves meetings for the  
 20 main statutory bodies. The meetings begin while someone  
 21 is in prison, before release, and a MAPPA F form is  
 22 prepared including material from the intelligence  
 23 analyst.  
 24 Mr Machin said he was aware of Learning Together  
 25 being introduced to Whitemoor and that it ran a number

1 of courses over the years. A prisoner waiting to go on  
 2 a Learning Together course would complete a form that  
 3 would be screened at the prison before going on to  
 4 Learning Together for an academic sift. The form would  
 5 go through the education department into the activities  
 6 hub. Each prisoner has a work and activity risk  
 7 assessment of high, medium, and low. Each area where  
 8 activities are held is also assessed as to whether it's  
 9 high, medium, or low. The two are then  
 10 cross-referenced. Learning Together was risk assessed,  
 11 much like any educational activity, and was considered  
 12 to be low risk.  
 13 There was a second stage of risk assessment for  
 14 Learning Together as it was new to Mr Machin and he  
 15 wanted it to be successful and so he took on an extra  
 16 level of check as both organisations have reputations at  
 17 stake and he wanted to make sure that Learning Together  
 18 within Whitemoor ran successfully and all needs were  
 19 met. To do that exercise for Khan, he would have used  
 20 the Mercury system.  
 21 Prisoners were put alongside undergraduates and that  
 22 was taken into account in the assessment he did. He  
 23 considered the risks of putting people who were  
 24 potentially violent, manipulative and predatory directly  
 25 alongside potentially young students in a learning

1 environment. He was aware of the induction documents  
 2 that Learning Together had used and assisted in putting  
 3 those together. He had contact with the  
 4 Learning Together team on a fairly regular basis in the  
 5 course of the academic year. In a relatively short  
 6 period he was seeing a lot of positive outcomes as  
 7 a result of Learning Together.  
 8 Khan arrived at Whitemoor in mid-2016 with two years  
 9 of his sentence to go. The staff familiarised  
 10 themselves with Khan's record of conduct. There was the  
 11 event in June 2012 when he jumped off the netting in  
 12 protest, recited a poem about cutting off the kuffar's  
 13 head, and then shortly afterwards doing serious damage  
 14 to the cell wall and found to be in possession of  
 15 a governor's home address. He would have seen that in  
 16 the intelligence records, but wouldn't have seen that as  
 17 a current behaviour due to the time between the two  
 18 events.  
 19 There was a reference in November 2013 to Khan being  
 20 involved in an assault on another prisoner in which  
 21 a chaplain was hit, and the finding of a razor blade  
 22 when his cell was searched.  
 23 In 2014 there was intelligence linking Khan to  
 24 a plot to kill a civilian member of staff, and in 2015,  
 25 intelligence that he cited an attack on another prisoner

1 as part of a wider campaign of organised bullying.  
 2 On a review of the Mercury records, there had been  
 3 numerous pieces of intelligence reporting Khan as  
 4 a high-ranking terrorist prisoner involved in  
 5 radicalisation and intimidation. Within the prison's  
 6 subculture, terrorists are held in perverse esteem, as  
 7 are high risk category A prisoners, and so Khan had  
 8 these two badges. When he arrived he could see from the  
 9 reports that he was someone who liked to incite others  
 10 and he read like a very angry young man.  
 11 In February 2017 Khan was involved in an assault on  
 12 another prisoner and jumped on the netting before being  
 13 placed in segregation. In May 2017, there was  
 14 intelligence that Khan wanted to carry out an assault on  
 15 another inmate who was pretending to be a Muslim for  
 16 their own ulterior motive. And in July 2017, Khan was  
 17 identified promoting extremist views and being involved  
 18 in organised intimidation, including retribution  
 19 beatings. A few months later, he considered Khan for  
 20 the Learning Together programme. At the time, he would  
 21 have been conscious of how long Khan had left to serve,  
 22 which would be a lot shorter than others, and perhaps he  
 23 felt that they needed to throw as much as they could at  
 24 him to seek to reduce risks.  
 25 He was not initially aware that it was part of the

1 mission statement of Learning Together to remain in  
2 contact with the alumni outside prison, but as he worked  
3 with them more, then he became aware and he saw they  
4 were assisting some people to rebuild their lives  
5 post-sentence.

6 Members of the jury, I will break off there and we  
7 will take our lunch break, I think you probably deserve  
8 a good break. We'll sit again at 2 o'clock. Thank you.

9 (In the absence of the jury)

10 I'll rise.

11 (12.58 pm)

12 (The short adjournment)

13 (2.01 pm)

14 (In the absence of the jury)

15 JUDGE LUCRAFT: Now that you've come back, Mr Hough, I'll  
16 start again at the beginning, shall I!

17 (In the presence of the jury)

18 Welcome back, everyone.

19 I'm going to --- when we parted just before lunch,  
20 I was in the middle of taking you through my summary of  
21 the evidence given by Mr Machin, so I'll pick up on  
22 where I had got to with him. And just to give you  
23 an idea of where we're going this afternoon, I'll  
24 probably break the afternoon mid-way through what  
25 I think will probably be a tolerable amount of

1 information that you can take on from me, so we probably  
2 won't go all the way through to about 4.30, I'll find  
3 a slot to finish, probably at about 4.10, because  
4 I'm conscious that listening to one voice is rather  
5 different to what you've been used to with different  
6 voices, and it will also give my voice a bit of a break.  
7 But I am making good progress through the detail that  
8 I need to cover with you, so that's the headlines.

9 So going back to Mr Machin, after Khan had joined  
10 Learning Together in late 2017, there were some further  
11 pieces of concerning intelligence that came to his  
12 department. In January 2018 there was an entry in the  
13 Mercury record identifying Khan as a senior terrorist  
14 offender on C wing, the wing to which he had been moved  
15 as part of a disruption process.

16 In June 2018, there was reporting of Khan as one of  
17 a group with links to religious bullying and gang  
18 culture and in July 2018, intelligence suggesting that  
19 Khan and other Muslim leaders wanted a person, thought  
20 to be a snitch, harmed, and an entry made on 29 October  
21 indicating that Khan was trying to radicalise others,  
22 and that he would return to his old ways, interpreted as  
23 terrorism, on his release.

24 That intelligence was from partner agencies and his  
25 team were aware of it. It was information they had no

1 reason to distrust, but also had no ability to build  
2 upon it. There was an intelligence report from before  
3 Khan was in Whitemoor of using a tactic called taqiyya,  
4 lying for what was regarded as legitimate purposes.  
5 There was also a record from June 2018 that he had  
6 generated little intelligence, but that may be due to  
7 his impending release. His impression and assessment  
8 over the course of June 2018 was that Khan was showing  
9 a modest early change in personality and becoming more  
10 prosocial and mixing with staff more and outside his  
11 faith group.

12 The MAPPA F form for 27 June 2018 has a summary of  
13 intelligence over the previous 12 months. This was from  
14 the first of the meetings relating to Khan. It was fed  
15 into the MAPPA process by his team. He thought it was  
16 a fair picture, based on the intelligence they had.  
17 Whatever might have been his hopes for Khan's  
18 improvement, it would be wrong for his department to  
19 hide the risks. An ERG assessment was done  
20 in April 2018, and also sets out the issues of concern  
21 from the intelligence.

22 He met with Khan as a part of that ERG process. He  
23 remained engaged in the process, despite not liking it.  
24 Khan responded on the intelligence to discredit it but  
25 Mr Machin responded to Khan to say it was a pattern over

1 a period of time and could not be discredited.

2 There was a further MAPPA meeting in August 2018 and  
3 a further F form prepared for that meeting. In the  
4 meeting that followed there was something raised about  
5 concerns around information-sharing. The final MAPPA  
6 meeting whilst Khan was in custody was on  
7 5 December 2018. In the form for this meeting, the  
8 intelligence about Khan radicalising others and the  
9 report of him saying he wanted to return to his old ways  
10 was included. It has the comment "low grade". It was  
11 not a phrase, Mr Machin said, that he would have used,  
12 but he assumed it referred to the fact that it was not  
13 corroborated or supported by other intelligence.

14 After release he had no continuing contact with Khan  
15 but he did hear through Dr Ludlow or Dr Armstrong the  
16 occasional update from them at different events that  
17 Khan would be at. Khan attended an event at Whitemoor  
18 in June 2019 and he had been involved in the sifting  
19 process of attendees for that event.

20 Mr Machin attended the Fishmongers' Hall event. He  
21 knew that Khan was going to be there as he was told by  
22 the Learning Together team. His personal view was he  
23 thought Khan, with the profile he had, would have been  
24 accompanied to that event, and when he wasn't, he  
25 assumed he was progressing to the point that it was

1 deemed not necessary. He recalled Dr Ludlow and  
 2 Dr Armstrong having a similar expectation about him  
 3 being accompanied. He was in the Banqueting Hall and  
 4 spoke to Khan and tried to debrief him about his time in  
 5 prison, trying to learn anything that might make him  
 6 better at his job, if there was any feedback on things  
 7 where they were missing the mark, and he gave no  
 8 indication, Mr Machin said, of what his plans were for  
 9 that day.

10 When they met, Khan threw his arms open and stepped  
 11 in as if for a hug, which felt a bit weird to him, but  
 12 they were not in prison anymore and so he sort of met  
 13 Khan halfway with a shoulder bump. Khan was initially  
 14 quiet and he asked him about his creative writing, but  
 15 he didn't really engage, and it was only when they spoke  
 16 about prison life that the conversation eased and  
 17 relaxed.

18 One thing Khan said made him stop and raise his  
 19 eyebrows was when he spoke about things he had done and  
 20 spoke about imams. He said they needed to get people  
 21 not employed by the Prison Service in and do more around  
 22 religion as the imams had not been able to alter his  
 23 perspective on his religion. He stopped him and gave  
 24 him a sort of "What does that mean?" and he quite  
 25 quickly said "But fortunately, through these things and

113

1 knowing violence isn't the answer, I've learnt a  
 2 different path". That morning he was telling him what  
 3 he knows was a set of lies through what happened.

4 Georgina Butler is the learning and skills manager  
 5 based at Whitemoor. By late 2016, plans had been made  
 6 to provide a Learning Together course at Whitemoor. She  
 7 first became aware of Learning Together through the  
 8 previous governor. She understood the scheme was for  
 9 university students alongside prisoners. A notice to  
 10 Prison Service employees was produced in late 2016  
 11 giving details of a proposed Learning Together course at  
 12 Whitemoor with the aims of the course and the  
 13 application process. She had experience of seeing the  
 14 impact of the course at Grendon and could see the  
 15 commitment of Dr Ludlow and Dr Armstrong. From the  
 16 prison inspectorate there were recommendations about  
 17 higher level education opportunities, and so the  
 18 opportunity seemed to fit the bill and great potential  
 19 for the population at Whitemoor. She had approached  
 20 Mr Machin about security procedures and to make the  
 21 preparations.

22 There is a risk assessment process for education  
 23 work and other activities for each prisoner. Once  
 24 an inmate filled in the form, she would collate the  
 25 forms and then ask colleagues for feedback about those

114

1 that had applied. If anything caused a concern, she  
 2 would raise it, and perhaps with Mr Machin.

3 In the two years 2017 and 2018, there was a massive  
 4 oversubscription for the Learning Together courses. For  
 5 every course they ran there were 15 who would  
 6 participate, but probably double that number of  
 7 applications. She was aware of some applications being  
 8 refused on security grounds. There were fairly small  
 9 numbers of those not known well or behaviours that made  
 10 it too risky.

11 In the time she was involved with Learning Together  
 12 at Whitemoor, there was an incident whereby a prison  
 13 student had made attempts to be in contact with  
 14 a university student outside the arrangements within the  
 15 compact. That was flagged by them and by that point,  
 16 the individual had moved to a secure hospital and so it  
 17 was dealt with from a security perspective. She had  
 18 explained that there were limits to what she could deal  
 19 with and so she encouraged security personnel be  
 20 contacted. There was the contact whereby Khan said that  
 21 he wanted to stay in contact post-release but she knew  
 22 that was outside her field of remit and so she put the  
 23 necessary parties in contact.

24 The discussions at the beginning did not get into  
 25 the question of whether there would be risks of having

115

1 a long-term connection between a recently released  
 2 serious offender and those in the educational programme.

3 She first came across Khan when he became involved  
 4 with Learning Together, but she knew of his name as he  
 5 had applied for a number of learning at a distance  
 6 courses on creative writing. She knew Khan had been  
 7 through the sift, but his application didn't  
 8 particularly stand out. In June 2018 she had contact  
 9 with Joanna Boulton, Khan's offender supervisor, as he  
 10 wanted to maintain contact with Learning Together  
 11 post-release, and she asked for the details of the  
 12 outside probation officer, Mr Skelton, and was provided  
 13 with them. She then put Mr Skelton in touch with  
 14 Dr Ludlow, so that those who were able to make informed  
 15 decisions about contact could do so.

16 After his release, Khan attended a digital  
 17 innovation event at Whitemoor in June 2019. She was  
 18 there and had a very brief conversation with Khan. She  
 19 recalls asking how he was, how things were going, and  
 20 how the family were. She didn't recall particularly  
 21 anything about their conversation.

22 She was invited to the event at Fishmongers' Hall,  
 23 and sat at the table with Mr Khan, Mr Machin and  
 24 Saskia Jones. She had arrived late and made small talk  
 25 with Khan and asked about his family and she described

116

1 him as being "fairly chatty".  
 2 Joanna Boulton is a prison offender manager at  
 3 Whitemoor in the Offender Management Unit, the OMU. The  
 4 role of the offender supervisor is to look at  
 5 offence-based interventions which aim to reduce the risk  
 6 of harm prisoners pose and to support and help them with  
 7 progression, to attend various meetings, do risk  
 8 assessments and to write reports. Before 2016 she had  
 9 no experience of dealing with TACT offenders.

10 In late 2016, she became the OMU lead for all TACT  
 11 offenders at Whitemoor. She would make entries on the  
 12 NOMIS record and also pass on informally to others about  
 13 those that she was managing. She also kept her own  
 14 contact log of dealings with prisoners. She would  
 15 attend the Pathfinder meetings.

16 TACT have an unusually high degree of supervision by  
 17 her unit. At the monthly Pathfinder meetings it would  
 18 be her role to raise any concerns about individual  
 19 offenders and updates on the interventions being given.  
 20 She had referred Khan for the Thinking Skills Programme  
 21 in December 2016. The TSP, the Thinking Skills  
 22 Programme, is a generic programme for all prisoners that  
 23 meet the criteria where there are issues around  
 24 thinking, not stopping and considering the consequences,  
 25 and goal-setting.

117

1 In January 2017 she met with Khan and he expressed  
 2 frustration about the limitations his high risk status  
 3 posed. By way of example, Khan was upset about not  
 4 being able to call his mother without needing to  
 5 pre-book it. She was in contact with Khan's outside  
 6 offender manager in March 2017, Mr Phil Bromley, and  
 7 told him Khan had been involved in an assault and had  
 8 been reduced to the basic IEP level. Khan had been  
 9 involved in the assault and had jumped onto the spur  
 10 netting. Khan was told he would need to demonstrate  
 11 settled good behaviour before an upgrade from his IEP  
 12 could be considered.

13 In May 2017 she recorded a reasonably long meeting  
 14 with Khan. In that meeting Khan spent the time talking  
 15 to her or at her about his category A review. He was  
 16 frustrated and annoyed about the intelligence and said  
 17 about him using taqiyya, a permissible form of telling  
 18 lies to advance the cause of Islam. Khan was very  
 19 unhappy at the suggestion he was telling lies to  
 20 progress and it was something that he brought up  
 21 numerous times.

22 In August 2017 she met with Mr Khan and Mr Skelton  
 23 and again noted his frustration at the taqiyya comment  
 24 and about having his British citizenship taken away. On  
 25 23 August 2017 she had recorded an email to Mr Skelton

118

1 that Khan was on basic IEP as he had incited a group not  
 2 to lock up. She was also aware by this stage there was  
 3 intelligence that he was one of the main prisoners for  
 4 promoting extremist views.

5 In September 2017, a category A review was carried  
 6 out, and she had contributed to the dossier of material  
 7 for that. Various concerning behaviour was noted,  
 8 including that relating to the incident with the  
 9 netting, and Khan's poor attitude over the previous  
 10 months, as well as some incidents of positive behaviour  
 11 on education towards both staff and other prisoners.

12 She summarised the position of mixed behaviour, but  
 13 the good engagement with her and Mr Skelton. She was  
 14 aware the decision was that Khan stayed in category A  
 15 high risk, and that he'd made no substantial progress.

16 In January of 2018, she chaired a sentence planning  
 17 board meeting about Khan, and in March of that year  
 18 a report was prepared. He was settled in C wing and  
 19 there were no concerns, and he had been moved there as  
 20 a disruption move. He had undertaken the creative  
 21 writing course. The wing staff suggest that his  
 22 behaviour was much improved, but the recommendation was  
 23 still that his risk was very high. She knew that Khan  
 24 participated in the ERG process in April 2018. She was  
 25 then in a meeting that followed the initial report.

119

1 There was intelligence that Khan was not happy with, and  
 2 in the meeting Khan was very talkative and gave her  
 3 a screenplay he had written and he was keen to impress  
 4 upon her improvements in his behaviour.

5 There was a meeting on 19 April with Mr Machin, and  
 6 in that Khan objected to parts of the reports about him  
 7 radicalising other prisoners, and of lying to make  
 8 progress. She read the report on the ERG process and  
 9 noted the continuing material risks.

10 There was a further category A report towards the  
 11 end of 2018, and she again contributed to that process.  
 12 In 2018, she noted his behaviour had vastly improved,  
 13 and engaged with her and Mr Skelton and engaged in the  
 14 ERG and completed the TSP, and on the basis of positive  
 15 progress, she suggested that he be managed as  
 16 a category B prisoner. This was quite a big step, but  
 17 for her it was recognising the good progress that Khan  
 18 had made.

19 In reality, by the time it would have been typed up  
 20 and sent to the central category A review team in London  
 21 to make a final decision, he would likely have been  
 22 released, so it would not have meant a material  
 23 downgrading in any event.

24 As to the risk that Khan was effectively just  
 25 ticking boxes with her and lying to her, she said that

120

1 was a possibility , but he would have nothing to gain, he  
 2 was going to be out however he behaved.  
 3 The MAPPA F form is something she would contribute  
 4 to, and she had played a role in those forms for all the  
 5 meetings up to Khan's release. On the June 2018 form,  
 6 she noted the warning that Khan may be behaving in  
 7 a deceptively compliant manner in order to facilitate  
 8 his release. She regarded the risk as a real one, but  
 9 he would have been released regardless.  
 10 The December 2018 MAPPA form has the intelligence  
 11 about Khan as a continuing radicalising influence, and  
 12 as having said he would return to his old ways, believed  
 13 to be related to terrorism. She was surprised by that.  
 14 It came just after she had recommended Khan for the  
 15 regrading to category B.  
 16 William Styles is the deputy director for HMPPS for  
 17 the long-term and high security estate for the south of  
 18 England. He has been the governor of Whitemoor  
 19 between October 2016 and June 2019. He told us that  
 20 Whitemoor has a significant Muslim population of about  
 21 40-44%. It's not uniquely high, but an unusually high  
 22 population of Muslim men, and the staff have gained  
 23 experience in that population. As governor, he had  
 24 a high-level responsibility for the strategic direction  
 25 of the prison and would not necessarily be aware of

121

1 detailed behaviour histories of those within the prison.  
 2 In relation to Learning Together, his recollection  
 3 was after he arrived at Whitemoor he was contacted by  
 4 either Dr Armstrong or Dr Ludlow by phone, and they had  
 5 had a conversation. One of them had sent him some  
 6 literature and an explanation about their programme. He  
 7 wrote back and then they came to the prison to meet him,  
 8 and that is how Learning Together got started in the  
 9 prison.  
 10 He was aware that Dr Ludlow and Dr Armstrong had  
 11 previous engagement with Whitemoor and had been to the  
 12 prison before. He had come into contact with them as  
 13 part of the tutorial staff at Cambridge where he was  
 14 studying a master's degree in penology and criminology.  
 15 By the time he was speaking to him and they floated  
 16 the idea of a programme at Whitemoor he knew broadly  
 17 what the organisation was. He knew also that  
 18 Learning Together had been running at Grendon very  
 19 successfully, and he recalled having a conversation with  
 20 the governor there. He understood the basic structure  
 21 of Grendon, its different profile to that of Whitemoor.  
 22 There were discussions about how it might be rolled out.  
 23 He thought Dr Ludlow and Dr Armstrong came to the  
 24 establishment and met him and others, including the head  
 25 of reducing re-offending, Craig Nethercott, and

122

1 Ms Butler, the head of learning skills, and then  
 2 Ms Butler and Craig took them around to look at the  
 3 scope for possibilities. He was not involved in the  
 4 more detailed scoping that took place. Sessions would  
 5 be in a highly controlled and secure environment with  
 6 both CCTV coverage and facilities for staff to supervise  
 7 the event.  
 8 As to post-release contact, it was not a huge  
 9 consideration for the prison. 99% of the men at  
 10 Whitemoor were at the start of what would probably be  
 11 a very long custodial journey and would be at several  
 12 other prisons before they were released, so the element  
 13 of remaining in contact after they had been released  
 14 didn't feel particularly relevant to them, and so  
 15 consideration wasn't specifically given to safeguards  
 16 after release.  
 17 He was aware that Khan applied for the  
 18 Learning Together writing course in 2017. It had been  
 19 brought to his attention by Mr Machin, and there was  
 20 a discussion on whether or not Khan should be allowed to  
 21 join.  
 22 His recollection was of a discussion with Mr Machin,  
 23 Dr Ludlow and/or Dr Armstrong, and the learning and  
 24 skills people and possibly others. Consideration was  
 25 given to risk, opportunity, and benefit. Part of the

123

1 Learning Together work involved ethics, and there was  
 2 a discussion about whether or not somebody who had  
 3 a history of extremist ideology would be able to  
 4 participate effectively in a respectful discussion about  
 5 ethics. Public perception, he said, was also part of  
 6 it, and they discussed the ethical issues of denying  
 7 someone an education opportunity based solely on their  
 8 offending. When having those discussions, he was not  
 9 aware of the intelligence profile within the prison  
 10 concerning Khan, and what was being recorded in the  
 11 Mercury Intelligence record.  
 12 The conclusion to their discussions was that Khan  
 13 should be allowed to join Learning Together. He agreed  
 14 with that decision, as he thought the risks presented  
 15 were controllable, and the potential benefits for Khan,  
 16 given his fairly imminent release, were a positive  
 17 opportunity for Khan and for them.  
 18 When asked whether it occurred to him that because  
 19 Khan did have a fairly imminent release there may be  
 20 some further risks to consider about his continued  
 21 direct contact with Learning Together after his release,  
 22 he said he didn't really think it did.  
 23 When asked by Mr Armstrong about the lack of  
 24 documentation recording the discussion about Khan taking  
 25 part in the Learning Together course, he does regret

124

1 there is not a note, but in context they don't have  
 2 a risk record of every decision they make allocating  
 3 a prisoner to an employment activity or programme. In  
 4 a high security prison, almost every prisoner is there  
 5 for something very, very serious. Education activities  
 6 are generally regarded as a low-risk activity because of  
 7 the tools and supervision levels. To deny a prisoner  
 8 access to the lowest risk activity would be exceptional  
 9 unless they were segregated or there were specific  
 10 reasons in their case related to their behaviour and  
 11 their institutional risk.

12 He was enthusiastic about Learning Together. It was  
 13 put to him that they had used it as a good news story  
 14 and that the language was all a bit giddy and those  
 15 involved needed to be reined in. He did not accept that  
 16 criticism. At the time, Khan was seen as a success  
 17 story. He did not accept that there had been any loss  
 18 of hard-headedness over the decisions that were made.

19 Julia Nix is a district manager for the Department  
 20 of Work and Pensions. She has responsibility for 26  
 21 jobcentres, three benefit offices and nine prisons  
 22 across East Anglia. She has developed an interest in  
 23 rehabilitation of those wishing to reform going into  
 24 work, and she has engaged with many bodies on employment  
 25 for former offenders throughout her 38 years of service.

1 On 12 June 2019 she attended a Learning Together  
 2 event at Whitemoor. Khan spoke at that event and said  
 3 how much he had enjoyed his learning inside the prison,  
 4 how much he had benefited from it, but his concern was  
 5 that it may not be of much use if he couldn't find work.  
 6 Khan mentioned he had been out of prison for five or six  
 7 months and his disappointment that he didn't seem to be  
 8 getting anywhere. She formed an impression that he  
 9 wanted to turn his life around and wanted to find  
 10 employment.

11 At the time she thought he was sincere in that.  
 12 After he had spoken, she saw and spoke to him. Khan was  
 13 speaking to a governor, not Mr Styles. She approached  
 14 where Khan and the governor were and their conversation  
 15 stopped and they turned to speak to her. Khan  
 16 introduced her to the governor, and she offered Khan her  
 17 help and support, and the governor said:

18 "Khan is a good man and is completely reformed."  
 19 Khan said to her that if she could help him find  
 20 a job, he would be very grateful. She didn't know the  
 21 name of the governor who made that comment.

22 Over the period from June to October 2019, she had  
 23 contact with Khan. The frequency varied, sometimes  
 24 a couple of times a week, sometimes longer. She was  
 25 aware of setbacks in seeking employment.

1 On 26 November she spoke to him about black  
 2 thoughts. He confirmed he was not having any black or  
 3 terrorist thoughts. He said he had moved away from  
 4 those people, and this:

5 "I am 100% positive that I do not have any terrorist  
 6 thoughts at all."

7 On 28 November, she contacted Khan. She noted that  
 8 Prosper 4 Jobs had contacted Khan by email and copied  
 9 her in saying that they had put his CV onto their jobs  
 10 board. She thought this was an opportunity he would not  
 11 want to miss and as he didn't have access to emails, she  
 12 sent him a text. She asked if he could pick up an email  
 13 at the library. He responded by text that he could not.  
 14 She had forgotten that he no longer had a mentor and so  
 15 could not access emails, and she tried to take a photo  
 16 of the email to send to him, and she asked about sending  
 17 it on to Mr Skelton or Ms Young.

18 She also said to him that she would be raising with  
 19 Mr Skelton about considering with MAPPA giving him  
 20 access to emails.

21 The next piece of evidence I'm going to remind you  
 22 about is a statement that was read to you from Michelle  
 23 Jones, the mother of Saskia. She first became aware of  
 24 Saskia's involvement with Learning Together in 2017,  
 25 when Saskia was at Cambridge University, and spoke about

1 her understanding of that involvement based on  
 2 conversations that she had with Saskia between 2017 and  
 3 2019. Saskia wanted to become involved with  
 4 Learning Together because she believed in the principle  
 5 of reeducating offenders. She also wanted to explore  
 6 the possibility of teaching in prisons or working in  
 7 rehabilitation. She remembered Saskia attending various  
 8 Learning Together events during her time at Cambridge.

9 In December 2018, after Saskia had left Cambridge,  
 10 she attended a Learning Together event in Oxford,  
 11 following which Dr Ludlow suggested that Saskia may like  
 12 to become more involved in working for  
 13 Learning Together. In September 2019, Ms Jones became  
 14 aware that Saskia had received an email invitation from  
 15 the Learning Together admin team to the alumni event.  
 16 At first, Saskia was unsure if she wanted to attend, and  
 17 this uncertainty persisted up until the evening before  
 18 the event. Saskia was not aware who would be attending,  
 19 save for a few staff who were detailed on the programme  
 20 of events.

21 At the end of October 2019, Saskia applied for the  
 22 police, her real passion was victim support. If she  
 23 joined, she would have maintained some involvement with  
 24 Learning Together. Saskia believed there should be  
 25 a distinction between terrorists and other ex-offenders.

1 Saskia thought that different mindsets existed between  
 2 the two categories and the treatment of both should be  
 3 approached differently. Her view in relation to  
 4 terrorist offenders was that although they should be  
 5 given an opportunity to change, they should be more  
 6 closely monitored with extreme caution.  
 7 Ms Jones also said to the best of her knowledge  
 8 Saskia had not been in contact with terrorist offenders  
 9 through her work with Learning Together.  
 10 Mr Bromley is a senior probation officer  
 11 between February 2012 and May 2017 he was the offender  
 12 manager for Khan and other high risk offenders. He had  
 13 about six sessions with Khan during the period.  
 14 In May 2017, he was promoted to the senior probation  
 15 officer role, and Mr Skelton then became the offender  
 16 manager for Khan. Mr Skelton was someone with  
 17 experience of dealing with high risk offenders but not  
 18 TACT offenders. Mr Bromley said that he managed nine or  
 19 10 offender managers, including Mr Skelton, who he  
 20 largely left to get on with his job. Each offender  
 21 manager had up to 30 offenders, and of those  
 22 approximately 300 offenders, three were TACT, including  
 23 Khan and one of his co-accused. They developed TACT  
 24 experience while Khan was in custody.  
 25 The team, he said, met monthly. There were

129

1 supervision meetings between Mr Bromley and the offender  
 2 managers, where they would not necessarily discuss all  
 3 the offenders that each offender manager had in their  
 4 remit. Those they did discuss would normally have  
 5 a record on the Delius system.  
 6 When asked, he expressed surprise that they had no  
 7 significant discussions about Khan after 16 August 2019.  
 8 He worked closely with a specialist counter-terrorist  
 9 probation team managed by Lois Gell. Sumeet Johal was  
 10 the CT probation officer for Khan. The CT team advise,  
 11 support and provide guidance for practitioners working  
 12 with those convicted of an extremist offence, and attend  
 13 MAPPA.  
 14 In February 2012, TACT offenders were relatively new  
 15 to the caseload of the Staffordshire probation officers  
 16 and the CT probation team had not been established.  
 17 Mr Bromley said that he attended both HII and ERG  
 18 training, which were useful for terrorist offenders.  
 19 In May 2012, he had prepared an OASys report for  
 20 Mr Khan. That report is a structured assessment with  
 21 a recommendation that contained a summary of his  
 22 offending, their ideological and religious roots, an  
 23 identification of the risk -- he was radicalised by  
 24 propaganda material -- and information from Khan about  
 25 his education and family history.

130

1 Mr Bromley noted it was difficult to assess his  
 2 attitudes as he was then pursuing an appeal. The risk  
 3 assessment showed that his risk was very high and if he  
 4 was released into the community, then he was likely to  
 5 do something seriously harmful to the public. Also  
 6 in May 2012, Mr Bromley undertook a post-sentence  
 7 report, and in August 2012 did an initial sentence plan.  
 8 That plan had several objectives, including to  
 9 advance his education, with a view to assisting his  
 10 employability, to complete the ERG assessment process  
 11 and to avoid adjudications whilst in prison.  
 12 Whilst Khan was in prison the offender supervisor  
 13 was Mr Bromley's contact and would provide him with  
 14 information which he recorded onto the Delius system.  
 15 When asked, Mr Bromley explained that now the system had  
 16 changed significantly and there is a prison-based  
 17 probation officer assigned to everyone that's sentenced  
 18 to more than 10 months in custody.  
 19 Further OASys reports were done on Khan, and his  
 20 risk remained very high. In the 2014 report, when he  
 21 confronted Khan, he agreed that Khan would downplay or  
 22 deny it. Mr Bromley explained that it was common with  
 23 young men to have conflicting behaviours, but he  
 24 remained sceptical of Khan.  
 25 Sentence planning and review meeting notes were

131

1 prepared by the offender supervisor. The March 2015  
 2 review included Khan's comments and feedback from wing  
 3 staff that showed no concerns. The summary noted  
 4 positive progress and Khan's willingness to work with  
 5 staff so as to achieve objectives. A few months later,  
 6 Mr Bromley made a record linking Khan to an extremist  
 7 group. He described a sense of scepticism throughout  
 8 the time with Khan.  
 9 The March 2016 sentence planning board recorded that  
 10 Khan had not been the subject of any adjudications and  
 11 was on the enhanced level of IEP. Both Khan's feedback  
 12 and the wing reports were positive.  
 13 Mr Bromley said he was not aware of  
 14 the December 2016 intelligence naming Khan as a senior  
 15 TACT offender, grooming others for use after release.  
 16 He was aware that in February 2017 there had been  
 17 an attack on another inmate. That would have caused  
 18 concern, but he noted that while behaviours can  
 19 fluctuate, they have to continue with their work.  
 20 Again, he said he remained sceptical of Khan but he  
 21 didn't always think he was being superficial.  
 22 As to the risk that he was consistently manipulative  
 23 and deceitful, he didn't necessarily think of it in  
 24 those terms. For him, due to the initial offences, they  
 25 would treat him seriously.

132

1 By early 2017, before he handed over to Mr Skelton,  
2 he did not think Khan was a reformed character, and  
3 thought some thinking along the extremist ideology lines  
4 were present.

5 When Mr Skelton took over, they discussed his good  
6 but mixed progress, the fluctuations in behaviour, and  
7 his engagement with both the HII and ERG whilst  
8 remaining cautious.

9 Mr Bromley said he wasn't sure whether Khan was  
10 completely engaging, and would have discussed with  
11 Mr Skelton whether Khan was saying all the right things,  
12 but may well be superficial.

13 At some point, Mr Bromley said he became aware of  
14 Khan's positive involvement with Learning Together. In  
15 2018, Mr Skelton did the OASys and they discussed it  
16 together. A revised version was provided, dated  
17 2 August, and counter-signed by a senior manager.  
18 Mr Bromley knew the risk rating was still very high, and  
19 that Khan was due to be released five months later.

20 Mr Bromley attended some of the MAPPA meetings to  
21 show support for Mr Skelton, and he understood the  
22 purpose of the minutes and the need for accurate records  
23 of those meetings to be kept. He acknowledged that  
24 MAPPA could veto probation decisions but the aim was to  
25 get a consensus. He had attended the meeting on

133

1 5 December. The minutes there record discussions of  
2 intelligence suggesting Khan was trying to radicalise  
3 other Muslim prisoners, and that he did say he would  
4 return to his old ways, believed to be related to  
5 terrorism, when released.

6 Mr Bromley, didn't recall the intelligence being  
7 discussed in any detail. He was aware of it, but MAPPA  
8 didn't always have the context and detail to do anything  
9 with it. He thought that a detail was occasionally  
10 requested but couldn't say if it was this time.

11 Following Khan's release, he attended a MAPPA  
12 meeting on 17 April 2019. The minutes there include  
13 a reference to the report from the mentors about Khan's  
14 flashes of frustration that he was being told about.

15 On 15 May 2019, in discussion with Mr Skelton, they  
16 reduced Khan's risk level from very high risk to high  
17 risk. The OASys report informed their thinking, but  
18 a new OASys was not completed. Mr Bromley did not  
19 recall discussions with other agencies about that  
20 reduction. He knew that Mr Skelton worked very closely  
21 with the police, probation officers and the CTU on  
22 a regular basis, and had an awareness that the feedback  
23 was he seemed to be making good progress, and so that  
24 fed into their discussions. He did not recall any  
25 resistance from the West Midlands Counter-Terrorism Unit

134

1 on this change of risk rating.

2 In August 2019, due to an administrative technical  
3 issue relating to the OASys, he went back onto the  
4 Delius system to recategorise Khan from very high risk  
5 to high risk as he had been from May onwards.

6 When asked, Mr Bromley agreed that the MAPPA minutes  
7 record the current OASys risk assessment levels,  
8 including an assessment of very high risk or high risk  
9 to the general public with a summary of the rationale.  
10 There is a separate section in the MAPPA minutes setting  
11 out the MAPPA risk management plan and so participants  
12 can see both parts. Mr Bromley and Mr Skelton discussed  
13 Khan's attendance at a Learning Together event at  
14 Whitemoor. They balanced the risks and the benefits and  
15 took the view it was a good opportunity to test working  
16 with Learning Together. It was in a relatively secure  
17 environment and the police would accompany him.

18 In July 2019, they discussed that Khan may have  
19 obtained a construction job, but Mr Bromley later found  
20 out he may not have done, but had been initially  
21 accepted. He then expressed some concern about the  
22 dumper truck training course that Khan had applied for,  
23 due to media reports about vehicles being used in  
24 possible attacks. They decided to suspend the course  
25 until Mr Skelton completed the ERG assessment later that

135

1 year. Mr Bromley attended the MAPPA meeting on 11 July  
2 and the panel carefully considered the course, and also  
3 lifting Khan's train station restrictions.

4 When he was asked why this hadn't been completed  
5 by November 2019 and why it had taken four months to  
6 produce a 15-page document, he explained that it was  
7 largely due to Mr Skelton's workload. Mr Bromley  
8 accepted that it was not ideal that the OASys report and  
9 the ERG had not been completed, but he noted that there  
10 was still top-level oversight from MAPPA, the licence  
11 conditions were in place, and there was monitoring by  
12 various organisations.

13 When asked about the licence conditions, Mr Bromley  
14 agreed they had to balance protecting the public with  
15 his reintegration into the community. Khan had more  
16 additional licence conditions than any other high risk  
17 offenders, and these were reviewed regularly. Khan  
18 complied with his licence conditions, which allowed him  
19 to go out without restrictions. He agreed that the  
20 OASys and the ERG are two factors that feed in to  
21 MAPPA's case management. He acknowledged that MAPPA  
22 knew Khan was unemployed, had issues with mentoring and  
23 his perceived risk in the community. Individual  
24 probation staff could have made further enquiries and  
25 give that information to MAPPA.

136

1 In August 2019, Khan was invited to the  
 2 Learning Together alumni event. Mr Bromley said he only  
 3 became aware of him being at the event on the day. He  
 4 had discussed a plan for Khan to attend an event likely  
 5 to be in London, but didn't know the specific details.  
 6 During the discussion, he was broadly in favour of him  
 7 attending, as the feedback and information he was  
 8 getting was that this was a positive, protective factor.  
 9 He did not recall being told about the discussion at  
 10 the August MAPPA meeting of the plans. Mr Bromley  
 11 attended the MAPPA meeting on 3 October. The minutes  
 12 show a wide-ranging discussion, but there is no  
 13 reference to the event in November. If there had been,  
 14 he would have expected it to be in the minutes, in the  
 15 light of the guidance. He did not attend the November  
 16 MAPPA meeting, and wasn't aware of any discussion about  
 17 the event at that meeting.

18 Over the following months, he spoke to Mr Skelton  
 19 about Khan making good progress and not breaching his  
 20 licence conditions. He didn't recall specific concerns  
 21 coming through to him about Khan being socially  
 22 isolated. He discussed with Mr Skelton that Khan was  
 23 irritated when the police had visited on 14 November,  
 24 and took photos of his Xbox games.

25 It was late on the Friday of 29 November that

1 Mr Skelton told him about the attack. He said that  
 2 Mr Skelton was extremely shocked and surprised, as was  
 3 he.  
 4 Mr Bromley was asked this question:  
 5 "Do you accept that there was a failure in your  
 6 supervision of Mr Skelton in relation to Mr Khan?"  
 7 To which he said "No".  
 8 Mr Johal is a specialist counter-terrorism probation  
 9 officer, the CTPO, who provide support to officers  
 10 dealing with TACT cases by assisting with key decisions,  
 11 the Desistance and Disengagement Programme through which  
 12 mentors are appointed, and with GPS tagging. They also  
 13 support officers dealing with regular offenders who  
 14 become engaged in extremist or concerning behaviour by  
 15 doing assessments and interventions. They are ERG and  
 16 HII trained and oversee their completion.  
 17 Mr Johal received his HII and ERG training in early  
 18 2018, and so had not been trained or a CTPO long before  
 19 he became involved in Khan's case. He, too, made  
 20 records on the Delius system.

21 He was responsible for ten or a dozen TACT  
 22 offenders, half of whom were Khan's co-defendants. He  
 23 had read through all available assessments, reports and  
 24 shadowed MAPPA meetings, had handovers with  
 25 semi-specialist probation colleagues, read the notes on

1 the Delius systems, and also spoke at length to  
 2 Mr Skelton.  
 3 He received MAPPA updates from Ms Gell and  
 4 Michelle Thompson but didn't recall reading the actual  
 5 minutes. He was aware of the detail of Khan's offences  
 6 and he had read the Court of Appeal decision. He knew  
 7 too that Khan was being released into the community as  
 8 a high risk category A prisoner. He became aware of  
 9 Khan's behaviour whilst in prison, including  
 10 intelligence about organised bullying and extremist  
 11 Islamist gangs. He was aware of better engagement in  
 12 2017 to 2018, regarding education. He recalls being  
 13 aware of engagement problems with the ERG.

14 He knew that over the period from 2012 to 2018, Khan  
 15 had been the subject of OASys assessments which had  
 16 found him each time to be a very high risk. Although he  
 17 couldn't recall specifically a piece of intelligence  
 18 about Khan returning to his old ways, he was aware there  
 19 was concerning intelligence. He received the MAPPA F  
 20 forms prior to meetings and was aware of concerns around  
 21 the motivation for Khan's compliance in the later part  
 22 of 2018.

23 In relation to Khan, he was concerned by the issues  
 24 around the IPP sentence, and the appeal, because Khan  
 25 would not have to satisfy or be exposed to the scrutiny

1 of a Parole Board before his release.  
 2 He had attended eight MAPPA meetings between January  
 3 and November 2019, to maintain a good level of oversight  
 4 of the case. He gave advice when necessary, and  
 5 furthered his understanding of the risks, as probation  
 6 officers are semi-specialists. As a team he said they  
 7 had the benefit of being aware of all the TACT cases  
 8 across the Midlands area and were very experienced in  
 9 understanding what actions could be taken and whether  
 10 they were proportionate and defensible in terms of risk  
 11 management.

12 The minutes of the meetings were circulated to  
 13 participant and they could object if there was any  
 14 misrecording or any omission. The procedure required  
 15 significant or important decision-making to be recorded  
 16 in the minutes.

17 In mid-May 2019 some consideration was given to  
 18 OASys risk level for Khan. Mr Johal said that he  
 19 recalled a discussion taking place at a MAPPA meeting  
 20 about the risk reduction where he recalled there being  
 21 a difference in view, but a consensus was reached and  
 22 the reduction was subsequently confirmed.

23 Once or twice between MAPPA meetings he and  
 24 Mr Skelton would discuss issues that Mr Skelton planned  
 25 to raise. He cannot recall whether he discussed the

1 reduction with Mr Skelton before that meeting. He  
2 described Mr Skelton as very competent, and the two had  
3 a good working relationship, and Mr Skelton also had  
4 a good working relationship with the police.

5 Mentors were provided for Khan through The Unity  
6 Initiative and Home Office as part of the DDP. They  
7 provided practical support by attending his approved  
8 premises to discuss employment, training and  
9 accommodation, as well as hobbies, helping at the  
10 library on supervised internet access use, and spending  
11 time with him at the gym or the café.

12 Mr Johal received reports from the mentors and  
13 passed those on. In comparison to the reports they  
14 receive now, they could be considered substandard in  
15 terms of the assessment quality, as they also lacked  
16 much detail.

17 On 30 May 2019, the MAPPAs minutes suggest concerns  
18 as to a lack of value of the mentoring role. He shared  
19 those concerns about having to report issues through  
20 Shaun Greenough at TUI. For Mr Johal, mentoring should  
21 be focused on finding interests and hobbies that they  
22 can engage in, meeting a variety of people from society  
23 who don't despise society, and slowly developing  
24 friendships in line with those hobbies. The mentoring  
25 came to an end in August 2019, as the contract with TUI

141

1 was not renewed which resulted in a number of their TACT  
2 offenders losing mentors. He expressed wanting a new  
3 mentor for Khan as they would have assisted with the  
4 social isolation concerns that had developed.

5 In the early months of dealing with Khan he became  
6 aware he was involved with Learning Together in prison.

7 Initially he was concerned that there was a celebration  
8 that a terrorist offender had changed his life and he  
9 didn't want Khan to take on the identity of  
10 an ex-terrorist. He was reassured when he was made  
11 aware of the creative writing aspect. As not many with  
12 his background are fortunate to get into universities  
13 such as Cambridge, he thought it potentially positive  
14 for his sense of belonging to society. Mr Johal said he  
15 would have agreed with the decision in January that Khan  
16 should not attend a Learning Together event then because  
17 it involved permission to breach his licence conditions  
18 too soon. Permission required a demonstration of good  
19 behaviour, and there was also a risk of creating  
20 unrealistic expectations around reintegration into  
21 society. In custody he had built up a sense of status  
22 and a sense of achievement, which needed to be managed  
23 due to the limitations on what he could achieve in  
24 society and from a licence enforcement perspective.

25 To a certain extent, there was a risk of sending

142

1 Khan to a prominent event when there had not been much  
2 chance to observe him. They needed to manage all  
3 aspects, including the danger. He was aware that Khan  
4 attended an event at Whitemoor in June 2019, and he  
5 recalled discussing it at the MAPPAs meeting in the  
6 context of Khan being refused to attend the previous  
7 event and now they were going to manage Khan's  
8 involvement with Learning Together in the community. He  
9 felt this event was manageable because Whitemoor was  
10 a controlled environment, there was a police presence  
11 and the risks could be managed.

12 Mr Skelton took the lead on completing an ERG in  
13 2019, and Mr Johal assisted. He and Mr Skelton  
14 interviewed Khan together. They interviewed him twice  
15 for at least an hour on each occasion at the approved  
16 premises. Khan presented as motivated to engage, and as  
17 someone wanting to share what he believed to be his  
18 newly learnt views and his progress.

19 At the MAPPAs meeting on 22 August 2019, Mr Johal  
20 confirmed that Khan demonstrated that he had moved away  
21 from his previous ideology, and from his explorative  
22 questions of Khan. This is not to say that he believed  
23 Khan, as the ERGs are not enough to confidently say  
24 that, but in terms of how he expressed his views, he was  
25 keen to demonstrate a distinct difference between then

143

1 and now.

2 In November 2019, Mr Skelton produced a draft ERG,  
3 and on the 27th the two of them had discussed it. He  
4 thought it was balanced and that Mr Skelton had captured  
5 the scoring well, but some sections required additional  
6 work and would be changed.

7 He was taken to a number of sections of that draft  
8 report. An explanation by Khan of his offending is set  
9 out, and he noted that sometimes they needed to verify  
10 the facts. He was asked about the reference to Khan  
11 speaking about constructing a camp with weapons for  
12 self-defence, and whether he thought that was nonsense.  
13 In reply Mr Johal said they were fully aware of his  
14 previous offence and the inconsistencies with what he  
15 said but the ERG gives his account of why he thinks he  
16 engaged in the offending. He was asked whether he  
17 thought that the account was implausible, and he said it  
18 was an understanding of the feelings and grievances  
19 linked to his offence and how he addressed it.

20 Mr Hough asked whether during the ERG process, he  
21 considered if he was being lied to. In response, he  
22 said they were aware of inconsistencies and amongst the  
23 professionals, no one takes it at face value unless it's  
24 verified, as they were aware they were his views. He  
25 said they looked at engagement with extremist ideology

144

1 in his perception and knew it was not completely  
 2 accurate.  
 3 He was asked if he warned colleagues at MAPPA that  
 4 Khan was being inconsistent, implausible, and possibly  
 5 lying to them. He said his feedback to MAPPA would have  
 6 been more detailed in the final ERG, as he wanted to  
 7 give an indication of the scoring which would identify  
 8 anything immediate, so there could be input into  
 9 decision-making. The final version would have been  
 10 shared with MAPPA and discussed in detail.  
 11 Mr Hough asked him whether he saw it as part of his  
 12 responsibility to tell his MAPPA colleagues there was  
 13 evidence of deception. Mr Johal accepted the position  
 14 in prison but said there was no paralleling behaviour  
 15 to his problematic behaviour in custody, so in relation  
 16 to the ERG the scoring was consistent with his progress.  
 17 Another section of the ERG was put to Mr Johal and he  
 18 was asked this question:  
 19 "So the report references the previous detailed ERG  
 20 assessment which acknowledged that Khan demonstrated  
 21 extremist views but goes on to suggest, in effect, that  
 22 he has abandoned those extremist views because he has  
 23 been compliant, doesn't it?"  
 24 Mr Johal replied that he didn't read it like that  
 25 and it was his view that Khan's motivation for offending

1 at its core was politically, not theologically, driven.  
 2 Mr Hough put to him that Khan associated with Anjem  
 3 Choudary and advocated Shari'a law in the UK before  
 4 asking whether there was anything beyond what Khan  
 5 claimed his motivations were to suggest they were  
 6 political rather than theological. He said: yes, based  
 7 on that, but ultimately it was his assessment that it  
 8 was politically driven. Khan lacked theological  
 9 understanding and his interpretation of religious  
 10 scriptures was quite shallow and hollow.  
 11 Then Mr Hough asked whether he had seen people with  
 12 a superficial understanding of Islam committing offences  
 13 in the name of Islam and in the search for martyrdom.  
 14 He agreed and said a political motivation doesn't take  
 15 religion out of it, they focus on the core drivers of  
 16 extremism. In the ERG, Mr Skelton assessed Khan's level  
 17 of engagement with extremism as medium, intent as low,  
 18 and capability for harm as minimal, concluding that a  
 19 likelihood of re-offending and the risk of extremist  
 20 offending was low. When asked whether Mr Khan deceived  
 21 them during the interviews or whether something changed  
 22 prior to the attack, Mr Johal was unable to answer which  
 23 of the two it was. He noted that from his engagement,  
 24 Khan felt as if he struggled to fit in. Khan always did  
 25 impression management and the ERG scores are based on

1 what he said, his behaviour was, and where he was going  
 2 in terms of building protective factors and social  
 3 isolation.  
 4 When asked what he would say to the suggestion that  
 5 too much evidence came through Khan, he replied that he  
 6 was a source of information, based on what he said, but  
 7 also in how he behaved. He was first aware of Khan's  
 8 invitation to the event at Fishmongers' Hall a few  
 9 months beforehand. The MAPPA minutes of 22 August refer  
 10 to the event in November. As we know, the October  
 11 minutes make no specific mention of that visit, and then  
 12 it's back in the November minutes.  
 13 Mr Johal said he had no independent recollection of  
 14 any discussion about the visit to London. He recalled  
 15 the comment in the November meeting where PS Forsyth  
 16 talks about logistics and he recalled Nigel Byford  
 17 confirming that the logistics of the trip will be sorted  
 18 out between Mr Skelton and PS Forsyth outside the  
 19 meeting. He did not recall any specific discussions  
 20 around the trip to London or the risks around it. From  
 21 his understanding and recollection in discussion of  
 22 Learning Together in general, there was an understanding  
 23 that there was no evidence of increased risk at  
 24 Learning Together events. It was discussed prior to  
 25 that that there was no objection. If any specific

1 agency had a specific problem with the trip to London  
 2 and the location of London he would expect an agency to  
 3 have raised that at MAPPA.  
 4 There was no discussion about the specific tactics  
 5 the police would be deploying around the visit. His  
 6 understanding is when talking about logistics from his  
 7 experience and having worked in the police before, his  
 8 expectation, personal or professional, would be that  
 9 police in London are notified of that trip and he would  
 10 expect that between Staffordshire and West Midlands  
 11 colleagues, that would have been arranged amongst  
 12 themselves.  
 13 In terms of the specific risk of going to London and  
 14 the accompaniment, the support that the police provided  
 15 him at the previous event, then it was managed in the  
 16 sense that if he goes to the next event and they have  
 17 an overnight residency event coming up and so they have  
 18 to manage it from that perspective. The actual issue  
 19 with going to London itself is complex and quite  
 20 difficult to comprehend, because ultimately, he said,  
 21 the licence conditions don't restrict him from going to  
 22 any big city whatsoever. If they felt he is an imminent  
 23 risk or if they had any evidence or information to  
 24 suggest he was engaged in any attack-planning or event  
 25 in relation to what occurred, Khan wouldn't have not

1 only been permitted not to go, but we would have been  
2 looking at recalling him at the very least for not being  
3 of good behaviour.

4 Mr Hough then asked him a series of questions and  
5 I'm actually going to read to you from the questions and  
6 answers because it's rather difficult to split them up  
7 otherwise. Mr Hough put this:

8 "Question: Khan remained somebody who, on any view,  
9 represented a significant risk of harm to the general  
10 public at this point in time, didn't he?

11 "Answer: That's correct.

12 "Question: That's the assessment that was being  
13 made by all the professionals?

14 "Answer: That's correct.

15 "Question: With an extremist offender and that risk  
16 profile, surely there was a risk of him going to  
17 an event in central London, in an iconic location,  
18 attended by lots of dignitaries?

19 "Answer: I would agree with that, yes, there is  
20 a risk, but you can't reduce that risk to zero ever.  
21 Similarly though, he had the capacity and the capability  
22 to also visit shopping centres, to visit places of  
23 significant public population in a condensed area as  
24 well. We work in a very difficult environment where  
25 these individuals that present risk have the capacity to

149

1 be in a multitude of significant and prolific places.

2 "Question: Would you accept, as someone who has  
3 studied these matters, that for a terrorist, an attack  
4 in central London and the Fishmongers' Hall is more  
5 attractive than an attack on the streets of  
6 Stoke-on-Trent, as a general proposition?

7 "Answer: Symbolism of an offence is very important  
8 for individuals, but we're not in the business of  
9 displacing an attack or delaying an attack. Our focus  
10 is protecting the public, and if we had any evidence of  
11 an attack in any location, we would be looking to recall  
12 because we could not manage that individual's risk in  
13 the community, which is a threshold that we can use when  
14 we're looking potentially at recalling someone back to  
15 custody.

16 "Question: You have accepted a high risk  
17 individual, a location attractive for terrorists.  
18 You've accepted the risk can't be reduced to zero, and  
19 your focus should be on protecting the public. Against  
20 that background, are you able to explain why in none of  
21 these MAPPA meetings there was apparently any discussion  
22 of risk?

23 "Answer: The whole focus of the MAPPA meeting is  
24 underpinned by his risk to public, to a risk to staff,  
25 risk to known individuals, and the general public. All

150

1 discussions are underpinned by risk, and if there is  
2 evidence of imminent risk, if there is a change in the  
3 risk factors, it's flagged up at MAPPA. We would be  
4 talking in the context of his position within the  
5 community in general, as well as his attendance at  
6 a specific event. Like you said, that was prolific and  
7 it was in a sensitive location. However, we have to  
8 accept that he had access to a number of those within  
9 a closer geographic location as well.

10 "Question: But the MAPPA minutes go into the detail  
11 and discussion of risk about some aspects of his life,  
12 for example, the potential dumper truck training.  
13 There's a detailed analysis of that. Why, if the  
14 minutes are comprehensive, was there no mention, no  
15 specific discussion of the risks involved in the London  
16 trip or any means of mitigating those risks.?"

17 And Mr Johal said:

18 "Answer: So in relation to mitigating those risks,  
19 my understanding is that that would be part of the  
20 logistics that would be arranged between the offender  
21 manager and the police in terms of what steps the police  
22 would do if that information is shared. In terms of the  
23 dumper truck, that was a specific activity that we had  
24 to understand in line with the specific licence  
25 condition that we were approving, and understanding what

151

1 a dumper truck actually is, and then also looking at if  
2 that increases his capability.

3 "Question: Capability to commit a terrorist  
4 outrage?

5 "Answer: So when we look at capability in the  
6 context of ERGs, an individual, let's say, for example,  
7 who has a science degree in chemistry, has increased  
8 capability. An individual who has been in the military  
9 has increased capability. An individual who has access  
10 to organised crime financing and firearms has increased  
11 capability. An individual who has access to..."

12 My note has just slipped there but I will just check  
13 that reference. I think in fact Mr Hough then paused  
14 Mr Johal at that point:

15 "Question: Can I just pause you there. It's a  
16 capability to commit an outrage, not a capability to  
17 dump silage?

18 "Answer: No. When we talk about capability in the  
19 context of an ERG, we are looking at what capability the  
20 individual possesses in terms of skills and access to  
21 resources.

22 "Question: But the only context in which a dumper  
23 truck and the ability to access and use a dumper truck  
24 could increase an extremist's capability is related to  
25 their capability to commit an attack, surely?

152

1 "Answer: No, because as part of being employed as  
 2 a dumper truck driver, there's the fact that he would be  
 3 working on a site whereby he would have keys to heavy  
 4 machinery, and that would increase his capability,  
 5 because we know a dumper truck can cause a significant  
 6 level of harm. So it's similar to any employment that  
 7 potentially increases capability. That's why there was  
 8 a specific discussion around that. It's slightly  
 9 different to risk and imminence of risk.

10 "Question: Finally, what would you say if this was  
 11 suggested to you: that the professionals in MAPPA  
 12 meetings appear to have had a collective blind spot  
 13 about the London meeting and its risks?

14 "Answer: I would say we always had risk at the  
 15 forefront and there was no evidence to suggest there was  
 16 an increased risk or imminence around that event."

17 In answer to Mr Armstrong, Mr Johal said he was not  
 18 a quality assessor for Mr Skelton's ERG. His support  
 19 initially was around the interview, as you need a range  
 20 of experience and a range of interview questions. When  
 21 asked if he thought the ERG was an adequate document or  
 22 adequate process, he recognised that in its draft form,  
 23 it was not.

24 Members of the jury, I'm going to pause there and  
 25 we'll take our mid-afternoon break there. Thank you.

1 (In the absence of the jury)

2 I'll rise.

3 (3.06 pm)

4 (A short break)

5 (3.22 pm)

6 (In the presence of the jury)

7 JUDGE LUCRAFT: I'm next going to turn to the theological  
 8 mentor, TM. By background he has qualifications in both  
 9 theology and Islamic studies and has worked as a Muslim  
 10 chaplain in the Prison Service from 2007. He had worked  
 11 on a number of programmes targeted at tackling extremism  
 12 and radicalisation and was one of the first mentors  
 13 recruited to the Home Office Desistance and  
 14 Disengagement Programme. The purpose would be to engage  
 15 in areas of Islamic theology and ideology and also he  
 16 would seek to assess Islamic mindset and understanding  
 17 so as to inform the prison and probation staff. Another  
 18 part of his role was to seek to move someone away from  
 19 extremism by discussing root beliefs.

20 At the end of October in 2018, TM received a summary  
 21 of Khan's offences, details of his disruptive behaviour  
 22 in prison and details of his current behaviour. The  
 23 first session they had was on 18 April 2019 at the  
 24 approved premises. Khan came across as quite positive  
 25 and upbeat and pleased to be engaging, and the staff

1 said he had been looking forward to the meeting. Khan  
 2 was chatty and easy in his communications. At times, he  
 3 became heated and claimed he had challenged extremism in  
 4 prison. He wasn't trying to downplay the fact that his  
 5 offending was to do with jihadist training or attempts  
 6 for preparations for terrorist activity, but that it was  
 7 not rooted in truly religious motives.

8 As to an inconsistency between what Khan was saying  
 9 and what he'd read in the reports of him being a  
 10 radicalising influence, Khan explained that this was  
 11 towards the end of his sentence and he had mentioned  
 12 that he had started off himself being involved in a lot  
 13 of the same rhetoric and extremist activity, and that he  
 14 later came to seek to disassociate himself from that.

15 There was a theme coming out that his offending was  
 16 not based on religious views, that he challenged  
 17 extremist views, and he didn't like people who imposed  
 18 their religion. He was emphasising change and was not  
 19 able to reject that as the tasking form just told him at  
 20 some point in prison, he had radicalised others.

21 His assessment at the end of the meeting was that  
 22 Khan was a compelling storyteller, was saying the right  
 23 things, and doing so persuasively. Their second meeting  
 24 was in August 2019. Khan spoke about standing up to  
 25 extremist pro-al Qaeda prisoners and spoke about

1 a stand-off, and he had been interrogated by a senior  
 2 Muslim prisoner. He also recorded that Khan said he no  
 3 longer believed that armed jihad was the way forward,  
 4 saying it had been an abject failure. He recorded that  
 5 Khan spoke insightfully about increasing extremist  
 6 ideology. He gave an overall assessment of Khan that he  
 7 presented as a very positive attitude and excellent  
 8 engagement.

9 If he had been told by prison and probation staff  
 10 that there was in fact substantial intelligence linking  
 11 him to radicalisation and bullying, including in his  
 12 last months in prison, then that would have undermined  
 13 his faith in him and changed his view.

14 Lois Gell is a probation counter-terrorism lead in  
 15 the Midlands. The counter-terrorism probation team is  
 16 part of the Joint Extremism Unit, JEXU. Her team  
 17 provide operational and strategic support and guidance  
 18 on extremism across the division, and she was the line  
 19 manager for Mr Johal. She had a closer involvement with  
 20 Khan's case than would ordinarily be the case, as  
 21 Mr Johal was fairly new to his post and Khan was one of  
 22 the first offenders to be released into that area. She  
 23 did not meet him personally but learned about him from  
 24 the extensive records.

25 The case was referred to MAPPA and they contributed

1 to the proposed licence conditions and to the trigger  
 2 plan. She commissioned the provision of mentors under  
 3 the Desistance and Disengagement Programme, and set up  
 4 the GPS tag monitoring. She also went to a number of  
 5 the MAPPA meetings where she gave updates. Her team  
 6 provide a link between prison intelligence and the  
 7 offender manager and the MAPPA panel.

8 She prepared a DDP report in June 2018 on Khan,  
 9 setting out a summary of the offences and his prison  
 10 behaviour. The report refers to Khan posing a high risk  
 11 of serious harm and she confirmed that it should say  
 12 very high risk. The Home Office commissioned the  
 13 mentoring in October 2018. Those mentor reports were  
 14 provided to Mr Skelton, Mr Johal and to herself, and she  
 15 was aware of Khan expressing frustration and flashes of  
 16 anger. Whilst they caused a level of concern and were  
 17 taken into account, nothing caused undue concern.

18 In August 2019, the mentor arrangements ended  
 19 abruptly as the contract with TUI ended, contrary to the  
 20 TUI exit strategy. She was aware of Khan's involvement  
 21 with Learning Together. She was aware that Khan's  
 22 invitation to an event in Cambridge in January 2019 had  
 23 been refused on the basis it was felt to be too soon and  
 24 that Khan may not be genuine in his change.

25 In contrast, further time had passed to allow Khan

157

1 to attend the event at Whitemoor with a police escort.  
 2 They wanted to encourage his involvement, as it was one  
 3 of the really positive things that he had in his life.  
 4 As to his move from the approved premises, that felt  
 5 like the right time to move. With the event at  
 6 Fishmongers' Hall, she first became aware of the invite  
 7 at the MAPPA meeting when it was discussed. She thought  
 8 that was August 2019. She didn't recall the specifics  
 9 as to what was said.

10 As far as she can recall, the London visit was  
 11 discussed in the sense that it had almost been agreed in  
 12 principle, it had been raised at an earlier meeting and  
 13 by the time they got to the later meetings, she did not  
 14 believe they discussed it in any detail. From her  
 15 recollection, there was a positive decision that he  
 16 should be allowed to attend the next suitable event.  
 17 She cannot recall at what point that decision was made  
 18 as to what was the suitable event.

19 She did not recall the specific conversation, and  
 20 she didn't think the risks of him specifically attending  
 21 that event were discussed in any detail. Nobody raised  
 22 any concerns about the risk of sending someone with his  
 23 background to an event in London attended by dignitaries  
 24 and they were in a situation where concerns would  
 25 readily be raised if they were held.

158

1 Had there been a discussion about particular risks  
 2 of the London visit, either to say he's safe now to go,  
 3 or that it's risky, that would have stuck in her mind.  
 4 It was fair to say there was no positive discussion of  
 5 risk followed by a decision. There was an implied  
 6 consent, due to the ongoing nature of the discussions.

7 She was asked whether in retrospect the prospect of  
 8 Khan going to London to this major event was something  
 9 that merited a careful discussion with the risks being  
 10 gone through specifically at the MAPPA meetings, and she  
 11 said yes, and it would warrant being in the minutes.

12 On the matters raised by Mr Armstrong concerning  
 13 access to prison intelligence, she had access to  
 14 sensitive prison intelligence and was the conduit to  
 15 probation, but at the time she did not know about MI5's  
 16 interest in the case. She had no direct involvement  
 17 with MI5 then. She now does, as she is appropriately  
 18 cleared.

19 Ieva Cechaviciute is a senior registered forensic  
 20 and chartered psychologist. She has been a chartered  
 21 psychologist since 2008 and had worked with the Prison  
 22 Service since July 2014. She became a senior  
 23 psychologist in early 2018 and had worked in the  
 24 psychology department at Whitemoor since July 2014. She  
 25 first met Khan in January 2018. She attended the

159

1 monthly Pathfinder meetings. In May 2017, she gave  
 2 a brief report on Khan that included what Dr Al-Attar  
 3 had told her. This included what is set out in the  
 4 minutes: that to Dr Al-Attar Khan was considered as  
 5 superficial and full of hot air.

6 The ERG plus is an assessment tool for determining  
 7 and managing the risks of extremists offending. It is  
 8 a structured professional judgment and it helps to look  
 9 at certain factors to manage prisoners' risks and their  
 10 sentence. There are 22 factors identified and then the  
 11 plus suffix allows for other factors relevant to the  
 12 individual to be considered. It is important to  
 13 understand not only what factors may be relevant to  
 14 risk, but also how they may contribute to it. The  
 15 factors are grouped into engagement factors, intent  
 16 factors, and capability factors. She was asked to  
 17 update Khan's ERG assessment in late 2017, and she  
 18 booked the assessment for early 2018.

19 In preparing for her work, she had identified  
 20 a number of sources of information, and the material was  
 21 reviewed. This included the judgment of the Court of  
 22 Appeal, the prosecution's opening note for the case,  
 23 previous ERG assessment, category A reviews, the HII and  
 24 TSP reports. She received a report from the Islamic  
 25 guidance course for Khan that he had undertaken

160

1 between January 2017 and February 2018, and had read  
2 what the imams had said about the progress he had made.

3 She also spoke to Khan's offender supervisor,  
4 Ms Boulton, and she probably spoke to the imams on the  
5 phone or in person, a TSP facilitator and Mr Machin.  
6 She spent a total of six and a half hours with Khan on  
7 the three occasions in January 2018. He came across in  
8 his presentation with underlying anger and bitterness.  
9 The anger or bitterness she thought came from the fact  
10 that she was assessing his risk and questioning him and  
11 asking him some hard questions and so it was not the  
12 most pleasant experience.

13 In March, she gave a progress report summary to the  
14 Pathfinder meeting. She said that Khan had made little  
15 progress whilst in prison, didn't understand his own  
16 risk, and being in prison made him a greater risk than  
17 before by elevating his profile and that he still  
18 refused to accept responsibility for his crime. She  
19 knew he was to be released in nine months ahead and she  
20 was very worried about that.

21 She had seen documents where Khan was saying he had  
22 changed. From the adverse intelligence there was  
23 considerable doubt about the extent to which he had  
24 really changed beyond his own self-report. From the  
25 start, she had a degree of scepticism about that

161

1 self-reporting. However, whilst he was in prison and  
2 considering the capability factors, she saw that he was  
3 becoming quite aggressive. She saw that in addition to  
4 the offence he'd committed before, he could commit  
5 violence. To her, this was very worrying. She was  
6 worried about how to communicate this in her report, so  
7 she went into detail to explain the pattern of his  
8 behaviour. His risk was likely, she said, to increase  
9 on release.

10 Using the Court of Appeal judgment she gave  
11 a detailed account of the offences and she contrasted  
12 his account and the details of the case that led to his  
13 guilty plea. To her this indicated that Khan did not  
14 have insight into his offence and had no motivation to  
15 participate in anything genuinely. From the prison  
16 records she recorded the mixed reports about Khan. It  
17 was also quite possible that the positive behaviours are  
18 not related to his risk, they are just being pleasant or  
19 trying to create a positive image of himself, so they  
20 may not be genuine either.

21 She was taking the behaviour into account, but not  
22 putting a lot of emphasis on him, given that there was  
23 a lot of contradictory information. She was quite  
24 certain there was little honesty in what he was telling  
25 her.

162

1 In summary, her overall view was that Khan was not  
2 able to reduce his risk whilst in prison and due to the  
3 company he was keeping and the behaviours he was  
4 engaging in, it was quite likely that his risk was  
5 exacerbated even more. She had assessed the stages of  
6 change and she assessed Khan to be in the  
7 pre-contemplation stage or possibly in the preparation  
8 stage for change, but she thought maybe there is  
9 something he's not telling her, or he is not able to  
10 express that would make her believe he was actually  
11 genuinely trying to change.

12 She gave a summer that the risk of extremism became  
13 exacerbated whilst in custody and through his observed  
14 behaviour, Khan raised multiple concerns to staff about  
15 his ongoing risk in the community.

16 The potential protective factors that would need to  
17 be worked on, including victim awareness, hoping it  
18 might make some difference, and also suggesting that he  
19 found out about employment, because for him being bored  
20 and not having much to do with his time could  
21 potentially lead him into trouble. She set out some  
22 warning signs in her report that followed on from the  
23 thinking she had described, a list of things to look out  
24 for that could indicate he was on the wrong path.

25 She reviewed the report with Khan on 29 March 2018.

163

1 At the meeting he became very angry. He did not see the  
2 report as valid at all. She could see why from the  
3 things he told her as it was quite apparent that she did  
4 not take them as an honest reflection of what he was  
5 thinking and he was very upset about the security  
6 information being used, saying it was invalid,  
7 inappropriate, speculative, and there was no truth to  
8 it.

9 She made an entry in NOMIS that he conveyed his  
10 dissatisfaction in a healthy manner, and in her report  
11 she had said he had politely expressed his  
12 dissatisfaction. She was not necessarily reassured by  
13 his ability to contain his anger than other than for her  
14 own personal safety. There were further meetings when  
15 the report was considered. It was discussed with  
16 Ms Boulton, and then with Ms Boulton, Mr Machin and  
17 Khan. In those meetings Khan was very bitter and angry,  
18 did not agree with anything within the assessment, and  
19 he was especially upset about the security information.  
20 It was concerning that he was denying everything that  
21 was negative and told her that his insight is not there  
22 and he is prepared to take any responsibility, and he  
23 was presenting himself as if he were the victim of the  
24 security department.

25 She contributed to the category A review later in

164

1 2018. The criteria for a downgrade is to show  
 2 a significant risk reduction, and she was trying to  
 3 communicate that it was impossible to be clear or sure  
 4 that there was any internal change or significant level  
 5 of risk reduction. At the meeting, it was  
 6 inconsequential as it was a time when it would have no  
 7 consequences for him, but in essence, he did not meet  
 8 the criteria for a downgrade. In her opinion, he should  
 9 not have been downgraded.

10 She provided a report to MAPPA but she did not  
 11 attend the meeting in person and she had not been  
 12 invited to one. If she had, then she would have  
 13 explained her report. In principle, she thought it  
 14 would have been helpful for a MAPPA panel to hear from  
 15 someone like her with a summary of her findings.

16 Sharron Ford is a Probation Service officer working  
 17 at the approved premises in Stafford. She had worked  
 18 for the Probation Service for over 20 years before 2019  
 19 and had been a PSO for three years. Her role was as one  
 20 of the keyworkers, and she was allocated as a keyworker  
 21 for Khan.

22 At approved premises, risks and needs management  
 23 plans were prepared by her and her colleague,  
 24 Sarah Whitaker. The management plan recorded the risk  
 25 levels from the OASys for Khan as very high to the

165

1 general public. It was unusual to have someone with  
 2 that rating in a set of approved premises. The plan  
 3 also set out the factors that might increase and  
 4 decrease that risk. Khan was there until 24 September,  
 5 and she would have been with him about once a fortnight.  
 6 He was really positive, really engaged with the  
 7 sessions, and in the premises generally. They were  
 8 developing all kinds of group work sessions and they  
 9 spoke about education, employment and accommodation. He  
 10 was always very polite but did not reveal much about  
 11 himself, but displayed a passion for writing and he  
 12 spoke a bit about his family. In the time she had  
 13 dealings with him, she saw no behaviours that gave her  
 14 cause for concern.

15 M1 is a practical mentor who had contact with Khan  
 16 through The Unity Initiative. His time with Khan  
 17 spanned December 2018 to March 2019. His role as  
 18 a mentor was to see the offender in the community, and  
 19 to help with the various tasks identified by the  
 20 Probation Service, and also to give guidance and support  
 21 more generally. After each intervention meeting with  
 22 Khan, he would provide a report setting out what was  
 23 done, as well as his impressions. He was given  
 24 a tasking document setting out details of Khan's  
 25 offences and the kind of support to be provided. This

166

1 involved assisting with job searches, and going to the  
 2 Jobcentre and other places with Khan where he would be  
 3 able to use the internet under supervision, helping him  
 4 look for suitable premises when the time came to move on  
 5 from the approved premises, as well as engaging with  
 6 hobbies and friendship groups that would be supportive.

7 He had two sessions with Khan before his release  
 8 from Whitemoor on 4 and 11 December, and after release  
 9 he saw Khan twice a week. They met at the approved  
 10 premises, spent most of time there, but they may also  
 11 have gone to the library or a café and would chat about  
 12 how he was feeling generally.

13 In the notes of the meeting of their sessions in  
 14 prison he recorded that Khan said he was looking at  
 15 pursuing an academic career in combating terrorism. In  
 16 their first meeting after release, they spoke about  
 17 various practical matters and in the early meetings he  
 18 assisted Khan with logistical issues including  
 19 supervised use of the internet at the library. There  
 20 was nothing of concern in Khan's behaviour in using the  
 21 internet. They also had general discussions to seek to  
 22 build some rapport. He knew Khan was in contact with  
 23 his family and that he had made arrangements to meet  
 24 them. Khan spoke about his connections with Cambridge  
 25 University and with Learning Together, and said he

167

1 really enjoyed being part of it and felt empowered by  
 2 it.

3 In the time that he acted as a mentor, he was not  
 4 aware of any concerns that Khan was not complying with  
 5 his licence conditions. His own impression was that  
 6 they got on well and had a good rapport, there were no  
 7 arguments between them, and Khan said he was very  
 8 grateful for the support.

9 In his report for their meeting on 4 March, Khan  
 10 spoke about the forthcoming MAPPA meeting and wanting to  
 11 make representations about his licence conditions. He  
 12 noted that Khan got very angry about the licence  
 13 conditions and on receiving a negative response, his  
 14 whole demeanour changed: he went from calm to being very  
 15 angry, and it was quite frightening. He reported that  
 16 Khan realised he had seen him, and quickly tried to  
 17 downplay it.

18 He accepted the account in his report was a much  
 19 less forceful description than he had put in his witness  
 20 statement where he described Khan as having hate in his  
 21 eyes and real evil intent. He explained that he knew  
 22 the report would be seen by all parties and they should  
 23 report issues they had. He would have thought he would  
 24 be spoken to and had not been too explicit. He raised  
 25 it with his line manager, Shaun Greenough. He resigned

168

1 in March 2019, which had nothing to do with Khan.  
 2 M2, the other practical mentor, also worked for TUI  
 3 and did so from early 2018. He was Khan's mentor  
 4 between mid-March and late August. He was aware that  
 5 a previous mentor had resigned and when he was assigned  
 6 to Khan, he had about three days to prepare. He didn't  
 7 know much about him before, but he understood he had  
 8 fallen out with the previous mentor.

9 As to Khan's offending, he was told in brief terms  
 10 about the offences but wasn't provided with a full  
 11 tasking agreement and didn't have any reports from the  
 12 previous mentor.

13 His first session with Khan was on 14 March. He  
 14 noted Khan had been trying to get on to courses and to  
 15 find work, and he told Khan to be patient, but that it  
 16 was good that he was enthusiastic.

17 Khan told him he had been closed up and  
 18 anti-authority in prison, but after reading positive  
 19 books in segregation, he had become a positive person,  
 20 a positive narrative of change.

21 The report also included this:  
 22 "He spoke of his journey, he went to Pakistan to  
 23 study but ran out of funding, therefore came back to  
 24 England. Once he arrived in England, he thought of  
 25 an idea, which was to open a mosque in Pakistan which

1 could bring him an income and at the same time he could  
 2 study in Pakistan. However, while Khan was looking for  
 3 funding, he ended up mixing with the wrong people to  
 4 fund it for the wrong reasons. He explained some of the  
 5 people were part of the circle of Anjem Choudary and had  
 6 planned other things. I asked Khan if he was aware of  
 7 the illegal activity, Khan said he admits everything.  
 8 I asked him what he meant. Khan replied, well I knew  
 9 they were up to something, I needed money so at the time  
 10 I did not care what they were doing so long as it was  
 11 funded, also it would have provided me with money."

12 He said it did strike him that the account was  
 13 playing down what he had actually done on the basis of  
 14 what he had been convicted of, but his role was to  
 15 investigate what his views are and his side of the story  
 16 and then it was for him to put it in the report and to  
 17 hand it forward. It was part of a role to challenge it  
 18 but here this was the first report and so his objective  
 19 was to find out the history and then in future sessions  
 20 he would work on it.

21 He noted that Khan spoke in positive terms of his  
 22 connection with Cambridge University. That first  
 23 session he said was a positive one. He was taken to  
 24 a number of the reports from his sessions with Khan.  
 25 Over the course of April to August 2019, the reports

1 refer to the frustration Khan expressed when M2 recorded  
 2 details of websites he visited, the apparent pride Khan  
 3 showed in challenging a stall promoting Christianity,  
 4 and how much the person at the stall knew about  
 5 Christianity, how Khan viewed the visit to Whitemoor and  
 6 saw it as a status-enhancing event, a discussion about  
 7 the merits of Kalashnikovs and diesel cars, and in his  
 8 last session, an implausible explanation by Khan of his  
 9 offending due to lack of educational opportunities:  
 10 people end up in all sort of crime for money including  
 11 terrorism. Khan explained how he fell for a dodgy  
 12 business plan that caused him to go inside. He didn't  
 13 care at the time because he needed money. He thought  
 14 that was a rather implausible explanation for the  
 15 offending.

16 There were a number of times when Khan reacted with  
 17 sudden rudeness or aggression to fairly anodyne comments  
 18 that the mentor made. It was something, he said, that  
 19 Khan tended to do.

20 He noted that Khan would apply for inappropriate  
 21 jobs. On the briefing, Khan could only do warehouse  
 22 jobs and no dealings with other people, and he was  
 23 always trying his luck, pushing it, and when he  
 24 challenged him about it and said he couldn't apply for  
 25 it, he said that was a matter for probation.

1 Khan was very manipulative and if he wanted to get  
 2 something he would tell people different things and  
 3 create stories to get what he wanted. The last session  
 4 he had with Khan was in August 2019.

5 Members of the jury, a couple of statements were  
 6 read to you slightly out of sequence, they go back to  
 7 Learning Together, but as I've tried to stick to the way  
 8 in which the evidence was presented to you, I'll just  
 9 remind you briefly of those now. One was from  
 10 Jenny Fogarty, an assistant professor, part of  
 11 Learning Together since 2014, and she had been involved  
 12 in their network in which academics volunteer as course  
 13 conveners to put on courses in local prisons.

14 With regard to the Innovation Grant which was  
 15 awarded in the summer of 2018, she was responsible for  
 16 managing the grant relating to Learning Together as the  
 17 new network director. She explained that the courses  
 18 are led by the prisons as to who can participate. She  
 19 would interview those from the prisons wanting to apply  
 20 and then give a shortlist to the prison for them to do  
 21 a security sift and the prison's decision was final.  
 22 All students who finish the course can opt into the  
 23 alumni group and get invitations to events. She has  
 24 kept in touch with former students either through formal  
 25 events or providing them with her professional contact

1 details. However, her approach has always been to keep  
2 the students at arm's length. She's never met with any  
3 of her prison-based students after their release.

4 She had not met with Khan before 29 November. On  
5 the day she said he gave no indication that anything was  
6 awry. She did not have any concerns about the security  
7 arrangements. She had never had her bag checked at any  
8 conference she had been to in the last few years.

9 Eleanor Brown had worked for Learning Together over  
10 the last three years as a guest lecturer. She is  
11 a doctoral student coming to the end of her research.  
12 She was introduced to Khan around January 2019 and she  
13 spoke to him on the phone about prison segregation of  
14 which he was critical of the treatment he received. She  
15 found him open and forthcoming. He spoke positively of  
16 the Writing Together course and she did not have any  
17 cause for concern for him as his experiences appear to  
18 echo those of other prisoners.

19 She also had text message conversations where he  
20 mentioned that he was doing well in the community. Her  
21 general sense was that he was coping well in the  
22 community and he spoke enthusiastically and hopefully  
23 about writing and the adjustment to life after prison.

24 Her impression of Khan's view on segregation and the  
25 effects it had on him was that he had sadness related to

173

1 some sense of neglect by society, which developed into  
2 a strong sense of injustice about being placed in  
3 segregation and how he was treated in prison generally.  
4 He used words like "psychological torture" to describe  
5 it. She noted that when speaking to him, it was  
6 sometimes hard to distinguish his direct experiences  
7 from what he may have overheard or may have been told by  
8 others. When they spoke of how he was getting on in the  
9 community post-release, he spoke optimistically and said  
10 he was doing fine, looking into getting better funding  
11 for educational opportunities.

12 After she had spoken to him, Ms Ghiggini sent her  
13 an essay Khan had written about radicalisation in modern  
14 Europe. She gave Khan some feedback. Her reading of it  
15 was an academic essay written in the abstract. While it  
16 explored pathways to radicalisation, it identified  
17 opportunities for reform. She attended the event on  
18 29 November, and introduced herself to Khan and talked  
19 about her ongoing research. He appeared more reserved,  
20 she said, saying he was up to this and that. She met  
21 others at the event and at 1.45, when she came back into  
22 the main room, she noticed that Khan was not there.

23 The next stage is Kim West who is the manager of the  
24 approved premises and she is a qualified probation  
25 officer. The suitability of the approved premises was

174

1 discussed at the MAPPA meeting in June. There were  
2 concerns about Khan residing in Stoke. He didn't want  
3 to do so because of his old associations, which was seen  
4 as a positive. The MAPPA panel therefore considered  
5 whether Stafford was far enough from Stoke. A risk and  
6 need management plan was created which sets out the  
7 measures to assess and supervise residents. Such  
8 measures include periodic room searches, drug and  
9 alcohol testing, regular keyworker sessions and  
10 participation in agreed activities. The plan draws from  
11 information in the OASys assessment and sets out factors  
12 that might increase and decrease the risk that Khan  
13 presented. She had passing conversations with Khan, no  
14 more or less than any other resident. There were some  
15 issues, such as he was irritated when he was not allowed  
16 to use the office phone, but he was not aggressive and  
17 those were not out of the ordinary compared to other  
18 residents.

19 She had regular contact with police, including  
20 PS Forsyth, and spoke to Mr Skelton, and she continued  
21 to attend the MAPPA meetings until Khan left the  
22 approved premises.

23 She reported to the MAPPA meeting on 11 July 2019  
24 that Khan's mood had changed noticeably during Ramadan.  
25 It was summer, and so fasting over long days. The

175

1 issues were minor dips in mood but she did not consider  
2 out of the ordinary. She did not notice a change in  
3 Khan's behaviour over this time in the approved  
4 premises. He did spend long periods of time in his  
5 room. He was keen to work and received a lot of  
6 knock-backs on employment. She updated the MAPPA  
7 meetings as to his progress and reported that he engaged  
8 well, was compliant, and no issues with his behaviour or  
9 concerns. He was viewed as a positive resident.

10 Her impression was that Khan was committed to  
11 Learning Together and invested in the programme. He  
12 seemed proud of his involvement and saw education as his  
13 opportunity to improve himself. He was worried about  
14 how he would be treated going back to Whitemoor for the  
15 June visit, but when he came back he was pleased by the  
16 reception he had received and had enjoyed the day.

17 Mark White is the owner of the Elite Fitness Centre  
18 in Stafford which Khan attended. When Khan approached  
19 him about joining, he was open and explained he had been  
20 arrested and convicted for terrorism. He said he had  
21 been convicted as a teenager and been quite naïve at the  
22 time. Khan trained regularly, four to five times  
23 a week, and didn't appear to attend with anyone else.  
24 He appeared to be very much a solitary character. Khan  
25 appeared to get on well with Mr White, bringing him food

176

1 and meeting his wife. Khan mentioned difficulties in  
 2 finding somewhere to live and in finding a job. When  
 3 Khan stopped going to the gym Mr White messaged him.  
 4 Khan said he had hurt his hand, then later said he had  
 5 a cold and didn't return to the gym.  
 6 Next, members of the jury, I'm going to deal with  
 7 Mr Skelton. I think once I've dealt with Mr Skelton,  
 8 that will probably be sufficient for a day, so we'll  
 9 break at that stage.  
 10 Mr Skelton, as you know, is the offender manager at  
 11 HMPPS and was Khan's offender manager from May 2017  
 12 to November 2019. He began supervising TACT offenders  
 13 in 2017. Khan and his two co-defendants were the first  
 14 TACT offenders he managed. When he began managing Khan,  
 15 he familiarised himself with the existing notes on the  
 16 Delius system, and was in contact with Khan's offender  
 17 supervisor, Ms Boulton. He understood that Khan had  
 18 been involved in incidents of disruptive behaviour  
 19 whilst in prison and was linked to extremist gangs. He  
 20 discussed incidents of concerning behaviour with  
 21 Ms Boulton in July, August and November 2017. In each  
 22 case, Khan had sought to minimise his involvement or  
 23 deny any wrongdoing.  
 24 On 8 May 2018, Elizabeth Geal made a detailed entry  
 25 about Khan which raised previous concerns linked to

177

1 radicalising others, jumping on the netting and refusing  
 2 to be locked up. She had noted that Khan's risk of  
 3 serious harm level was very high risk. Mr Skelton  
 4 indicated that he would consider Mr Khan's risk of  
 5 serious harm level as part of the OASys review.  
 6 He had seen Ms Cechaviciute's ERG report  
 7 of April 2018 at the time it was produced and he  
 8 understood its conclusions. The report detailed prison  
 9 intelligence documenting Khan's involvement in  
 10 extremism, bullying and disruptive behaviour. Khan  
 11 denied that intelligence. On 22 May 2018, he noted that  
 12 he had discussed that report with Ms Boulton and with  
 13 Khan.  
 14 In the dealings with individuals such as Khan, his  
 15 view was he needed to understand and reflect on  
 16 behaviour, but also to try to understand and see ways to  
 17 move the individual forward.  
 18 On 4 October 2018, he discussed Learning Together  
 19 and his proposed licence conditions with Khan. He saw  
 20 Khan's engagement with Learning Together after release  
 21 as something positive going forward. His relationship,  
 22 he said, with Khan was initially strained, but it did  
 23 improve.  
 24 In the last six months in prison he prepared two  
 25 OASys reports on Khan. The first was produced at the

178

1 end of July 2018, and revised in August. He noted  
 2 Khan's offending was rooted in deeply held views. He  
 3 discussed Khan's behaviour and changed Khan's risk to  
 4 other prisoners to medium to reflect the concerns about  
 5 Khan radicalising others, in part so this could be  
 6 closely monitored on his release. His assessment was  
 7 that until Khan engaged genuinely with all those  
 8 responsible for him and presented as fully open and  
 9 honest, the risk posed by Khan remained very high. He  
 10 assessed Khan to be a very high risk to the public in  
 11 the community.  
 12 In his self assessment form, Khan identified very  
 13 few problems and said his views had changed. Mr Skelton  
 14 said he retained a healthy scepticism about that.  
 15 The second report he did was dated on  
 16 22 November 2018. Based on his meetings with PS Forsyth  
 17 and staff reports from the hostel, he noted a positive  
 18 improvement in attitude. Khan's risk assessment  
 19 remained very high as he would need to demonstrate  
 20 positive behaviour over a period of time before it could  
 21 be lowered. He regarded Khan's continuing involvement  
 22 with Learning Together as positive and an important part  
 23 of that development.  
 24 He made a referral to MAPPA in February 2018 and  
 25 attended several meetings prior to Khan's release.

179

1 In June 2018, Khan's disruptive behaviour and  
 2 radicalising efforts were noted. In August 2018,  
 3 concerns were reported that Khan may be behaving in  
 4 a deceptively compliant manner in order to facilitate  
 5 his release. Mr Skelton considered Khan had no reason  
 6 to do this as he was going to be released anyway but was  
 7 aware that offenders might moderate their behaviour to  
 8 limit scrutiny and had that in mind.  
 9 In October 2018, he discussed Khan's involvement in  
 10 education. He regarded it as a huge protective factor  
 11 based on Khan's outwardly positive presentation,  
 12 attitude and compliance. He did not consider this  
 13 an act on Khan's part and had no indication from Khan of  
 14 false behaviour.  
 15 He attended the December MAPPA meeting shortly  
 16 before Khan's release and recalled discussing the  
 17 intelligence about Khan radicalising others. He did not  
 18 recall positively taking the view that Khan had made  
 19 a real internal change of mindset and this intelligence  
 20 reinforced the need to remain aware.  
 21 He was involved in deciding Khan's licence  
 22 conditions from mid-2018. He discussed them with others  
 23 and in the June and August 2018 MAPPA meetings. They  
 24 were broadly agreed at the October meeting, but there  
 25 were a few changes after his release.

180

1 In April 2019, the curfew was adjusted, in May 2019,  
 2 the 4.00 pm sign—on requirement was removed, and  
 3 in September 2019 the curfew was adjusted when Khan  
 4 moved to his own premises. Khan was released on  
 5 24 December as a category A high risk prisoner. That is  
 6 very rare. He was aware that PS Forsyth and other  
 7 Prevent officers from Staffordshire Police were involved  
 8 in Khan's management. He met DS Jon Stephenson of the  
 9 Staffordshire Special Branch, but didn't understand him  
 10 to be involved. He was not aware of the West Midlands  
 11 Police CTU being involved in managing, monitoring or  
 12 investigating Khan. He was not aware that Khan was the  
 13 subject of an MI5 joint investigation with  
 14 counter—terrorism police.

15 At a meeting on 2 January 2019, Khan said that he  
 16 was not really a practising Muslim and sought to  
 17 minimise his involvement in his offending. Mr Skelton  
 18 did not disregard the fact that Khan was minimising his  
 19 behaviour, but recorded this as a good, open and honest  
 20 session as Khan was talking openly and engaged with him.  
 21 He reported to the MAPPa meeting on 23 January that Khan  
 22 had settled well and wanted to continue his studies with  
 23 Learning Together.

24 On 25 January 2019, he received an email from  
 25 Dr Armstrong about an event at Cambridge University on

181

1 12 March. Khan would need his permission to attend. As  
 2 he had only been released in December, he did not feel  
 3 he could confidently give him permission to go. He  
 4 wanted to ensure that Khan's behaviour was consistent  
 5 over time. Equally, Khan remained a very high risk  
 6 individual. He did permit Khan to record a short video  
 7 for the event, reflecting on his involvement with  
 8 Learning Together.

9 At meetings throughout February, March  
 10 and April 2019, he discussed, amongst other things,  
 11 education and employment opportunities with Khan and  
 12 reported back on these items to MAPPa on 17 April. They  
 13 discussed a possible visit with Learning Together to  
 14 Whitemoor in June. CTU colleagues offered to provide  
 15 an escort and, given this, no concerns were raised about  
 16 Khan's attendance.

17 On 14 May, Mr Bromley proposed changing Mr Khan's  
 18 risk rating from very high to high. They discussed this  
 19 and Mr Skelton said he agreed with the reduction. No  
 20 OASys was conducted in relation to that. At the MAPPa  
 21 meetings in May and July, Khan's employment options were  
 22 also discussed. In July, Mr Skelton raised the  
 23 possibility of Khan undertaking a dumper truck training  
 24 course. West Midlands CTU raised concerns and it was  
 25 collectively decided, he said, that it would not be

182

1 appropriate.

2 On 21 August, he received an email from Dr Ludlow  
 3 about the event at Fishmongers' Hall and mentioned this  
 4 at the MAPPa meeting on the 22nd. The minutes refer to  
 5 the November event being in London and that Khan would  
 6 need to get there under his own steam. Mr Skelton  
 7 believed that there was a further discussion of this,  
 8 but no one raised any objections or concerns about Khan  
 9 attending and he took this to indicate the panel's  
 10 approval. He did not think he could take such  
 11 a decision without positive agreement from the panel,  
 12 but couldn't recall anyone positively agreeing to it.

13 At a MAPPa meeting on 3 October, he believes the  
 14 event was discussed again, but the minutes do not  
 15 reflect this. He accepted that if a substantive  
 16 discussion had occurred, the minutes should show this.

17 At visits on 5 and 12 November, he and Khan  
 18 discussed arrangements for the event at  
 19 Fishmongers' Hall. On 13 November, he emailed  
 20 PS Forsyth about the event, and PS Forsyth asked for  
 21 details and travel times. At the MAPPa meeting  
 22 in November, the 14th, concerns were raised that Khan  
 23 may be isolated. The logistics of the trip were also  
 24 mentioned, but there was no discussion of risk or  
 25 reference to a decision to approve his attendance being

183

1 taken.

2 On 20 November he emailed PS Forsyth and Ms Gell to  
 3 provide detail of the trains Khan would take so that  
 4 this would not be flagged on his electronic tagging as  
 5 a breach. Under his licence conditions, Khan could  
 6 travel to London, but could not attend an event or  
 7 travel by train without Mr Skelton's approval.

8 On 28 November in an email exchange with Timpson's  
 9 Trust and Dr Ludlow about employment prospects for Khan,  
 10 he spoke about a marked positive change in Khan's  
 11 behaviour, attitude and outlook, erroneously stating  
 12 that he had noted this change since 2016. Despite Khan  
 13 still being assessed as a high risk individual, he wrote  
 14 that Khan had undergone a comprehensive risk assessment  
 15 and that himself and other agencies felt it would be  
 16 appropriate for him to undertake this employment. He  
 17 considered information from other agencies, the police  
 18 and Cambridge University, and felt there was no  
 19 information to suggest otherwise.

20 He had regular contact and a positive working  
 21 relationship with PS Forsyth. He thought PS Forsyth's  
 22 view was as his: that Khan was engaging positively and  
 23 trying to be as open as possible.

24 Regarding Learning Together, Dr Armstrong told him  
 25 they were aware of Khan's offending insofar as it was in

184

1 the public domain, and he felt this would give a clear  
 2 insight into his offending and the fact that he was  
 3 a high risk .  
 4 He had watched the video that Khan recorded for  
 5 Learning Together and had no concerns of it. He was not  
 6 aware of the research interview and, had he been, he  
 7 would have asked for a transcript or a copy of it .  
 8 As regards the ERG assessment, it was decided that  
 9 he would complete it with input from Mr Johal. He  
 10 interviewed Khan twice in 2019, and provided a draft  
 11 assessment to Mr Johal on 27 November 2019.  
 12 Some of Khan's comments were implausible, and the  
 13 concern that he may not be telling the truth lingered .  
 14 He gave a generally positive report on his engagement  
 15 with others. He recorded Khan's current risk assessment  
 16 as high, his level of engagement with extremist ideology  
 17 as medium, and assessed intent as low, because there was  
 18 no evidence to suggest an intent to cause harm. He  
 19 assessed his capacity to engage in extremist activity as  
 20 minimal. Overall he assessed Khan's likelihood of  
 21 re-offending and extremist offending to be low as Khan  
 22 was clear in his analysis of why he came to offend. He  
 23 said this assessment was based on the information that  
 24 he had now and Khan presented to him and others. He  
 25 noted a balance between trying to move the individual on

185

1 whilst also protecting the public.  
 2 He saw Khan weekly and was not concerned. If he had  
 3 an inkling of anything, then he would not have suggested  
 4 that Khan should attend the event at Fishmongers' Hall.  
 5 When asked by Mr Armstrong, he said that had he  
 6 known that MI5 had an open investigation on Khan, this  
 7 would have been an interest and would have fed into his  
 8 risk assessments. Had he known about MI5 increasing  
 9 Khan's priority level , he would have applied maximum  
 10 caution.  
 11 When asked by Mr Baumber, Mr Skelton accepted that  
 12 he was responsible for the licence conditions and had  
 13 authority to amend conditions under licence where the  
 14 licence provided for that. Probation take the decision  
 15 but refer or defer to MAPPA where appropriate.  
 16 On 21 August 2019 in his email reply to Dr Ludlow,  
 17 he said he could not see it being a problem for Khan to  
 18 attend the event in London. He said this before raising  
 19 it with MAPPA and thought he had discussed it with his  
 20 line manager first. He didn't think his email reflected  
 21 the way he had raised the issue with MAPPA. It was not  
 22 a decision he would make alone and it was not a foregone  
 23 conclusion.  
 24 He said in the email that Khan would have to get to  
 25 the event under his own steam, again before raising that

186

1 with MAPPA. Again, he didn't think this reflected the  
 2 way he raised it in the MAPPA meeting either.  
 3 He accepted that the record of him raising the issue in  
 4 the MAPPA meeting the next day reflected the language of  
 5 his email, but he didn't think that he presented the  
 6 matter as a decision he had made which he was simply  
 7 informing MAPPA about.  
 8 He agreed that by 2019 it was no longer  
 9 a requirement to complete annual OASys assessments.  
 10 Khan's previous OASys assessment was completed  
 11 in December 2018. He characterised his ERG as not  
 12 a static assessment but a dynamic one, which would  
 13 require ongoing monitoring.  
 14 He agreed that, in his email of 4 November,  
 15 PS Forsyth said that he had no problem with Khan  
 16 attending the event. He accepted that DC Hartill's  
 17 notes of the MAPPA meeting of 14 November refer to  
 18 discussing the plans for the event at Fishmongers' Hall,  
 19 its purpose and travel arrangements. He said that  
 20 reflects his own recollection of that meeting on that  
 21 topic.  
 22 Members of the jury, I'm going to suggest we pause  
 23 there. For those of you who are following, perhaps, in  
 24 your own notes you've made, I've got to the end of four  
 25 weeks' worth of the evidence which you've listened to,

187

1 and you have listened to me summarise that during the  
 2 course of a day.  
 3 Obviously I'll follow through the same pattern in  
 4 the morning. I know one of your number has something to  
 5 do first thing, so some of you may get a slight lie-in.  
 6 We're going to start tomorrow morning at 10.30. So  
 7 thank you very much indeed for your care and attention  
 8 today, and have a well deserved break from listening to  
 9 me, and we'll meet again tomorrow morning. Thank you.  
 10 (In the absence of the jury)  
 11 I'll rise .  
 12 (4.10 pm)  
 13 (The court adjourned until 10.30 am on  
 14 Thursday, 27 May 2021)  
 15  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25

188

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE
4	Summing-up .....	1
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	189	
	190	

<p><b>A</b></p> <p>abandoned (1) 145:22</p> <p>ability (4) 65:10 111:1 152:23 164:13</p> <p>abject (1) 156:4</p> <p>able (12) 25:25 37:12 85:9 113:22 116:14 118:4 124:3 150:20 155:19 163:2,9 167:3</p> <p>above (2) 30:4 69:8</p> <p>abroad (1) 89:25</p> <p>abruptly (1) 157:19</p> <p>absence (5) 55:19 109:9,14 154:1 188:10</p> <p>absolutely (1) 73:7</p> <p>abstract (1) 174:15</p> <p>abuse (1) 73:15</p> <p>academic (7) 44:17 48:4 56:3 106:4 107:5 167:15 174:15</p> <p>academics (1) 172:12</p> <p>accept (7) 82:10 125:15,17 138:5 150:2 151:8 161:18</p> <p>accepted (18) 47:21 48:12 50:17 60:9 88:25 90:15 91:9,10 135:21 136:8 145:13 150:16,18 168:18 183:15 186:11 187:3,16</p> <p>access (19) 47:2 58:1 75:18 78:22 86:21 104:6,14 125:8 127:11,15,20 141:10 151:8 152:9,11,20,23 159:13,13</p> <p>accessed (1) 64:8</p> <p>accommodate (1) 66:11</p> <p>accommodation (2) 141:9 166:9</p> <p>accompanied (2) 112:24 113:3</p> <p>accompaniment (1) 148:14</p> <p>accompany (1) 135:17</p> <p>accorded (1) 68:22</p> <p>according (1) 38:21</p> <p>account (9) 106:22 144:15,17 157:17 162:11,12,21 168:18 170:12</p> <p>accountability (1) 60:24</p> <p>accounted (1) 95:15</p> <p>accumulation (1) 65:12</p> <p>accuracy (1) 59:11</p> <p>accurate (3) 24:1 133:22 145:2</p> <p>achieve (3) 76:8 132:5 142:23</p> <p>achievement (1) 142:22</p> <p>acknowledged (8) 39:3 43:18 44:12 57:19 58:10 133:23 136:21 145:20</p> <p>acquired (1) 83:23</p> <p>across (13) 35:7 37:3 39:21 42:18 50:1 67:11 101:23 116:3 125:22 140:8 154:24 156:18 161:7</p> <p>acted (1) 168:3</p> <p>acting (3) 10:22,24 11:10</p> <p>action (3) 17:9 103:22 104:12</p> <p>actions (4) 44:1 68:11 103:19 140:9</p> <p>active (1) 53:23</p> <p>activities (15) 47:22 53:1,2 54:10,25 55:4 58:16 61:17 83:4 89:5 106:5,8 114:23 125:5 175:10</p> <p>activity (14) 47:4 68:22 69:4 90:1 106:6,11 125:3,6,8 151:23 155:6,13 170:7 185:19</p> <p>acts (1) 91:1</p> <p>actual (2) 139:4 148:18</p> <p>actually (5) 48:25 149:5 152:1 163:10 170:13</p> <p>adam (2) 20:21 74:20</p> <p>added (3) 80:4 81:25 82:14</p>	<p>addition (3) 70:13 78:1 162:3</p> <p>additional (6) 77:7 79:16 84:15 86:11 136:16 144:5 145:1</p> <p>address (3) 85:9 90:22 107:15</p> <p>addressed (1) 144:19</p> <p>adequate (2) 153:21,22</p> <p>adequately (1) 56:14</p> <p>adjourned (1) 188:13</p> <p>adjournment (1) 109:12</p> <p>adjudication (1) 105:13</p> <p>adjudications (3) 38:6 131:11 132:10</p> <p>adjusted (2) 181:1,3</p> <p>adjustment (1) 173:23</p> <p>admin (1) 128:15</p> <p>administer (3) 3:22 9:22 16:16</p> <p>administered (2) 8:10 29:20</p> <p>administering (1) 9:21</p> <p>administrative (1) 135:2</p> <p>administrator (2) 41:18 61:19</p> <p>admits (1) 170:7</p> <p>adopted (1) 45:19</p> <p>adrenaline (1) 66:12</p> <p>advance (2) 118:18 131:9</p> <p>advanced (1) 12:14</p> <p>adverse (1) 161:22</p> <p>advice (6) 86:5,12,14 87:1,14 140:4</p> <p>advise (1) 130:10</p> <p>advised (4) 10:18 24:8,22 25:13</p> <p>advisor (1) 61:13</p> <p>advisors (2) 86:4,7</p> <p>advisory (1) 61:13</p> <p>advocated (1) 146:3</p> <p>affairs (1) 98:24</p> <p>affect (1) 66:8</p> <p>affecting (1) 23:22</p> <p>affects (1) 43:7</p> <p>affiliations (1) 49:19</p> <p>afforded (1) 54:24</p> <p>after (52) 14:8 15:16 20:5 21:15 26:1,13,21,23 27:8 28:3 29:15,20 30:10 32:1 37:23 43:13 45:12 60:19 62:1,22 79:13 80:23 82:20 85:17 87:23 88:11 91:25 92:3 96:9 98:19 102:17 110:9 112:14 116:16 121:14 122:3 123:13,16 124:21 126:12 128:9 130:7 132:15 166:21 167:8,16 169:18 173:3,23 174:12 178:20 180:25</p> <p>aftermath (1) 52:17</p> <p>afternoon (2) 109:23,24</p> <p>afterwards (1) 107:13</p> <p>again (25) 10:15 11:9 12:12 16:11 19:10 27:14 34:18,21,24 55:11,17 67:18 68:21 69:7 72:25 94:23 109:8,16 118:23 120:11 132:20 183:14 186:25 187:1 188:9</p> <p>against (6) 6:23 65:19 79:1 84:9,17 150:19</p> <p>age (1) 88:8</p> <p>aged (1) 97:20</p> <p>agencies (5) 85:3 110:24 134:19 184:15,17</p> <p>agency (3) 87:17 148:1,2</p> <p>aggression (1) 171:17</p> <p>aggressive (2) 162:3 175:16</p> <p>agonal (1) 10:11</p> <p>agree (2) 149:19 164:18</p> <p>agreed (21) 17:9 42:20 49:24 53:11 59:14 60:20 81:2 82:13 124:13 131:21 135:6 136:14,19 142:15 146:14 158:11 175:10 180:24 182:19 187:8,14</p> <p>agreeing (1) 183:12</p>	<p>agreement (2) 169:11 183:11</p> <p>ahead (1) 161:19</p> <p>aid (20) 2:14 3:22 6:4 7:23 8:10 9:13,19,22,22 12:22 21:6 22:16 24:14 29:20,21 30:20 60:18 72:2,3 73:10</p> <p>aim (2) 117:5 133:24</p> <p>aims (1) 114:12</p> <p>air (7) 7:14 13:15 16:23 17:1 65:8 70:14 160:5</p> <p>airbag (1) 16:15</p> <p>airtight (1) 65:8</p> <p>airway (6) 16:11,13 23:9,12 25:1,1</p> <p>akbar (2) 32:15,20</p> <p>al (2) 90:16,24</p> <p>alarm (3) 20:5 27:9 30:19</p> <p>alattar (2) 160:2,4</p> <p>albeit (1) 71:21</p> <p>alcohol (1) 175:9</p> <p>aldhmour (1) 41:17</p> <p>align (1) 52:23</p> <p>allah (2) 32:15,20</p> <p>allnighters (1) 97:24</p> <p>allocated (1) 165:20</p> <p>allocating (1) 125:2</p> <p>allow (4) 16:14 70:14 76:12 157:25</p> <p>allowed (6) 58:19 123:20 124:13 136:18 158:16 175:15</p> <p>allows (1) 160:11</p> <p>alm (4) 88:22 89:3 94 99:3 158:11</p> <p>almost (3) 36:16 125:4 158:11</p> <p>alone (3) 84:22 99:12 186:22</p> <p>along (4) 8:3 45:3 50:23 133:3</p> <p>alongside (9) 9:2 42:10 52:11 58:25 60:22 74:15 106:21,25 114:9</p> <p>already (1) 94:10</p> <p>also (94) 6:20 8:7 15:11 16:2 20:20 21:13 23:25 24:22 25:24 27:4 30:23 32:5,20 36:24 38:4,8,23 39:19 42:13 43:2,9,16 44:25 53:2,21 56:15 59:19 60:14,18 61:4,15 64:13 66:24 68:24 69:19 70:2,5 71:25 73:19 74:11 78:5 83:17 86:3,12 88:14 92:15 94:15,22 96:13 104:1,6 105:5 106:8 110:6 111:1,5,20 117:12,13 119:2 122:17 124:5 127:18 128:5 129:7 131:5 136:2 138:12 139:1 141:3,15 142:19 147:7 149:22 152:1 154:15 156:2 157:4 160:14 161:3 162:17 163:18 166:3,20 167:10,21 169:2,21 170:11 173:19 178:16 182:22 183:23 186:1</p> <p>alter (1) 113:22</p> <p>alteration (6) 18:15 28:5 32:4,6 39:23 40:3</p> <p>alternated (1) 10:18</p> <p>although (3) 1:18 129:4 139:16</p> <p>alumni (9) 49:21 60:3 81:6,12,12 109:2 128:15 137:2 172:23</p> <p>always (10) 76:16 80:4 83:5 132:21 134:8 146:24 153:14 166:10 171:23 173:1</p> <p>ama (1) 4:21</p> <p>amazing (1) 38:12</p> <p>ambulance (6) 4:3 11:7 12:15,24 13:15 52:4</p> <p>amend (1) 186:13</p> <p>amendments (1) 63:14</p> <p>amongst (3) 144:22 148:11</p>	<p>182:10</p> <p>amorphous (1) 78:4</p> <p>amount (2) 95:12 109:25</p> <p>amy (3) 2:21 4:1 7:24</p> <p>anaesthetics (1) 69:19</p> <p>analysing (1) 61:14</p> <p>analysis (2) 151:13 185:22</p> <p>analyst (2) 35:6 105:23</p> <p>analysts (2) 103:17,25</p> <p>andor (1) 123:23</p> <p>andrew (3) 7:16 13:14 82:24</p> <p>anger (5) 5:4 157:16 161:8,9 164:13</p> <p>anglia (2) 5:12 125:22</p> <p>anglican (1) 42:6</p> <p>angry (6) 30:8 108:10 164:1,17 168:12,15</p> <p>anishenko (3) 27:2,19 28:4</p> <p>anjem (3) 88:22 146:2 170:5</p> <p>anmarie (1) 30:16</p> <p>annoyed (1) 118:16</p> <p>annual (2) 104:23 187:9</p> <p>anodyne (1) 171:17</p> <p>anonymous (1) 103:21</p> <p>another (21) 10:24 12:20 19:7 39:10 66:25 79:7 84:1 85:13 90:3,22 95:4,24 96:5 103:24 107:20,25 108:12,15 132:17 145:17 154:17</p> <p>answer (13) 114:1 146:22 149:11,14,19 150:7,23 151:18 152:5,18 153:1,14,17</p> <p>answered (1) 85:4</p> <p>answers (1) 149:6</p> <p>antiauthority (1) 169:18</p> <p>antonella (1) 27:25</p> <p>anxiety (1) 51:17</p> <p>anxious (2) 3:8 63:19</p> <p>anymore (1) 113:12</p> <p>anyone (3) 81:10 176:23 183:12</p> <p>anything (21) 19:20 28:12,13 30:24 50:25 54:1 82:9 98:12,14 100:6,6 113:5 115:1 116:21 134:8 145:8 146:4 162:15 164:18 173:5 186:3</p> <p>anyway (1) 180:6</p> <p>anywhere (1) 126:8</p> <p>aorta (1) 16:21</p> <p>apparent (3) 70:4 164:3 171:2</p> <p>apparently (2) 90:3 150:21</p> <p>appeal (7) 91:21,21 131:2 139:6,24 160:22 162:10</p> <p>appear (5) 19:12 35:12 153:12 173:17 176:23</p> <p>appearance (1) 51:25</p> <p>appeared (7) 7:11 17:17 23:13 43:15 174:19 176:24,25</p> <p>appearing (1) 4:9</p> <p>appears (2) 88:19 89:9</p> <p>application (5) 38:23 45:25 72:3 114:13 116:7 115:7,7</p> <p>applied (13) 10:1 30:13 46:5 50:21 57:9 60:2 76:18 115:1 116:5 123:17 128:21 135:22 186:9</p> <p>apply (6) 23:5 45:24 47:22 171:20,24 172:19</p> <p>applying (3) 8:11,13 22:22</p> <p>appointed (2) 61:14 138:12</p> <p>appreciate (1) 81:6</p> <p>approach (3) 45:19 49:11 173:1</p> <p>approached (7) 13:19 18:3 32:16 114:19 126:13 129:3 176:18</p> <p>approaching (1) 40:4</p> <p>appropriate (5) 82:6 84:14 183:1 184:16 186:15</p>	<p>appropriately (1) 159:17</p> <p>approval (3) 92:4 183:10 184:7</p> <p>approve (2) 51:10 183:25</p> <p>approved (14) 57:21 141:7 143:15 154:24 158:4 165:17,22 166:2 167:5,9 174:24,25 175:22 176:3</p> <p>approving (1) 151:25</p> <p>approximately (1) 129:22</p> <p>april (11) 78:9 111:20 119:24 120:5 134:12 154:23 170:25 178:7 181:1 182:10,12</p> <p>arabian (1) 90:17</p> <p>arch (1) 31:15</p> <p>arches (1) 75:21</p> <p>area (25) 5:16,19 8:23 11:22 15:1,24 25:15 27:17 28:7,11,24 33:6,19 35:2 40:25 44:18 79:7 85:25 86:8,13 96:11 106:7 140:8 149:23 156:22</p> <p>areas (3) 1:16 74:15 154:15</p> <p>arguments (1) 168:7</p> <p>arising (2) 48:20 75:18</p> <p>arm (12) 18:23 19:22 30:6,10 66:22,25 67:7,10,12,16 69:13 80:13</p> <p>armed (11) 9:4,10,12 18:25 37:5,9 39:8,9 40:4,9 156:3</p> <p>arms (4) 14:22 68:12 113:10 173:2</p> <p>armstrong (32) 30:11 39:2 41:11 45:4 53:6,17 56:2,18 57:2,13,25 58:8,17 59:9,21 60:20 61:8 64:5 82:4 112:15 113:2 114:15 122:4,10,23 123:23 124:23 153:17 159:12 181:25 184:24 186:5</p> <p>armstrongs (1) 52:4</p> <p>around (28) 2:2 25:22 29:13 33:2 40:1,19 46:3 56:7,13 70:13 75:14 84:19 89:13 112:5 113:21 117:23 123:2 126:9 139:20,24 142:20 147:20,20 148:5 153:8,16,19 173:12</p> <p>arranged (4) 85:20 96:7 148:11 151:20</p> <p>arrangements (8) 51:22 105:17 115:14 157:18 167:23 173:7 183:18 187:19</p> <p>arrest (14) 10:12 14:1,21 15:5,8,9 16:6 25:17 26:1,6 70:12 71:4,12 72:25</p> <p>arrested (10) 88:25 90:6,25 98:15 99:5,6,17,21,24 176:20</p> <p>arrests (1) 90:21</p> <p>arrival (5) 39:7,12 70:21,22 95:18</p> <p>arrive (3) 16:3 18:25 37:5</p> <p>arrived (17) 11:3 12:2 13:2,17 14:23 16:4 17:14 22:11 24:11,20 26:7 96:4 87:21,24 89:1 169:24</p> <p>arriving (3) 6:4 40:6,20</p> <p>arteries (1) 66:24</p> <p>artery (3) 64:25 65:11,21</p> <p>arv (2) 10:24 12:21</p> <p>arvs (1) 39:12</p> <p>ashley (1) 64:15</p> <p>ask (6) 41:11 46:13 99:11 100:16 101:16 114:25</p> <p>asked (66) 1:18 5:9 6:19 9:10,25 20:21 22:24 23:16 24:15,17,18,22 46:16 50:11 56:11 58:22 63:8,10,19 80:20,24 81:10,21 82:3 84:16 85:3,5 87:9 93:20 97:19 98:2,21 99:7 100:24 113:14</p>	<p>116:11,25 124:18,23 127:12,16 130:6 131:15 135:6 136:4,13 138:4 144:10,16,20 145:3,11,18 146:11,20 147:4 149:4 153:21 159:7 160:16 170:6,8 183:20 185:7 186:5,11</p> <p>asking (10) 6:6 9:12 11:5 12:13 61:24 79:23 82:7 116:19 146:4 161:11</p> <p>aspect (1) 142:11</p> <p>aspects (2) 143:3 151:11</p> <p>assault (9) 68:9,11,14 88:4 107:20 108:11,14 118:7,9</p> <p>assess (8) 12:18 16:21 24:3 73:16 103:3 131:1 154:16 175:7</p> <p>assessed (12) 47:24 70:23 106:8,10 146:16 163:5,6 179:10 184:13 185:17,19,20</p> <p>assessing (1) 161:10</p> <p>assessment (63) 11:11 15:3,15 25:1,12 44:14 47:19 48:6,7,8,13,18 50:11,18 53:1,4,12 56:17 60:5,12,21 70:23 76:20 77:1,23 78:7 82:18 85:11 87:6 106:7,13,22 111:7,19 114:22 130:20 131:3,10 135:7,8,25 141:15 145:20 146:7 149:12 155:21 156:6 160:6,17,18,23 164:18 175:11 179:6,12,18 184:14 185:8,11,15,23 187:10,12</p> <p>assessments (14) 46:22 47:4,13,18 57:16 60:8 61:2 76:1 117:8 138:15,23 139:15 186:8 187:9</p> <p>assessor (1) 153:18</p> <p>assigned (3) 103:19 131:17 169:5</p> <p>assist (3) 12:21 21:21 61:14</p> <p>assistance (5) 4:13 9:17 16:6 41:15 60:18</p> <p>assistant (1) 172:10</p> <p>assisted (10) 4:10 7:9 10:19 14:10 60:11 61:22 107:2 142:3 143:13 167:18</p> <p>assisting (5) 16:20 109:4 131:9 138:10 167:1</p> <p>associate (2) 5:15 20:8</p> <p>associated (2) 67:6 146:2</p> <p>associates (1) 77:22</p> <p>association (4) 21:1 32:8 33:12 75:5</p> <p>associations (1) 175:3</p> <p>assumed (2) 112:12,25</p> <p>assured (1) 47:2</p> <p>astonishingly (1) 39:3</p> <p>athappilly (3) 32:5 35:7,13</p> <p>atkins (2) 10:25 11:10</p> <p>atm (2) 95:19 96:4</p> <p>attack (40) 1:25 2:20 4:17,19 17:12 25:8 27:1,3 44:11 61:11,11,12 62:21 78:10,11,11 79:6 80:3 83:2 87:21,24 89:1 93:3,5,6,13,22,23 95:12 96:18 107:25 132:17 138:1 146:22 150:3,5,9,9,11 152:25</p> <p>attacked (2) 27:5 52:9</p> <p>attacker (1) 79:11</p> <p>attacking (1) 88:24</p> <p>attackplanning (1) 148:24</p> <p>attacks (1) 135:24</p> <p>attempt (1) 10:3</p> <p>attempts (3) 28:11 115:13 155:5</p> <p>attend (24) 38:24 39:1 42:20 49:2,14 50:14 58:19 117:7,15 128:16 130:12 137:4,15 142:16 143:6 158:1,16 165:11 175:21</p>	<p>176:23 182:1 184:6 186:4,18</p> <p>attendance (6) 51:10 87:5 135:13 151:5 182:16 183:25</p> <p>attended (29) 3:2 41:7 49:1 50:8 76:22 77:2 80:16 81:23 86:17 112:17,20 116:16 126:1 128:10 130:17 133:20,25 134:11 136:1 137:11 140:2 143:4 149:18 158:23 159:25 174:17 176:18 179:25 180:15</p> <p>attendees (8) 2:23 31:22 42:22 49:18 58:14 76:21 85:11 112:19</p> <p>attending (23) 24:11 31:1,5,19 38:11 46:14 49:23,25 59:25 60:10 61:25 79:24 81:16,17,22 87:16 128:7,18 137:7 141:7 158:20 183:9 187:16</p> <p>attention (5) 44:1 79:2 89:5 123:19 188:7</p> <p>attitude (5) 119:9 156:7 179:18 180:12 184:11</p> <p>attitudes (2) 89:19 131:2</p> <p>attracted (1) 88:20</p> <p>attractive (3) 86:9 150:5,17</p> <p>audience (1) 83:11</p> <p>audit (1) 77:21</p> <p>august (6) 73:18 80:22 112:2 118:22,25 130:7 131:7 133:17 135:2 137:1,10 141:25 143:19 147:9 155:24 157:18 158:8 169:4 170:25 172:4 177:21 179:1 180:2,23 183:2 186:16</p> <p>author (1) 51:14</p> <p>authority (1) 186:13</p> <p>automatic (1) 75:7</p> <p>available (6) 38:13 70:24 73:6 80:23 87:1 138:23</p> <p>avoid (2) 56:24 131:11</p> <p>awarded (1) 172:15</p> <p>aware (75) 6:4 12:16 22:25 37:8 42:1 45:17 51:1,2 57:8,12,25 59:9,10,25 90:18 97:22 98:22 99:1,4,22 100:16,19 102:1,13 105:24 107:1 108:25 109:3 110:25 114:7 115:7 119:2,14 121:25 122:10 123:17 124:9 126:25 127:23 128:14,18 132:13,16 133:13 134:7 137:3,16 139:5,8,11,13,18,20 140:7 142:6,11 143:3 144:13,22,24 147:7 157:15,20,21 158:6 168:4 169:4 170:6 180:7,20 181:6,10,12 184:25 185:6</p> <p>awareness (9) 42:21 43:6 44:20 77:16,18 79:4 86:16 134:22 163:17</p> <p>away (29) 5:22 7:21 19:1,17 23:5 28:11 35:14,24 36:23 37:9,20 51:21,22,23 39:16,24 40:8,9,10 45:19 47:20 56:20,22 99:14 118:24 127:3 143:20 154:18</p> <p>awkward (1) 35</p>
--	--	---	--	--	---	---

55:25 62:13 63:2 67:1,11 68:18 75:5 82:16 86:18 91:12 93:21 97:3 101:12 109:15,18 110:9 122:7 135:3 147:12 150:14 169:23 172:6 174:21 176:14,15 182:12 backed (1) 34:18 background (9) 62:5 77:17 79:21 87:21,23 142:12 150:20 154:8 158:23 backpack (1) 94:19 backwards (2) 28:20 36:7 bad (1) 24:6 badges (1) 108:8 bag (15) 9:13,19 12:6,20 19:12 31:17 50:9 77:9,14 82:16 83:19 85:6 93:16,18 173:7 baggage (1) 86:24 balance (5) 37:22 55:5,8 136:14 185:25 balanced (2) 135:14 144:4 balcony (4) 3:24 21:20 32:9 33:16 ballistic (3) 12:6 13:8,10 banging (1) 34:3 banqueting (13) 3:14,17,19 4:2,12 21:2 30:12,17,20 33:13 52:3 60:16 113:3 barbers (1) 94:3 barricaded (1) 20:4 barriers (1) 97:4 barrister (1) 3:2 base (3) 64:18 70:1,13 based (17) 20:22 41:15 57:12 82:25 84:10 90:7 111:16 114:5 124:7 128:1 146:6,25 147:6 155:16 179:16 180:11 185:23 basic (4) 85:15 118:8 119:1 122:20 basically (1) 77:4 basis (9) 55:1 76:15 91:9 100:14 107:4 120:14 134:22 157:23 170:13 batchelor (6) 6:7,8,17,24 7:22 8:1 bathroom (1) 5:17 battering (1) 28:10 baumber (1) 186:11 beard (4) 73:14,22,25 94:4 beating (2) 17:2 70:11 beatings (1) 108:19 beats (1) 66:11 beautiful (1) 20:20 became (21) 37:8 51:1 52:12 90:4 109:3 114:7 116:3 117:10 127:23 128:13 129:15 133:13 137:3 138:19 139:8 142:5 155:3 158:6 159:22 163:12 164:1 become (7) 1:16 63:3 90:18 128:3,12 138:14 169:19 becomes (1) 70:10 becoming (3) 98:21 111:9 162:3 bedding (5) 22:6,8,15,21 23:2 before (84) 1:22 4:19,24 5:15 6:9 7:1 8:17 10:3 14:23 15:25 17:9,18 18:2 24:11,19 25:17 27:16,23 30:14,20 31:5,9,16 32:9 35:20 38:2 43:3 47:20 58:25 60:8 62:21 65:18,25 71:11 72:24 73:16 75:15,22 77:10,13 80:24 81:14 84:12 91:18 92:7,9 93:4,22 94:6 95:12 96:5,7,17 99:25 101:12,23 102:8 103:13 105:21 106:3 108:12 109:19 111:2 117:8 118:11 122:12 123:12 128:17 133:1 138:18 140:1 141:1 146:3 148:7 161:17	162:4 165:18 167:7 169:7 173:4 179:20 180:16 186:18,25 beforehand (1) 147:9 began (14) 9:22 23:3 28:23 29:17 34:12 40:21,24 43:12 50:22 88:19 89:10 100:11 177:12,14 begin (1) 105:20 beginning (3) 88:17 109:16 115:24 behalf (2) 39:2 89:3 behaved (2) 121:2 147:7 behaving (3) 46:19 121:6 180:3 behaviour (45) 3:5 40:13 46:3,24 104:3,23 107:17 118:11 119:7,10,12,22 120:4,12 122:1 125:10 133:6 138:14 139:9 142:19 145:14,15 147:1 149:3 154:21,22 157:10 162:8,21 163:14 167:20 176:3,8 177:18,20 178:10,16 179:3,20 180:1,7,14 181:19 182:4 184:11 behaviours (7) 54:9 115:9 131:23 132:18 162:17 163:3 166:13 behind (2) 35:21 36:6 being (75) 4:9 10:6,17 13:24 19:1 21:15 24:13 29:18 30:5,7,10 31:19 35:9 37:2 39:19 40:1 46:5 57:9 59:13 60:7 64:7 69:14 72:9 73:22 79:22 80:19 88:15 98:15,16 100:21 102:11 103:8 105:25 107:19 108:12,17 113:3 115:7 117:1,19 118:4 124:10 132:21 134:6,14 135:23 137:3,9,21 139:7,12 140:7,20 143:6 144:21 145:4 149:2,12 153:1 155:9,12 159:9,11 161:16 162:18 163:19 164:6 168:1,14 174:2 181:11 183:5,25 184:13 186:17 beliefs (1) 154:19 believe (2) 158:14 163:10 believed (14) 12:9 93:25 94:12,19 95:11,13 121:12 128:4,24 134:4 143:17,22 156:5 183:7 believes (2) 63:21 183:13 belmarsh (2) 92:6,7 belonging (1) 142:14 below (1) 69:8 belt (4) 32:17 33:22 94:13 96:3 benefit (6) 54:20 55:10 83:14 123:25 125:21 140:7 benefited (1) 126:4 benefits (4) 43:20 54:24 124:15 135:14 benjamin (1) 2:22 bespoke (1) 76:25 best (5) 54:5 55:11 101:2 102:19 129:7 better (4) 6:12 113:6 139:11 174:10 between (36) 6:25 14:13 16:16 17:24 28:5 53:21 55:6 69:9 72:1 73:18 82:12 90:17,22 98:5 99:3 100:8 107:17 116:1 121:19 128:2,25 129:1,11 130:1 140:2,23 143:25 147:18 148:10 151:20 155:8 157:6 161:1 168:7 169:4 185:25 beyond (2) 146:4 161:24 big (3) 33:20 120:16 148:22 bill (1) 114:18 bins (2) 96:11,15 bird (3) 27:1,6 28:4 bishopsgate (3) 12:10 15:19	22:9 bit (10) 7:4,5 34:23 37:17 101:24,24 110:6 113:11 125:14 166:12 bits (1) 7:13 bitter (1) 164:17 bitterness (2) 161:8,9 black (4) 19:15 96:10 127:1,2 blade (1) 107:21 bled (2) 25:16 71:20 bleed (2) 67:7,17 bleeding (10) 6:13 16:25 21:8,10 24:5 65:10,14,22 68:2 70:4 blind (2) 100:25 153:12 blinked (1) 21:24 blockage (1) 23:10 blocked (2) 13:5 102:11 blood (37) 4:9,13 5:20 14:18,20 15:5,12 16:24 20:15 22:25 23:1 24:6 25:4,5,6,7 30:18,21 52:7 65:11,12,18 66:12,14 67:4 70:1,6,7,8,8,9,12 71:20,24 72:15,19,22 blow (2) 32:21,22 blue (2) 12:11 94:9 blunt (1) 68:5 board (10) 3:23 61:13 74:9,13 91:19 92:4 119:17 127:10 132:9 140:1 boarding (2) 8:12 28:6 bockarie (4) 39:10,14 40:2,12 bodies (2) 105:20 125:24 body (5) 9:23 10:13 66:12 70:6 94:25 bodyworn (5) 8:24 10:15 12:12 16:12 73:6 bomb (10) 11:12 18:22 19:4 29:2 34:8 37:13,18,19 40:14 90:23 bombers (1) 54:15 bone (4) 65:4 66:4 67:15,23 booked (2) 76:4 160:18 books (1) 169:19 boost (1) 63:9 boots (1) 94:15 bored (1) 163:19 born (1) 87:25 borrowing (1) 75:23 both (26) 2:1 3:5 6:10 7:21 20:22 27:9 28:9 39:5 42:1 45:12 64:17 65:21,22 70:15 78:4 81:9 97:13 106:16 119:11 123:6 129:2 130:17 132:11 133:7 135:12 154:8 bothered (1) 30:8 bottom (6) 12:3 20:2 21:5 22:20 26:14 32:13 bought (5) 94:11,12,15,22 95:11 boulton (8) 116:9 117:2 161:4 164:16,16 177:17,21 178:12 bounced (1) 33:4 boundaries (1) 63:16 boxes (1) 120:25 boyce (2) 4:16 17:11 brachial (1) 65:1 brain (1) 70:9 branch (2) 86:1 181:9 brave (1) 39:3 bravery (1) 2:12 breach (2) 142:17 184:5 breached (1) 65:8 breaching (2) 105:14 137:19 break (15) 19:6 55:15,15,16,22 75:11 109:6,7,8,24 110:6 153:25 154:4 177:9 188:8 breast (1) 67:20 breathe (1) 65:10 breathing (6) 10:4,9,11	14:18 21:11,16 breaths (3) 16:16 22:4 23:4 brick (2) 88:24 98:16 bridge (18) 7:21 8:19 9:2 11:2,5 12:3 13:1,3 18:9,16 22:12 29:11 31:25 32:4 35:8 37:2 39:15,21 brief (4) 102:10 116:18 160:2 169:9 briefed (1) 87:18 briefer (1) 15:9 briefing (2) 82:19 171:21 briefings (1) 77:20 briefly (1) 172:9 bring (5) 12:15 31:13 77:6,7 170:1 bringing (2) 46:17 176:25 british (3) 37:1,6 118:24 broadly (4) 53:16 122:16 137:6 180:24 broken (1) 104:18 bromley (27) 118:6 129:10,18 130:1,17 131:1,6,15,22 132:6,13 133:9,13,18,20 134:6,18 135:6,12,19 136:1,7,13 137:2,10 138:4 182:17 bromleys (1) 131:13 bronson (3) 62:18,18,20 brother (6) 97:12,14,24 98:2,21 101:11 brought (4) 13:22 17:8 118:20 123:19 brown (15) 87:20,22 88:9 89:9 91:17 92:5,19 93:1,12 94:4,23 95:6 96:2,15 173:9 buckled (1) 36:1 bufano (7) 19:5,9,13,15,19,22 20:1 build (4) 17:1 91:8 111:1 167:22 building (17) 4:20 14:4,10 26:9 59:18 75:14,19 76:13,16 78:24 79:7 80:5 83:18,21 88:15 101:19 147:2 buildings (2) 15:24 86:23 built (1) 142:21 bulk (1) 104:5 bullying (6) 57:15 108:1 110:17 139:10 156:11 178:10 bump (1) 113:13 business (2) 150:8 171:12 butler (4) 27:25 114:4 123:1,2 butt (1) 36:25 buttons (1) 75:13 buy (1) 95:24 bu (1) 77:22 byford (1) 147:16 bystanders (1) 73:4	142:13 157:22 167:24 170:22 181:25 184:18 came (39) 5:1 10:19 13:12 15:17 19:8,18 20:16 25:5 27:11,17 28:16,21 29:13 30:3 34:23 35:20 36:16 42:18 52:11 88:1 89:5 94:21 101:23 110:11 116:3 121:14 122:7,23 141:25 147:5 154:24 155:14 161:7,9 167:4 169:23 174:21 176:15 185:22 camera (3) 8:24 10:15 25:14 cameras (2) 12:13 75:16 camp (4) 54:14 91:11 100:16 144:11 campaign (1) 108:1 camping (1) 94:8 cancelled (1) 7:8 cannon (2) 12:4 14:13 cannot (4) 70:7 93:13 140:25 158:17 cant (4) 54:25 59:1 149:20 150:18 capability (18) 146:18 149:21 152:2,3,5,8,9,11,16,16,18,19,24 153:4,7 160:16 162:2 capable (4) 66:13 68:22 69:2,3 capacity (3) 149:21,25 185:19 captured (1) 144:4 captures (1) 104:4 car (2) 10:25 13:18 card (2) 95:15,25 cardiac (17) 10:12 13:25 14:20,20 15:5,8,9 16:6,25 24:24 25:17 26:1,5 70:12 71:4,12 72:25 cardiff (1) 90:8 care (11) 14:9 17:12 42:9 52:11 69:19 70:18 73:4,8 170:10 171:13 188:7 career (4) 48:4 50:7 102:24 167:15 careful (1) 159:9 carefully (3) 45:8 54:16 136:2 carton (1) 12:23 carriageway (6) 8:20,22 9:1,3,10 15:23 carried (7) 26:12 48:18 56:18 73:14 74:1 82:18 119:5 carries (1) 86:2 carry (5) 14:12 16:19 76:19 82:25 108:14 carrying (2) 56:7 72:7 cars (1) 171:7 cartilage (1) 67:23 caseload (2) 104:8 130:15 casemanage (1) 103:5 cases (3) 94:7 138:10 140:7 cash (5) 93:24 95:13,14,19,25 casualties (4) 9:7 13:12 22:19 25:12 casualty (3) 15:1,18 72:5 cat (1) 104:17 catastrophic (4) 65:22 67:19 70:25 71:12 catch (1) 95:18 categories (3) 84:6,10 129:2 118:4 categorisation (3) 104:24,25 105:2 categorised (1) 92:13 category (21) 38:9 61:2 84:19,23,24,25 92:13,17 104:20 108:7 118:15 119:5,14 120:10,16,20 121:15 139:8 160:23 164:25 181:5 catering (1) 84:4 catherine (1) 2:21 caught (2) 20:15 37:21 cause (11) 40:13 65:9 66:18	69:16 80:12 91:2 118:18 153:5 166:14 173:17 185:18 caused (11) 64:22,24 65:23 69:15,16 71:1 115:1 132:17 157:16,17 171:12 causes (1) 54:14 causing (1) 38:22 caution (3) 89:1 129:6 186:10 cautioned (2) 98:16,19 cautious (2) 40:1 133:8 cavity (2) 65:7,13 cctv (6) 17:13 75:14,16 83:21 88:23 123:6 cease (1) 25:13 cechaviciute (1) 159:19 cechaviciutes (1) 178:6 celebration (1) 142:7 cell (3) 105:6 107:14,22 cells (1) 105:3 central (3) 120:20 149:17 150:4 centre (3) 104:12 105:7 176:17 centres (1) 149:22 cero (1) 41:5 certain (5) 83:19 84:10 142:25 160:9 162:24 chair (5) 18:7 33:7 34:1,11,21 chaired (1) 119:16 challenge (2) 78:25 170:17 challenged (3) 155:3,16 171:24 challenging (1) 171:3 chance (12) 14:25 15:4,10 45:7 71:2,9,10,14 72:22,23 73:3 143:2 change (19) 40:13 43:25 51:22 74:2 111:9 129:5 135:1 151:2 155:18 157:24 163:6,8,11 165:4 169:20 176:2 180:19 184:10,12 changed (15) 11:16 31:10 54:2 101:4 131:16 142:8 144:6 146:21 156:13 161:22,24 168:14 175:24 179:3,13 changes (2) 83:20 180:25 changing (1) 182:17 chaplain (5) 42:7,8,10 107:21 154:10 chaplancy (1) 42:14 character (2) 133:2 176:24 characterised (1) 187:11 charge (2) 81:2 82:24 charged (3) 90:6 99:21,23 charitable (2) 74:16 80:13 charity (2) 31:4 83:9 charles (3) 32:3 62:18 69:18 chartered (3) 3:1 159:20,20 chased (2) 35:9 37:3 chasing (1) 39:17 chat (2) 43:2 167:11 chatty (4) 62:7 63:4 117:1 155:2 cheapside (1) 12:24 check (8) 9:24 10:9,16 20:11 23:16 59:22 106:16 152:12 checked (3) 21:22 95:23 173:7 checking (2) 23:19 50:22 checks (2) 57:9 79:21 chemistry (1) 152:7 chest (22) 7:16 10:1,19 14:22 16:8,18,21 22:3,21 27:12 33:25 34:16 65:5,7,13 66:20 67:20 68:15 69:8,17 71:17 94:25 children (2) 39:22 88:2 chilling (1) 98:10 choice (1) 31:7 chopping (3) 67:2,10 69:12 choudary (3) 88:22 146:3 170:5	chris (1) 22:6 christianity (2) 171:3,5 chrome (1) 59:19 chronology (2) 70:19 71:25 cigarette (1) 17:19 circle (1) 170:5 circulated (1) 140:12 circumstances (1) 73:12 circus (1) 11:22 cirmele (1) 73:13 cited (1) 107:25 citizenship (1) 118:24 city (13) 8:16 9:13 22:7 74:6 79:17 80:7 85:6,25,25 86:13,19 87:10 148:22 civilian (1) 107:24 claimed (3) 100:6 146:5 155:3 clamshell (1) 16:17 classroom (1) 105:6 cleanliness (2) 94:5,24 clear (16) 1:16 2:1 8:22 11:13 13:5 15:24 22:12 23:2,12,13 40:24 57:2 58:11 96:23 165:3 185:1,22 clearance (1) 101:9 cleared (6) 9:2,3 46:12 56:6 57:6 159:18 clearer (1) 83:13 clearing (2) 15:1,18 clearly (1) 72:5 cleaved (1) 67:13 clerk (3) 74:3,16,23 client (2) 75:2 83:9 cling (1) 96:10 cloakroom (2) 4:24 19:7 close (5) 2:17 19:23 37:11 83:12 97:18 closed (3) 26:16 29:10 169:17 closely (4) 129:6 130:8 134:20 179:6 closer (6) 19:18,19 28:16 30:3 151:9 156:19 clothes (2) 8:6 23:5 clothing (2) 9:23 10:1 cloud (1) 36:4 clue (1) 99:6 cluster (1) 68:6 cm (8) 64:19 65:6,25 67:2,3,12,16,21 coaccused (1) 129:23 coat (2) 19:8 33:22 coaxing (1) 43:13 cocaine (2) 73:18,24 code (1) 46:3 codefendant (1) 90:4 codefendants (2) 138:22 177:13 cofounder (2) 30:16 56:2 cofounders (1) 44:16 cold (1) 177:5 collapse (6) 6:10 65:9 66:6,16 68:1 71:25 collapsed (4) 3:25 16:22 20:14 65:14 collate (1) 114:24 colleague (1) 165:23 colleagues (22) 23:11 30:24 37:6 46:6,20 47:2,10 49:6,12,15 53:18,24 54:6 58:17 60:22 85:2 114:25 138:25 145:3,12 148:11 182:14 collective (1) 153:12 collectively (1) 182:25 collects (1) 70:12 college (1) 98:9 combating (1) 167:15 combination (2) 65:13 78:13 come (15) 4:2 12:9 11:11 11:5 20:2 21:19 35:11 37:14 90:12 97:15,17 103:16,20 109:15 122:12 comes (2) 66:15 103:23 comfort (2) 26:20 55:16
---	--	---	--	---	---	---

C

<p><b>comforting (1)</b> 20:19  <b>coming (26)</b> 3:18 4:8,20  5:16,22 7:22 8:1,20 15:25  32:10 34:17 35:9 39:18  49:15 52:7 75:1 77:11  79:21 80:7 83:9,13 85:11  137:21 148:17 155:15  173:11  <b>command (3)</b> 11:20 37:21  38:2  <b>commander (1)</b> 10:23  <b>commanded (2)</b> 10:5,14  <b>comment (4)</b> 112:10 118:23  126:21 147:15  <b>comments (4)</b> 23:18 132:2  171:17 185:12  <b>commercial (1)</b> 83:9  <b>commissioned (2)</b> 157:2,12  <b>commit (6)</b> 44:11 91:12  152:3,16,25 162:4  <b>commitment (2)</b> 2:4 114:15  <b>committed (5)</b> 42:5 53:14  103:7 162:4 176:10  <b>committee (3)</b> 74:11,21  82:22  <b>committees (1)</b> 74:14  <b>committing (1)</b> 146:12  <b>commodore (4)</b> 74:3 77:17  81:3 85:2  <b>common (4)</b> 47:1 94:5,23  131:22  <b>commotion (2)</b> 18:3 33:13  <b>communicate (4)</b> 57:16  58:15 162:6 165:3  <b>communicating (1)</b> 52:14  <b>communications (7)</b> 33:9  59:15 61:21 62:1,25 105:4  155:2  <b>community (25)</b> 1:12 38:7  43:15 47:14 48:22 50:20  53:14 56:15 59:18 60:6  81:15 100:20 131:4  136:15,23 139:7 143:8  150:13 151:5 163:15  166:18 173:20,22 174:9  179:11  <b>compact (2)</b> 46:1 115:15  <b>companies (2)</b> 74:6 87:2  <b>company (29)</b> 6:7 41:7 49:20  59:24 60:11  74:4,5,8,10,11,13 75:20,25  76:5 78:1 80:13,13,15  81:1,8 82:3,5,20 83:5,23  84:21 86:15,18 163:3  <b>compared (2)</b> 55:2 175:17  <b>comparison (1)</b> 141:13  <b>compassion (1)</b> 2:10  <b>compelling (1)</b> 155:22  <b>competent (1)</b> 141:2  <b>compilation (2)</b> 4:18 17:13  <b>complete (7)</b> 48:5 56:11 60:8  106:2 131:10 185:9 187:9  <b>completed (13)</b> 44:23 48:5  52:19 60:5 64:3,4,5 120:14  134:18 135:25 136:4,9  187:10  <b>completely (3)</b> 126:18  133:10 145:1  <b>completing (2)</b> 60:12 143:12  <b>completion (3)</b> 48:3 64:2  138:16  <b>complex (2)</b> 67:5 148:19  <b>compliance (3)</b> 74:23 139:21  180:12  <b>compliant (4)</b> 121:7 145:23  176:8 180:4  <b>compiled (1)</b> 136:18  <b>comply (1)</b> 37:20  <b>complying (1)</b> 168:4  <b>comprehend (1)</b> 148:20  <b>comprehensive (2)</b> 151:14  184:14  <b>compressions (8)</b> 10:8,19  16:7,9,16 22:4,17,22  <b>comprised (1)</b> 42:24  <b>compromised (1)</b> 23:10</p>	<p><b>compromises (1)</b> 65:9  <b>computer (1)</b> 101:17  <b>concern (22)</b> 23:19 37:11  39:7 46:25 47:1,9,10 51:7  93:5 99:16 18 111:20  115:1 126:4 132:18 135:21  157:16,17 166:14 167:20  173:17 185:13  <b>concerned (10)</b> 3:5 31:25  46:16 59:10 63:6 88:3 97:5  139:23 142:7 186:2  <b>concerning (12)</b> 4:16 17:11  39:12 41:3 110:11 119:7  124:10 138:14 139:19  159:12 164:20 177:20  <b>concerns (30)</b> 2:8 56:13  58:21 63:17 82:11 89:16  112:5 117:18 119:19 132:3  137:20 139:20 141:17,19  142:4 158:22,24 163:14  168:4 173:6 175:2 176:9  177:25 179:4 180:3  182:15,24 183:8,22 185:5  <b>concluded (1)</b> 68:7  <b>concluding (1)</b> 146:18  <b>conclusion (3)</b> 65:16 124:12  186:23  <b>conclusions (1)</b> 178:8  <b>condensed (1)</b> 149:23  <b>condition (2)</b> 17:3 151:25  <b>conditions (16)</b>  136:11,13,16,18 137:20  142:17 148:21 157:1  168:5,11,13 178:19 180:22  184:5 186:12,13  <b>condolences (1)</b> 97:13  <b>conduct (7)</b> 46:15 51:1 58:18  90:14,25 91:6 107:10  <b>conducted (2)</b> 73:17 182:20  <b>conduit (1)</b> 159:14  <b>conference (4)</b> 76:7 85:19  89:7 173:8  <b>conferences (1)</b> 48:4  <b>confident (2)</b> 31:7 48:16  <b>confidential (2)</b> 104:16,22  <b>confidently (2)</b> 143:23 182:3  <b>confirmation (1)</b> 11:8  <b>confirmed (7)</b> 25:21 34:9  68:24 127:2 140:22 143:20  157:11  <b>confirming (1)</b> 147:17  <b>conflicting (1)</b> 131:23  <b>confrontation (2)</b> 39:8,13  <b>confronted (2)</b> 99:6 131:21  <b>confronting (1)</b> 35:1  <b>confused (1)</b> 35:15  <b>connected (1)</b> 33:21  <b>connection (2)</b> 116:1 170:22  <b>connections (1)</b> 167:24  <b>conor (1)</b> 32:6  <b>conscious (3)</b> 20:18 108:21  110:4  <b>consciousness (1)</b> 70:10  <b>consensus (2)</b> 133:25 140:21  <b>consent (1)</b> 159:6  <b>consequence (1)</b> 78:14  <b>consequences (3)</b> 77:24  117:24 165:7  <b>consider (11)</b> 25:25 50:19  54:5 57:7 86:8 103:11  104:24 124:20 176:1 178:4  180:12  <b>considerable (1)</b> 161:23  <b>consideration (8)</b> 75:22  79:22 83:4 103:22  123:9,15,24 140:17  <b>considered (25)</b> 45:9 50:5  51:5 54:24 61:4 69:21,22  70:18 77:17 80:10 91:19  104:8 106:11,23 108:19  118:12 136:2 141:14  144:21 160:4,12 164:15  175:4 180:5 184:17  <b>considering (4)</b> 56:21 117:24  127:19 162:2  <b>consistent (9)</b> 10:11 66:2</p>	<p>68:12 69:14 95:9,13 96:17  145:16 182:4  <b>consistently (2)</b> 97:3 132:22  <b>consisting (1)</b> 13:14  <b>conspiracy (1)</b> 91:1  <b>conspired (1)</b> 91:10  <b>construct (1)</b> 90:23  <b>constructing (1)</b> 144:11  <b>construction (2)</b> 41:6 135:19  <b>consult (1)</b> 25:9  <b>consultant (3)</b> 13:16 63:23  69:18  <b>consulted (1)</b> 49:15  <b>contact (35)</b> 58:3,6 59:17  69:10 88:3,23 89:12,14  98:6 100:9 107:3 109:2  112:14 115:13,20,21,23  116:8,10,15 117:14 118:5  122:12 123:8,13 124:21  126:23 129:8 131:13  166:15 167:22 172:25  175:19 177:16 184:20  <b>contacted (5)</b> 41:11 115:20  122:3 127:7,8  <b>contain (2)</b> 46:1 164:13  <b>contained (2)</b> 80:1 130:21  <b>contamination (1)</b> 73:21  <b>content (2)</b> 50:13 51:6  <b>context (10)</b> 32:1,5 53:5  125:1 134:8 143:6 151:4  152:6,19,22  <b>continue (6)</b> 8:13 14:2  24:14,16 132:19 181:22  <b>continued (5)</b> 16:7 19:19  23:13 124:20 175:20  <b>continuing (5)</b> 24:9 112:14  120:9 121:11 179:21  <b>contract (3)</b> 83:8 141:25  157:19  <b>contradictory (1)</b> 162:23  <b>contrary (1)</b> 157:19  <b>contrast (1)</b> 157:25  <b>contrasted (1)</b> 162:11  <b>contribute (2)</b> 121:3 160:14  <b>contributed (5)</b> 66:18 119:6  120:11 156:25 164:25  <b>contributions (1)</b> 42:16  <b>contributor (1)</b> 73:22  <b>control (14)</b> 11:4,8 13:7 16:5  51:21 68:13 72:15  78:2,3,19,22 79:10,13  86:22  <b>controllable (1)</b> 124:15  <b>controlled (4)</b> 78:24,25  123:5 143:10  <b>controlling (1)</b> 72:22  <b>controls (2)</b> 79:3,9  <b>convene (1)</b> 53:18  <b>convened (1)</b> 61:13  <b>convener (1)</b> 45:23  <b>conveners (1)</b> 172:13  <b>conversation (10)</b> 41:23  50:13 53:23 113:16  116:18,21 122:5,19 126:14  158:19  <b>conversations (4)</b> 104:2  128:2 173:19 175:13  <b>conveyed (1)</b> 164:9  <b>convicted (10)</b> 50:3 54:7,21  55:10 84:8 85:1 130:12  170:14 176:20,21  <b>conviction (1)</b> 58:9  <b>convictions (2)</b> 41:10 49:2  <b>conway (10)</b> 17:20  18:1,4,5,8,10,11,14,18  19:2  <b>coop (7)</b> 2:21 3:13,16,19  4:5,8,14  <b>cope (3)</b> 70:6,7 76:12  <b>copied (1)</b> 127:8  <b>copying (1)</b> 173:21  <b>copiously (1)</b> 67:17  <b>copy (2)</b> 59:3 185:7  <b>cordon (1)</b> 15:25  <b>core (2)</b> 146:1,15  <b>corner (2)</b> 5:17 19:21</p>	<p><b>correct (2)</b> 149:11,14  <b>corridor (3)</b> 27:20 30:15 33:8  <b>corroborated (1)</b> 112:13  <b>cost (1)</b> 61:15  <b>couldnt (11)</b> 19:20 21:23  28:19 29:10 58:1 98:5  126:5 134:10 139:17  171:24 183:12  <b>counter (2)</b> 19:14 51:23  <b>countercorruption (1)</b>  102:22  <b>countersigned (1)</b> 133:17  <b>counterterrorism (14)</b> 44:25  85:23 86:3,7,16 87:8  102:22 103:1,9 134:25  138:8 156:14,15 181:14  <b>counterterrorist (1)</b> 130:8  <b>counting (1)</b> 10:20  <b>country (1)</b> 38:17  <b>couple (6)</b> 17:15 22:15 28:10  95:20 126:24 172:5  <b>courage (1)</b> 2:10  <b>course (37)</b> 1:16,20 17:9  25:8 42:21,24 43:3  45:23,24 46:23 48:4 50:21  91:11 97:9,11 102:6 106:2  107:5 111:8  114:6,11,12,14 115:5  119:21 123:18 124:25  135:22,24 136:2 160:25  170:25 172:12,22 173:16  182:24 188:2  <b>courses (15)</b> 43:23 45:9,22  47:6,14 52:18,22 62:23  64:2 106:1 115:4 116:6  169:14 172:13,17  <b>cover (5)</b> 1:10 43:11,12  105:18 110:8  <b>coverage (1)</b> 123:6  <b>covered (7)</b> 4:9,12,18 30:18  73:25 75:11 76:7  <b>covers (1)</b> 103:15  <b>cpr (22)</b> 10:5,14 12:19  14:3,23 16:6 21:13,21  22:1,3,5 23:4,13,20  24:9,16,17,19 25:13 70:20  72:4,6  <b>crack (1)</b> 82:12  <b>craig (2)</b> 122:25 123:2  <b>create (2)</b> 162:19 172:3  <b>created (1)</b> 175:6  <b>creating (2)</b> 36:4 142:19  <b>creation (1)</b> 93:9  <b>creative (6)</b> 3:2 50:21 113:14  116:6 119:20 142:11  <b>crewell (2)</b> 74:20 86:17  <b>criilly (2)</b> 2:11 7:10 18:12,21  20:9,10 28:22 29:14 32:2,7  33:1,6 35:1,3,23  36:21,22,24 37:24  38:4,10,21 39:3,5  <b>crime (8)</b> 42:22 43:7 45:19  56:21,23 152:10 161:18  171:10  <b>criminal (4)</b> 44:19 48:7 49:2  50:1  <b>criminality (1)</b> 98:11  <b>criminology (5)</b> 29:23 41:18  44:18 61:20 122:14  <b>criteria (5)</b> 46:4 57:8 117:23  165:1,8  <b>critical (2)</b> 12:1 173:14  <b>criticism (1)</b> 125:16  <b>crossed (2)</b> 18:10,16  <b>crossreferenced (1)</b> 106:10  <b>crowd (1)</b> 28:23  <b>crying (1)</b> 27:19  <b>cryses (1)</b> 101:18  <b>ct (4)</b> 104:6 130:10,10,16  <b>ctc (1)</b> 56:6  <b>ctpo (2)</b> 138:9,18  <b>ctsas (3)</b> 86:6,12,19  <b>ctu (4)</b> 134:21 181:11  182:14,24  <b>cubicle (1)</b> 93:17  <b>cullinan (9)</b> 12:23</p>	<p>13:3,4,8,19,21,24 14:1,9  <b>culture (3)</b> 88:14 98:11  110:18  <b>curfew (4)</b> 101:10,12 181:1,3  <b>current (6)</b> 48:21 98:24  107:17 135:7 154:22  185:15  <b>currently (1)</b> 81:7  <b>curved (1)</b> 66:23  <b>custodial (4)</b> 91:16,24 103:1  123:11  <b>custody (11)</b> 81:7 91:3,4  92:12 112:6 129:24 131:18  142:21 145:15 150:15  163:13  <b>cut (10)</b> 2:3 23:5 28:19 36:9  65:2 66:23,24 68:16 69:10  94:20  <b>cutting (3)</b> 64:22 65:24  107:12  <b>cv (1)</b> 127:9</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>D</b></p> <p><b>d (3)</b> 84:23,24,25  <b>damage (6)</b> 23:10 42:23  64:24 67:22 68:19 107:13  <b>damaged (5)</b> 25:7 66:4  67:3,4 70:3  <b>damaging (1)</b> 56:25  <b>danger (1)</b> 143:3  <b>dangerous (1)</b> 92:15  <b>daniel (1)</b> 8:15  <b>daren (1)</b> 10:21  <b>darryn (2)</b> 2:11 4:10  <b>data (1)</b> 95:8  <b>date (1)</b> 75:7  <b>dated (2)</b> 133:16 179:15  <b>dawah (2)</b> 89:4 90:12  <b>day (2)</b> 6:7 94:3  <b>day (23)</b> 10:22 17:16  51:24,25 85:21 89:7  93:4,10,20,22 94:1,8,14  95:4,12 101:7 113:9 137:3  173:5 176:16 177:8 187:4  188:2  <b>days (4)</b> 85:16 87:14 169:6  175:25  <b>dc (5)</b> 4:16 17:11 32:3 37:1  187:16  <b>dci (14)</b> 87:20,22 88:9 89:9  91:17 92:5,19 93:1,12  94:4,23 95:6 96:2,15  <b>ddp (2)</b> 141:6 157:8  <b>dead (2)</b> 6:2 32:19  <b>deakin (2)</b> 64:14 69:18  <b>deal (10)</b> 5:11 17:10 39:6  41:1 54:5 63:20 75:17  87:19 115:18 177:6  <b>dealing (10)</b> 1:11 2:18 9:19  85:24 92:19 117:9 129:17  138:10,13 142:5  <b>dealings (4)</b> 117:14 166:13  171:22 178:14  <b>dealt (8)</b> 2:17 16:11 41:1  50:1 54:3 80:2 115:17  177:7  <b>death (7)</b> 26:2 66:18,19  68:18 69:16 72:18 73:16  <b>debrief (1)</b> 113:4  <b>deceitful (1)</b> 132:23  <b>deceived (1)</b> 146:20  <b>december (21)</b> 64:17 77:22  82:22 90:17,21 91:4 92:11  99:20 100:8 112:7 117:21  121:10 128:9 132:14 134:1  166:17 167:8 180:15 181:5  182:2 187:11  <b>deception (1)</b> 145:13  <b>deceptively (2)</b> 121:7 180:4  <b>decide (1)</b> 76:19  <b>decided (5)</b> 6:2 90:14 135:24  182:25 185:8  <b>deciding (1)</b> 180:21  <b>decision (19)</b> 17:5 41:15  79:24 105:13 119:14  120:21 124:14 125:2 139:6</p>	<p>142:15 158:15,17 159:5  172:21 183:11,25  186:14,22 187:6  <b>decision-making (4)</b> 25:23  47:12 140:15 145:9  <b>decisions (7)</b> 46:21 74:17  104:9 116:15 125:18  133:24 138:10  <b>declaration (1)</b> 26:1  <b>declared (1)</b> 11:9  <b>decrease (2)</b> 166:4 175:12  <b>decreases (1)</b> 70:10  <b>dedicated (1)</b> 84:11  <b>deem (1)</b> 78:17  <b>deemed (1)</b> 113:1  <b>deep (2)</b> 43:20 67:3  <b>deepest (1)</b> 65:5  <b>deeply (4)</b> 53:14 67:13 68:19  179:2  <b>defend (1)</b> 68:13  <b>defensible (1)</b> 140:10  <b>defensive (1)</b> 68:10  <b>defer (1)</b> 186:15  <b>defibrillator (14)</b> 8:4,14 9:11  10:13,17 16:10 22:17 23:6  24:1,7,22 70:21 72:4,7  <b>defibrillators (1)</b> 12:14  <b>definition (1)</b> 84:24  <b>degree (7)</b> 11:14 69:7 85:10  117:16 122:14 152:7  161:25  <b>delaying (1)</b> 150:9  <b>delius (6)</b> 130:5 131:14  135:4 138:20 139:1 177:16  <b>delivered (1)</b> 73:10  <b>demeanour (1)</b> 52:1 168:14  <b>democratic (1)</b> 38:6  <b>demonstrate (3)</b> 118:10  143:25 179:19  <b>demonstrated (4)</b> 2:4 54:13  143:20 145:20  <b>demonstration (3)</b> 80:6,9  142:18  <b>denied (1)</b> 178:11  <b>deny (3)</b> 125:7 131:22  177:23  <b>denying (2)</b> 124:6 164:20  <b>department (13)</b> 41:18 46:11  61:20 103:1,2,3,9 106:5  110:12 111:18 125:19  159:24 164:24  <b>departments (1)</b> 102:24  <b>deploying (1)</b> 148:5  <b>depressed (1)</b> 7:5  <b>depth (1)</b> 67:16  <b>deputy (1)</b> 121:16  <b>deradicalisation (1)</b> 59:18  <b>describe (1)</b> 174:4  <b>described (9)</b> 3:8 30:8 38:16  68:23 116:25 132:7 141:2  163:23 168:20  <b>describes (1)</b> 65:1  <b>description (2)</b> 31:3 168:19  <b>deserve (1)</b> 109:7  <b>deserved (1)</b> 188:8  <b>designated (1)</b> 74:23  <b>desistance (3)</b> 138:11 154:13  157:3  <b>desk (3)</b> 4:23,24 5:14  <b>desmond (1)</b> 39:10  <b>despise (1)</b> 141:23  <b>despite (4)</b> 24:9 68:21  111:23 184:12  <b>destructive (1)</b> 54:9  <b>detail (16)</b> 42:4 51:4 61:5  81:21 110:7 134:7,8,9  139:5 141:16 145:10  151:10 158:14,21 162:7  184:3  <b>detailed (11)</b> 71:25 82:7  122:1 123:4 128:19  145:6,19 151:13 162:11  177:24 178:8  <b>details (14)</b> 58:6 76:5  85:5,15 114:11 116:11  137:5 154:21,22 162:12</p>	<p>166:24 171:2 173:1 183:21  <b>detected (1)</b> 25:22  <b>detecting (1)</b> 23:23  <b>detection (1)</b> 85:6  <b>detective (1)</b> 85:22  <b>detector (5)</b> 31:17 50:9  75:21 83:22 105:8  <b>detectors (1)</b> 82:17  <b>deteriorate (1)</b> 70:17  <b>determinate (1)</b> 91:22  <b>determining (1)</b> 160:6  <b>developed (6)</b> 43:19 52:21  125:22 129:23 142:4 174:1  <b>developing (3)</b> 53:16 141:23  166:8  <b>development (3)</b> 41:6 63:23  179:23  <b>device (1)</b> 11:15  <b>dialogue (1)</b> 32:14  <b>diaphragm (3)</b> 67:25 68:17  71:18  <b>dictated (1)</b> 63:11  <b>didnt (63)</b> 19:12 25:21 26:10  28:12,12,18 34:22 35:11  37:12 40:15 41:21,25  46:13 47:16 49:10 51:10  54:1 73:1 76:11 81:24  89:22 91:13 98:6,8,9,14,20  99:6 100:12,18 101:15  113:15 116:7,20 123:14  124:22 126:7,20 127:11  132:21,23 134:6,8  137:5,20 139:4 142:9  145:24 149:10 155:17  158:8,20 161:15 169:6,11  171:12 175:2 176:23 177:5  181:9 186:20 187:1,5  <b>diesel (1)</b> 171:7  <b>difference (4)</b> 25:23 140:21  143:25 163:18  <b>different (16)</b> 3:12 31:13  49:10 64:20 69:23 72:11  95:24 104:11 110:5,5  112:16 114:2 122:21 129:1  153:9 172:2  <b>differentiate (1)</b> 55:1  <b>differently (1)</b> 129:3  <b>difficult (11)</b> 41:14 44:14  54:8 71:13 72:14,15,19  131:1 148:20 149:6,24  <b>difficulties (2)</b> 65:15 177:1  <b>digital (1)</b> 116:16  <b>dignitaries (3)</b> 31:19 149:18  158:23  <b>dinner (1)</b> 76:8  <b>dips (1)</b> 176:1  <b>direct (4)</b> 94:14 124:21  159:16 174:6  <b>directed (3)</b> 9:18 12:5 16:9  <b>direction (1)</b> 121:24  <b>directions (3)</b> 1:8,17 26:3  <b>directly (2)</b> 36:6 106:24  <b>director (3)</b> 82:23 121:16  172:17  <b>directs (1)</b> 12:15  <b>disappointment (1)</b> 126:7  <b>disassociate (1)</b> 155:14  <b>discovered (1)</b> 100:14  <b>discredit (</b></p>
---	---	--	---	--	--	--	---

124:2,4,24 134:15  
 137:6,9,12,16 140:19  
 147:14,21 148:4 150:21  
 151:11,15 153:8 159:1,4,9  
 171:6 183:7,16,24  
**discussions (16)** 49:3 59:23  
 79:10 90:22 115:24 122:22  
 124:8,12 130:7  
 134:1,19,24 147:19 151:1  
 159:6 167:21  
**disengagement (3)** 138:11  
 154:14 157:3  
**dispersal (2)** 38:10,17  
**displacing (1)** 150:9  
**displayed (2)** 43:17 166:11  
**disregard (1)** 181:18  
**disruption (2)** 110:15 119:20  
**disruptive (4)** 154:21 177:18  
 178:10 180:1  
**dissatisfaction (2)** 164:10,12  
**distal (1)** 73:25  
**distance (2)** 65:5 116:5  
**distinct (1)** 143:25  
**distinction (1)** 128:25  
**distinguish (1)** 174:6  
**distract (1)** 33:1  
**distracting (1)** 34:20  
**distressed (1)** 4:9  
**district (1)** 125:19  
**distrust (1)** 111:1  
**divert (1)** 30:16  
**divided (2)** 70:2 84:6  
**dividing (2)** 67:15 84:9  
**division (2)** 53:20 156:18  
**ditriti (1)** 27:2  
**doctoral (1)** 173:11  
**document (8)** 48:11,14 76:3  
 87:13,14 136:6 153:21  
 166:24  
**documentation (1)** 124:24  
**documented (2)** 48:17 78:20  
**documenting (1)** 178:9  
**documents (3)** 48:10 107:1  
 161:21  
**dodgy (1)** 171:11  
**does (3)** 113:24 124:25  
 159:17  
**doesnt (2)** 145:23 146:14  
**dog (2)** 11:12,14  
**doing (20)** 10:7 14:17 19:11  
 22:21 32:18 38:12 48:16  
 98:9 99:7,8 100:1,25  
 101:15 102:17 107:13  
 138:15 155:23 170:10  
 173:20 174:10  
**domain (1)** 185:1  
**domestic (1)** 76:9  
**done (16)** 1:7 17:5 25:9  
 73:11 77:11 85:7 96:17  
 100:6 102:17 103:18  
 111:19 113:19 131:19  
 135:20 166:23 170:13  
**dont (6)** 5:23 36:20 52:23  
 125:1 141:23 148:21  
**door (24)** 4:4 5:1,7 6:22,24  
 7:15,18 27:10,11,13,14,15  
 29:6,8 34:1,3,4 75:4,8,11  
 77:10 79:22 83:9,14  
**doors (8)** 18:2 26:17,19  
 27:17 29:10,12 78:24,25  
**dossier (1)** 119:6  
**double (1)** 115:6  
**doubt (2)** 69:13 161:23  
**down (28)** 3:10,19,24 5:25  
 8:20 9:1,9 12:5 20:17  
 27:20 29:11 32:11  
 33:5,17,17 37:3,19 38:9  
 40:2 52:5,10 66:23 67:23  
 70:15 76:11 78:24 89:20  
 170:13  
**downgrade (2)** 165:1,8  
**downgraded (1)** 165:9  
**downgrading (1)** 120:23  
**downplay (3)** 131:21 155:4  
 168:17  
**downstairs (3)** 20:10 29:21

32:10  
**downwards (2)** 34:9 67:25  
**dozen (1)** 138:21  
**dr (76)** 4:1,4,6 13:14,16  
 15:3,14,15 16:17,17,22  
 17:6 21:17  
 25:10,11,14,21,24  
 26:3,9,21 30:11 33:14  
 38:25 41:11,21 44:16  
 45:4,22 52:4 53:6,17  
 56:2,18 57:2,13,25 58:8,17  
 59:9,21 60:20 61:8  
 63:5,7,18,20 64:4,5,15  
 69:5 82:4,4 112:15,15  
 113:1,2 114:15,15 116:14  
 122:4,4,10,10,23,23  
 123:23,23 128:11 160:2,4  
 181:25 183:2 184:9,24  
 186:16  
**draft (7)** 48:1 80:25 84:5  
 144:2,7 153:22 185:10  
**drag (2)** 14:12 72:5  
**dragging (1)** 40:10  
**drawing (2)** 33:20 79:2  
**drawn (1)** 103:8  
**draws (1)** 175:10  
**dress (1)** 46:3  
**drive (1)** 26:15  
**driven (3)** 15:21 146:1,8  
**driver (3)** 12:5 39:10 153:2  
**drivers (1)** 146:15  
**driving (1)** 39:14  
**dropped (3)** 6:5 24:6 36:11  
**drops (2)** 66:15 70:8  
**drug (3)** 73:15,22 175:8  
**drugs (1)** 73:16  
**ds (1)** 181:8  
**due (16)** 1:16,20 66:19 91:11  
 95:17 107:17 111:6 132:24  
 133:19 135:2,23 136:7  
 142:23 159:6 163:2 171:9  
**dump (1)** 152:17  
**dumper (9)** 135:22  
 151:12,23 152:1,22,23  
 153:2,5 182:23  
**duration (1)** 15:9  
**during (13)** 3:7 17:15 45:12  
 68:14 89:13 97:11 128:8  
 129:13 137:6 144:20  
 146:21 175:24 188:1  
**duty (6)** 10:25 11:20 56:14  
 75:4,6 84:1  
**dynamic (3)** 68:9,25 187:12

E

**earlier (3)** 72:11,17 158:12  
**early (12)** 2:9 22:3 88:13  
 89:13 111:9 133:1 138:17  
 142:5 159:23 160:18  
 167:17 169:3  
**eased (1)** 113:16  
**east (1)** 125:22  
**eastcheap (1)** 12:4  
**easy (2)** 96:22 155:2  
**eating (1)** 101:25  
**echo (1)** 173:18  
**echoed (1)** 39:4  
**edge (2)** 64:22 65:24  
**edged (1)** 27:15  
**edgy (1)** 100:11  
**educate (1)** 88:17  
**education (22)** 2:5 46:10,11  
 49:8 54:22,23 55:11 88:7  
 98:1 106:5 114:17,22  
 119:11 124:7 125:5 130:25  
 131:9 139:12 166:9 176:12  
 180:10 182:11  
**educational (6)** 45:4 50:10  
 106:11 116:2 171:9 174:11  
**educator (1)** 45:15  
**effect (7)** 34:22 44:1 62:19  
 70:15 89:18 105:1 145:21  
**effective (3)** 57:23 72:16  
 90:20

**effectively (3)** 82:8 120:24  
 124:4  
**effects (2)** 65:7 173:25  
**efficacy (1)** 56:22  
**efforts (3)** 17:12 26:15 180:2  
**eight (5)** 40:23 92:1,3  
 105:15 140:2  
**either (8)** 38:18 75:1 101:11  
 122:4 159:2 162:20 172:24  
 187:2  
**eleanor (1)** 173:9  
**electrician (1)** 27:6  
**electronic (2)** 103:14 184:4  
**element (1)** 123:12  
**elevating (1)** 161:17  
**elite (1)** 176:17  
**elizabeth (1)** 177:24  
**else (1)** 176:23  
**elsewhere (1)** 64:8  
**email (16)** 51:14 58:10,23  
 118:25 127:8,12,16 128:14  
 181:24 183:2 184:8  
 186:16,20,24 187:5,14  
**emailed (3)** 58:5 183:19  
 184:2  
**emails (4)** 51:8 127:11,15,20  
 emanate (1) 79:11  
**emergency (12)** 2:13,16 4:7  
 6:3,24 13:13 17:12 26:7  
 52:9 60:17 69:20 77:20  
**emphasis (1)** 162:22  
**emphasising (1)** 155:18  
**employability (1)** 131:10  
**employed (3)** 27:6 113:21  
 153:1  
**employee (2)** 75:2 93:18  
**employees (3)** 59:24 85:18  
 114:10  
**employment (14)** 97:2  
 125:3,24 126:10,25 141:8  
 153:6 163:19 166:9 176:6  
 182:11,21 184:9,16  
**empowered (1)** 168:1  
**emptied (1)** 96:16  
**empty (2)** 17:4 72:9  
**en (1)** 11:23  
**encounter (1)** 57:20  
**encounters (1)** 54:10  
**encourage (1)** 158:2  
**encouraged (1)** 115:19  
**end (16)** 6:2 64:20 75:20,22  
 91:5 92:21 120:11 128:21  
 141:25 154:20 155:11,21  
 171:10 173:11 179:1  
 187:24  
**endanger (1)** 91:2  
**endeavour (1)** 1:8  
**ended (6)** 35:19 65:3 101:12  
 157:18,19 170:3  
**ending (2)** 80:23,24  
**ends (1)** 64:20  
**enforced (1)** 38:19  
**enforcement (1)** 142:24  
**engage (12)** 41:16 44:6  
 54:25 55:3 63:9 90:1,14  
 113:15 141:22 143:16  
 154:14 185:19  
**engaged (18)** 43:8,23 44:22  
 45:1 68:25 78:1 96:23  
 111:23 120:13,13 125:24  
 138:14 144:16 148:24  
 166:6 176:7 179:7 181:20  
**engagement (13)** 119:13  
 122:11 133:7 139:11,13  
 144:25 146:17,23 156:8  
 160:15 178:20 185:14,16  
**engaging (6)** 62:22 90:25  
 91:6 133:10 154:25 163:4  
 167:5 184:22  
**engineer (1)** 27:8  
**england (3)** 121:18  
 169:24,24  
**enhanced (1)** 132:11  
**enjoyed (3)** 126:3 168:1  
 176:16  
**enough (3)** 102:16 143:23

175:5  
**enquiries (2)** 38:25 136:24  
**enriched (1)** 2:2  
**enrolled (1)** 62:23  
**ensure (5)** 23:25 57:23 80:7  
 83:15 182:4  
**ensuring (1)** 74:23  
**enter (2)** 30:18 33:14  
**entered (7)** 6:9 14:1,14 23:3  
 25:11 69:25 71:17  
**entering (3)** 7:24 14:16  
 86:22  
**enters (1)** 69:8  
**enthusiastic (3)** 62:12  
 125:12 169:16  
**enthusiastically (1)** 173:22  
**entrance (8)** 7:20 9:16 22:18  
 26:15,17 27:9 31:18 33:5  
**entries (1)** 117:11  
**entry (4)** 110:12,20 164:9  
 177:24,25  
**environment (6)** 45:8 107:1  
 123:5 135:17 143:10  
 149:24  
**equally (1)** 182:5  
**equipment (4)** 13:9,11  
 75:21,24  
**equivalent (2)** 74:9,12  
**erg (33)** 111:19,22 119:24  
 120:8,14 130:17 131:10  
 133:7 135:25 136:9,20  
 138:15,17 139:13 143:12  
 144:2,15,20  
 145:6,16,17,19 146:16,25  
 152:19 153:18,21  
 160:6,17,23 178:6 185:8  
 187:11  
**ergs (2)** 143:23 152:6  
**erratic (1)** 7:7  
**erroneously (1)** 184:11  
**escape (2)** 70:14 92:14  
**escaping (1)** 92:16  
**escort (3)** 51:19 158:1  
 182:15  
**escorted (3)** 20:24 60:13  
 102:8  
**especially (1)** 164:19  
**essay (3)** 51:3 174:13,15  
**essence (1)** 165:7  
**establish (1)** 11:4  
**established (1)** 130:16  
**establishment (1)** 122:24  
**estate (2)** 92:18 121:17  
**esteem (1)** 108:6  
**estimated (1)** 11:3  
**ethical (1)** 124:6  
**ethics (2)** 124:1,5  
**europe (2)** 51:3 174:14  
**euston (5)** 7:10 51:18 63:20  
 95:22 96:4  
**evacuated (1)** 4:14  
**evans (11)** 20:7,10,12,14,17  
 26:13,16,19 29:7,9 32:11  
**eve (12)** 12:23  
 13:2,6,7,19,19 14:1  
 24:10,12,15,22 25:8  
**even (11)** 40:24 44:10 47:14  
 68:13 71:5,7,12 72:17 73:2  
 104:11 163:5  
**evening (1)** 128:17  
**event (142)** 1:14 2:23 3:14  
 7:1,6 20:24 30:25  
 31:5,10,21,21 38:11,24  
 41:7,24 42:2 47:23  
 48:9,18 22 49:7,9,14,18,22  
 50:8 51:9,16,24 58:13,20  
 59:1,13,20 60:1,13,15  
 61:5,23 62:3 63:8,18  
 75:15 76:6,9,15,17,20,22  
 77:7,14,19 79:11 80:16,23  
 81:2,11,14,23  
 82:6,8,9,15,15,22 83:13,18  
 84:2,13,17,19,23 85:12,14  
 86:15,16,21 87:3,10,15,16  
 96:10 102:4 107:11  
 112:17,19,20,24 116:17,22

120:23 123:7 126:2,2  
 128:10,15,18 135:13  
 137:2,3,4,13,17 142:16  
 143:1,4,7,9 147:8,10  
 148:15,16,17,24 149:17  
 151:6 153:16 157:22  
 158:1,5,16,18,21,23 159:8  
 171:6 174:17,21 181:25  
 182:7 183:3,5,14,18,20  
 184:6 186:4,18,25  
 187:16,18  
**events (46)** 2:25 31:25  
 47:14,20 49:1 50:7 52:18  
 53:13 58:6 60:6,9 68:23  
 74:7,7,17,19,25  
 76:1,4,11,18,24 77:1 79:19  
 80:16,18 81:9 83:20,24  
 84:6,7,9,10,25 85:8  
 86:14 87:13 93:4 107:18  
 112:16 128:8,20 147:24  
 172:23,25  
**eventspecific (1)** 80:5  
**eventually (3)** 7:17 29:8 43:7  
**ever (1)** 149:20  
**every (9)** 38:17 73:9 95:7  
 105:3,3,5 115:5 125:2,4  
**everyone (11)** 1:5 30:19  
 33:14 37:19 40:5 54:24  
 55:25 75:1 83:13 109:18  
 131:17  
**everything (4)** 73:11 98:13  
 164:20 170:7  
**evidence (30)**  
 1:6,9,10,11,19,21,22 2:9  
 4:16 17:11 39:12 55:9  
 64:1,12 73:23 85:24 87:20  
 99:1 109:21 127:21 145:13  
 142:25 161:23  
 151:2 153:15 172:8 185:18  
 187:25  
**evil (1)** 168:21  
**exacerbated (2)** 163:5,13  
**exact (1)** 69:5  
**exactly (3)** 54:20 84:3 93:2  
**examination (4)** 64:23  
 66:17,21 67:22  
**examinations (2)** 64:17  
 73:17  
**examined (1)** 96:11  
**example (4)** 76:22 118:3  
 151:12 152:6  
**excellent (1)** 156:7  
**exception (2)** 73:9 104:21  
**exceptional (1)** 125:8  
**exchange (1)** 184:8  
**exclude (1)** 73:21  
**excluded (2)** 57:10,12  
**exclusions (1)** 61:2  
**exclusive (1)** 45:8  
**exconvicts (1)** 85:19  
**executive (2)** 3:1 74:15  
**exercise (3)** 48:14 86:19  
 106:19  
**exhibit (1)** 54:9  
**exinmates (1)** 81:10  
**existed (2)** 76:14 129:1  
**existence (1)** 103:9  
**existing (1)** 177:15  
**exit (1)** 157:20  
**offenders (4)** 49:23  
 81:7,24 128:25  
**expect (4)** 57:16 87:5  
 148:2,10  
**expectation (2)** 113:2 148:8  
**expectations (1)** 142:20  
**expected (3)** 38:14 59:24  
 137:14  
**expelled (2)** 88:5 97:25  
**experience (11)** 44:19 56:4  
 59:12 114:13 117:9 121:23  
 129:17,24 148:7 153:20  
 161:12  
**experienced (1)** 140:8  
**experiences (2)** 173:17 174:6  
**expert (3)** 64:12,15 73:13

**expertise (2)** 44:22 48:6  
**explain (3)** 51:13 150:20  
 162:7  
**explained (41)** 11:13 16:22  
 25:15,24 38:5,8,23 42:8  
 45:14,22 56:23 58:8 60:3,7  
 61:15 69:25 74:8 85:25  
 91:17 92:5 93:12 94:4,23  
 95:6 96:15 97:14 100:10  
 102:14 104:14 105:13  
 115:18 131:15,22 136:6  
 155:10 165:13 168:21  
 170:4 171:11 172:17  
 176:19  
**explanation (4)** 122:6 144:8  
 171:8,14  
**explicit (2)** 53:20 168:24  
**explorative (1)** 143:21  
**explore (1)** 128:5  
**explored (1)** 174:16  
**explosion (1)** 91:2  
**explosives (2)** 11:6,15  
**exposed (3)** 73:16 85:8  
 139:25  
**exposure (1)** 73:20  
**expression (2)** 63:17 163:10  
**expressed (11)** 43:24 61:1,8  
 97:12 118:1 130:6 135:21  
 142:2 143:24 164:11 171:1  
**expressing (1)** 157:15  
**expression (1)** 35:14  
**expressionless (1)** 30:9  
**extended (4)** 67:11,12  
 91:23,24  
**extending (2)** 57:19 83:21  
**extensive (6)** 14:5 56:3  
 67:10 72:16 82:21 156:24  
**extent (5)** 47:10 54:21 82:5  
 142:25 161:23  
**exterior (1)** 75:16  
**external (5)** 64:18 66:22  
 71:21 73:21 96:11  
**externally (2)** 65:22 70:5  
**exterritorist (1)** 142:10  
**extinct (1)** 17:6  
**extinguisher (9)** 7:19 18:13  
 28:22 29:15 35:3,5 36:4,22  
 39:19  
**extra (7)** 31:7,14 85:20  
 94:10,11,15 106:15  
**extreme (4)** 69:13 88:20  
 99:2 129:6  
**extremely (5)** 43:8 53:9  
 67:10 72:19 138:2  
**extremism (15)** 44:10,21  
 56:5,17 89:3,17 146:16,17  
 154:11,19 155:3 156:16,18  
 163:12 178:10  
**extremist (23)** 89:21 100:22  
 108:17 119:4 124:3 130:12  
 132:6 133:3 138:14 139:10  
 144:25 145:21,22 146:19  
 149:15 155:13,17,25 156:5  
 177:19 185:16,19,21  
**extremists (3)** 89:25 152:24  
 160:7  
**extricate (1)** 13:25  
**eye (3)** 68:6 100:25 101:3  
**eyebrow (2)** 14:22 96:11  
**eyebrows (1)** 113:19  
**eyes (4)** 6:15 21:22 23:14  
 168:21

F

**f (5)** 105:21 111:12 112:3  
 121:3 139:19  
**face (5)** 5:5 36:9 68:5 94:17  
 144:23  
**faced (1)** 35:23  
**facetoface (2)** 28:8 36:16  
**facilitate (2)** 121:7 180:4  
**facilitator (1)** 161:5  
**facilities (4)** 74:20 77:15  
 84:4 123:6  
**facility (1)** 91:8  
**facing (1)** 15:23

**factor (2)** 137:8 180:10  
**factors (18)** 58:10 66:8  
 84:10 136:20 147:2 151:3  
 160:9,10,11,13,15,15,16,16  
 162:2 163:16 166:3 175:11  
**failure (2)** 138:5 156:4  
**faint (2)** 23:17 25:21  
**fair (2)** 111:16 159:4  
**fairly (7)** 107:4 115:8 117:1  
 124:16,19 156:21 171:17  
**faith (5)** 42:9 43:18 98:22  
 111:11 156:13  
**fake (4)** 29:3 32:22 40:14  
 94:13  
**fall (3)** 18:17 36:9 71:24  
**fallen (1)** 169:8  
**falling (1)** 52:2  
**false (2)** 59:13 180:14  
**familiar (1)** 76:17  
**familiarised (2)** 107:9 177:15  
**families (1)** 97:13  
**family (18)** 39:2 62:9,10  
 97:18 99:4,16,18  
 100:4,9,24 101:21  
 102:13,16 116:20,25  
 130:25 166:12 167:23  
**families (1)** 97:12  
**far (2)** 158:10 175:5  
**fast (3)** 13:17 15:1 101:8  
**faster (1)** 66:11  
**fasting (1)**

finding (6) 7-9 84:13 107:21  
141:21 177:2.2  
findings (2) 73:19 165:15  
fine (2) 97:10 174:10  
finger (2) 5:3 67:2  
finish (2) 110:3 172:22  
finished (3) 26:21 97:25  
101:25  
fire (10) 7:19 18:13 27:9  
28:22 29:14 35:2.5 36:4  
39:19 79:1  
firearms (5) 2:17 10:22,23  
11:20 152:10  
fired (4) 15:20 19:1 37:23  
38:3  
firm (1) 37:10  
firmer (1) 83:8  
first (51) 3:22 4:6 6:4 7:23  
8:10 9:13,19,21,22 12:22  
15:11 20:17 21:6,21 22:16  
24:14 26:7 29:20,21 30:20  
43:1 60:18 62:2,7 64:12  
71:8 72:2,2 73:10 88:3  
89:15 101:7 111:14 114:7  
116:3 127:23 128:16 147:7  
154:12,23 156:22 158:6  
159:25 167:16 169:13  
170:18,22 177:13 178:25  
186:20 188:5  
fish (2) 78:10 82:24  
fisheries (1) 82:24  
fishmongers (71) 1:15  
2:24,25 4:17,22 5:9 6:20  
7:12 8:1,2 9:1,5,9,12 11:23  
12:7,18 13:23 14:2,14  
17:14 18:2,12 20:25 22:18  
25:11 27:3,22 29:19 31:23  
35:8,9,18 41:24 47:15  
48:19 49:6,14,18 51:16  
59:22,24 60:11 61:23,24  
70:22 74:4,5,8 79:8 81:8  
82:5 83:23 85:13,18  
86:9,18,20 87:10 93:18  
94:19 96:8 112:20 116:22  
147:8 150:4 158:6  
183:3,19 186:4 187:18  
fit (5) 66:9 68:24 76:11  
114:18 146:24  
fitness (1) 176:17  
five (8) 21:14 42:25 85:16  
91:25 102:25 126:6 133:19  
176:22  
flagged (4) 40:2 115:15  
151:3 184:4  
flags (1) 31:12  
flashes (2) 134:14 157:15  
flat (6) 21:12 35:20 93:10  
96:9,12 101:7  
fleece (1) 94:9  
flesh (1) 35:25  
floated (1) 122:15  
floor (8) 6:2 18:18  
27:7,20,22 28:2 35:20  
39:25  
flow (1) 30:21  
fluctuate (1) 132:19  
fluctuations (1) 133:6  
flying (1) 7:13  
fob (3) 7:18 27:13 75:9  
focus (7) 1:11 17:2 86:4  
146:15 150:9,19,23  
focused (2) 83:3 141:21  
fogarty (1) 172:10  
follow (1) 188:3  
followed (13) 6:9 18:12 28:6  
29:15 31:8 35:4 47:25  
48:25 73:5 112:4 119:25  
159:5 163:22  
following (11) 12:20 19:6  
25:12 59:4 61:12,16 89:7  
128:11 134:11 137:18  
187:23  
follows (2) 43:5 80:4  
food (2) 101:8 176:25  
foot (3) 6:18 8:22 18:23  
footage (5) 3:15 4:18 16:12

25:14 73:6  
force (10) 37:22 53:10 66:3  
68:5 69:7,10,12,14 86:8,12  
forceful (1) 168:19  
ford (4) 20:25 21:19 22:1  
165:16  
forearm (2) 67:4,14  
forefront (1) 153:15  
foregone (1) 186:22  
forensic (2) 73:13 159:19  
forge (1) 45:11  
forgotten (1) 127:14  
form (25) 1:20 44:14 45:25  
48:3,8 52:22,25 61:4  
77:19,23 99:2 105:21  
106:2,4 111:12 112:3,7  
48:12,17 81:1 105:13  
172:24  
formally (1) 17:6  
formed (1) 126:8  
former (10) 20:7,25 32:7  
48:21 53:8 55:6 59:17 87:4  
125:25 172:24  
forming (1) 38:18  
forms (3) 114:25 121:4  
139:20  
forsyth (11) 63:5 147:15,18  
175:20 179:16 181:6  
183:20,20 184:2,21 187:15  
forsyth (1) 184:21  
forthcoming (2) 168:10  
173:15  
fortnight (1) 166:5  
fortunate (1) 142:12  
fortunately (1) 113:25  
forum (2) 42:13,15  
forward (9) 11:17 12:16  
13:22 58:23 155:1 156:3  
170:17 178:17,21  
forwards (2) 34:13 43:24  
foster (8) 42:6,11,24  
43:4,16,22 44:2,11  
found (16) 23:8,15,17 35:2  
62:5 96:10,13 98:17 99:23  
104:18 105:15 107:14  
135:19 139:16 163:19  
173:15  
founded (1) 45:3  
four (5) 64:2 74:15 136:5  
176:22 187:24  
fourth (1) 67:23  
foyer (3) 26:15,17 28:9  
frankland (1) 92:9  
free (2) 50:19 81:2  
freed (2) 40:6,15  
freely (2) 35:17 67:17  
frequency (1) 126:23  
fresh (1) 43:25  
friday (3) 2:24 93:15 137:25  
friends (2) 98:7,10  
friendship (1) 167:6  
friendships (1) 141:24  
frightening (1) 168:15  
front (10) 12:7 19:14,22  
30:1 35:19 40:23 66:25  
75:4 79:22 82:16  
frost (24) 2:11 4:10 32:2  
33:9,16,17,18,24,25  
34:10,14 35:3,4,23,24  
36:2,11,13,15,18,19  
37:6,10,20  
frosts (1) 37:14  
frustrated (1) 118:16  
frustration (5) 118:2,23  
134:14 157:15 171:1  
fuck (3) 32:18,20 61:11  
fucking (6) 27:10,11,13,15  
32:19,22  
full (3) 58:14 160:5 169:10  
fully (5) 49:16 65:19 67:11  
144:13 179:8  
function (1) 31:1  
functions (1) 74:17

fund (1) 170:4  
fundamentalism (1) 47:9  
fundamentalist (1) 89:22  
funded (1) 170:11  
funding (5) 91:7 97:9 169:23  
170:3 174:10  
furniture (1) 7:13  
further (21) 13:9 16:6 39:7  
41:16 53:3 79:6,20 86:18  
88:7,22 89:14 110:10  
112:2,3 120:10 124:20  
131:19 136:24 157:25  
164:14 183:7  
furthered (1) 140:5  
future (4) 44:14 53:4 101:20  
170:19

---

**G**

gaffer (2) 93:8 95:5  
gain (4) 43:4 68:13 75:18  
121:1  
gained (1) 121:22  
gallant (21) 2:10 18:13 20:24  
32:2 33:11,16,17,20,24  
34:1,11,13,15,20 35:3,23  
36:5,7 37:14 38:23 39:5  
games (1) 137:24  
gang (6) 38:18,18,19 88:14  
98:11 110:17  
gangs (3) 38:21 139:11  
177:19  
gap (1) 19:10  
gareth (2) 6:19 20:7  
gasping (2) 21:11,12  
gathering (1) 103:13  
gathers (1) 86:1  
gave (26) 11:12 14:3 24:2,7  
26:3 36:8 39:12 76:22  
85:23 88:11 99:10,18  
113:7,23 120:2 140:4  
156:6 157:5 160:1 161:13  
162:10 163:12 166:13  
173:5 174:14 185:14  
geal (1) 177:24  
gell (4) 130:9 139:3 156:14  
184:2  
general (18) 41:17 44:20  
50:17 56:16 74:9 76:4  
77:19 86:12 97:18 135:9  
147:22 149:9 150:6,25  
151:5 166:1 167:21 173:21  
generally (9) 50:20 76:18  
101:21 125:6 166:7,21  
167:12 174:3 185:14  
generated (1) 111:6  
generic (1) 117:22  
gentleman (1) 39:11  
gentlemen (2) 26:25 64:11  
gentlemens (2) 4:25 19:14  
gents (2) 95:20 96:5  
genuine (2) 157:24 162:20  
genuinely (3) 162:15 163:11  
179:7  
geographic (1) 151:9  
georgina (1) 114:4  
gesturing (1) 5:3  
get (53) 7:21 8:4,13 9:25  
10:3 14:3,4,8,25 15:11  
16:23 18:19,24 21:13  
22:17 26:8 27:16  
29:2,10,21 33:19 36:23  
37:12 39:24 40:5,8 41:21  
43:13 52:5 63:2,17,19,22  
95:22 96:24 97:2 98:20  
101:8 104:1,2 113:20  
115:24 129:20 133:25  
142:12 169:14 172:1,3,23  
176:25 183:6 186:24 188:5  
gets (1) 65:8  
getting (15) 13:11 14:10  
24:1 36:8 97:3,9,24 98:11  
100:1 101:18,20 126:8  
137:8 174:8,10  
ghiggini (15) 7:4 30:13 33:2  
61:19,25 62:15

63:1,4,7,10,15,18,21 64:3  
174:12  
giddy (1) 125:14  
give (15) 1:7,19 21:13 41:12  
54:4 86:12,13 109:22  
110:6 136:25 145:7 166:20  
172:20 182:3 185:1  
given (32) 14:6,23 16:15  
24:13 31:1,6 50:15 53:3  
63:15 66:17 73:8 75:23  
79:22 80:15 85:5,15  
86:6,21 87:20 88:10 91:22  
103:22 109:21 117:19  
123:15,25 124:16 129:5  
140:17 162:22 166:23  
182:15  
gives (3) 54:19 61:5 144:15  
giving (5) 22:3 52:11 86:4  
114:11 127:19  
glad (1) 63:1  
glanced (2) 34:12 37:18  
glass (1) 26:18  
glazed (1) 6:15  
goalsetting (1) 117:25  
goes (3) 70:11 145:21 148:16  
going (64) 1:6,18 3:11  
5:11,24 10:12 10:12 24:17 30:14  
31:24 32:18,19,21 39:6  
41:1 43:24 48:13 52:5 56:1  
62:19 63:6 64:11 70:9 74:2  
75:17 81:3 87:19 92:9 96:5  
98:2,6,7 99:7 101:17  
102:4,6,7,14 106:3  
109:19,23 110:9 112:21  
116:19 121:2 125:23  
127:21 143:7 147:1  
148:13,19,21 149:5,16  
153:24 154:7 159:8 167:1  
176:14 177:3,6 178:21  
180:6 187:22 188:6  
gone (6) 6:2 10:5 24:8 98:4  
159:10 167:11  
good (24) 1:5 2:4 43:2,2  
53:10 109:8 110:7 118:11  
119:13 120:17 125:13  
126:18 133:5 134:23  
135:15 137:19 140:3  
141:3,4 142:18 149:3  
168:6 169:16 181:19  
governed (1) 60:6  
governments (1) 44:25  
governor (11) 17:21 56:13  
114:8 121:18,23 122:20  
126:13,14,16,17,21  
governors (1) 107:15  
gps (2) 138:12 157:4  
grabbed (5) 7:19 28:14  
33:20 36:23 37:14  
grabbing (1) 8:12  
grade (1) 112:10  
graded (1) 77:23  
grading (1) 84:20  
grand (1) 28:24  
grant (9) 2:21 3:1,17  
4:1,11,14 60:2 172:14,16  
granted (1) 39:1  
grants (2) 80:15,19  
grappling (1) 37:7  
grateful (2) 126:20 168:8  
great (2) 2:12 114:18  
greater (3) 43:6 85:10  
161:16  
greenough (2) 141:20 168:25  
grendon (8) 20:7,23 21:1  
32:7 38:5 114:14  
122:18,21  
grievance (2) 88:16 98:25  
grievances (2) 51:6 144:18  
grip (2) 28:19 35:12  
grooming (1) 132:15  
gross (1) 78:14  
ground (10) 11:11  
27:7,20,22 28:2 36:10  
40:18,18 46:1 66:7  
grounds (1) 115:8  
group (13) 3:10 18:17 30:2

35:9 43:1 53:18 90:11  
110:17 111:11 119:1 132:7  
166:8 172:23  
grouped (1) 160:15  
groups (3) 90:7 19 167:6  
grunted (1) 93:21  
guards (2) 75:4 82:16  
guava (1) 90:5  
guest (2) 49:19 173:10  
guests (4) 42:3 79:19,20  
84:18  
guidance (11) 1:13 46:2  
47:17 63:15 86:11,21  
130:11 137:15 156:17  
160:25 166:20  
guided (1) 30:11  
guilty (3) 91:5 100:14 162:13  
gun (1) 40:7  
gunfire (4) 12:2 13:6,9,10  
guns (1) 9:5  
gunshot (1) 37:24  
gunshots (3) 4:15 8:7 20:6  
gurgling (1) 23:8  
gym (4) 63:6 141:11 177:3,5

---

**H**

hadnt (1) 136:4  
haemorrhage (4) 66:19  
68:18 69:16 71:13  
hair (8) 73:14,23,25 94:25,25  
95:1,2,3  
haircut (1) 94:4  
half (4) 19:17 42:25 138:22  
161:6  
halfday (1) 77:19  
halfway (1) 113:13  
hall (105) 1:15 2:24,25  
3:14,17,19 4:2,12,17,22  
5:9 6:20 7:12 8:1,2  
9:2,5,6,9,12 11:23  
12:7,18,19 13:23 14:2,14  
17:14,19,24 18:2,12 19:5,6  
20:25 21:2 22:15,17,18  
24:17 25:11 26:4,12  
27:3,5,7,8,9,22  
29:14,17,19,21  
30:12,17,20 31:23 32:1  
33:13,15 35:8,9,18 41:24  
43:15 48:19 49:6,14,18  
51:16 52:3 59:22 60:16  
61:23 70:22 74:8 76:18,24  
77:4,4,9 78:10 79:8  
80:3,21,22 82:16 83:4  
85:13,18 86:9,20 93:18  
94:19 96:8 112:20 113:3  
116:22 147:8 150:4 158:6  
183:3,19 186:4 187:18  
halls (1) 86:10  
hand (25) 5:2 6:22 16:20  
18:7,20 19:24 20:13,20  
21:25 23:21 25:4,4  
28:14,16,16,17 30:4 35:11  
36:19 37:4 67:1,9 86:24  
170:17 177:4  
handed (1) 133:1  
handheld (1) 105:8  
handing (1) 99:15  
handle (1) 6:22  
handled (1) 54:16  
handovers (1) 138:24  
hands (10) 18:21 19:20  
23:24 24:3,4 36:13,22,23  
40:19 94:20  
happened (2) 51:13 114:3  
happening (6) 27:21  
77:24,25 81:4 88:16 98:25  
happy (5) 24:12,16 25:2 62:8  
120:1  
harboured (1) 89:25  
hard (3) 97:2 161:11 174:6  
hardedged (1) 78:5  
harder (1) 66:11  
hardheadedness (1) 125:18  
harm (8) 117:6 146:18 149:9  
153:6 157:11 178:3,5  
185:18

harmful (1) 110:20  
harmful (1) 131:5  
hartills (1) 187:16  
harvey (1) 15:19  
hate (1) 168:20  
having (27) 18:23 39:24  
47:21 48:10,20 70:23 71:4  
73:5 88:7 90:11,12,13  
93:20 94:4 98:24 101:20  
113:2 115:25 118:24  
121:12 122:19 124:8 127:2  
141:19 148:7 163:20  
168:20  
hazards (1) 14:24  
head (17) 6:18 19:3 21:4  
27:25 30:4 34:9  
36:17,19,21 74:19,19  
77:15 102:21 103:2 107:13  
122:24 123:1  
heading (1) 11:2  
headlines (1) 110:8  
health (3) 74:21,24 77:21  
healthy (4) 66:10 68:25  
164:10 179:14  
hear (5) 27:10 44:5 98:14  
112:15 165:14  
heard (43) 1:21 2:1,9  
3:4,17,24 4:15,25 8:7 10:8  
11:25 13:6 15:20  
18:3,20,25 19:1,9 20:6,10  
21:2 23:17 26:25 27:4,19  
29:25 30:17,23 32:2,5,10  
33:13 38:4 39:18,22 41:2  
44:23 52:1,3 60:16 64:12  
87:25 97:11  
hearing (4) 2:9 12:1 20:5  
27:8  
hearings (1) 1:24  
heart (11) 1:23 16:22  
17:1,3,4 66:10,11 70:9,11  
71:1 72:8  
heated (1) 155:3  
heavily (1) 67:7  
heavy (2) 52:2 153:3  
hed (3) 119:15 155:9 162:4  
height (1) 6:18  
held (10) 2:23 5:3,3 22:5  
31:22 86:15 106:8 108:6  
158:25 179:2  
helicopter (1) 13:13  
help (10) 9:25 11:17 16:1  
18:16 20:21 26:20 117:6  
126:17,19 166:19  
helped (6) 8:5 15:24 22:4  
29:22 30:19 85:14  
helpful (2) 61:7 165:14  
helping (4) 8:7 15:1 141:9  
167:3  
helps (1) 160:8  
hems (6) 13:15,20,24 14:13  
25:25 70:22  
henley (1) 41:6  
here (7) 16:25 34:4,5 48:17  
49:6 95:1 170:18  
heroin (2) 73:20,24  
herself (6) 5:25 17:10 20:4  
58:2 157:14 174:18  
hes (4) 34:8 37:19 159:2  
163:9  
hesitant (1) 37:20  
hide (3) 77:21 94:13 111:19  
hierarchies (1) 38:20  
high (53) 31:12 57:21 74:25  
78:13 84:7,7,25 92:15,17  
100:20 104:17,20 105:2  
106:7,9 108:7 117:16  
118:2 119:15,23  
121:17,21,21 125:4  
129:12,17 131:3,20 133:18  
134:16,16 135:4,5,8,8  
136:16 139:8,16 150:16  
157:10,12 165:25 178:3  
179:9,10,19 181:5  
182:5,18,18 184:13  
185:3,16  
higher (3) 69:12 83:25

114:17  
highlevel (1) 121:24  
highlighting (1) 1:15  
highly (3) 68:25 92:14 123:5  
highranking (1) 108:4  
hii (5) 130:17 133:7  
138:16,17 160:23  
himself (20) 7:20 10:9  
12:9,18 28:3 30:23 36:18  
38:11 44:13 88:17 98:13  
100:9 155:12,14 162:19  
164:23 166:11 176:13  
177:15 184:15  
histories (2) 46:25 122:1  
history (6) 46:14,23 57:14  
124:3 130:25 170:19  
hit (5) 28:11 33:7 36:20,22  
107:21  
hitting (4) 18:6 28:25 34:2  
36:21  
hmp (11) 17:22 20:7,23 32:7  
38:5,24 42:7 56:8 80:17  
92:8 102:22  
hmpps (15) 33:10 45:1 46:6  
47:2,10 49:16 53:17,24  
54:6 57:16,23 61:5 102:23  
121:16 177:11  
hoax (2) 96:3,14  
hobbies (4) 141:9,21,24  
167:6  
hold (2) 80:4 81:2  
holding (12) 5:18 6:17  
18:12,13 20:12 21:19  
28:15 33:25 35:4 37:10  
60:8 84:9  
holdings (1) 96:20  
holiday (1) 39:11  
hollow (1) 146:10  
home (10) 64:15 88:10 89:6  
97:23 98:8 101:9 107:15  
141:6 154:13 157:12  
homes (1) 41:6  
honest (3) 164:4 179:9  
181:19  
honesty (1) 162:24  
hook (9) 22:6,9 23:3,9,15,19  
24:2,8,18  
hope (1) 15:7  
hoped (2) 38:14 43:4  
hopeful (1) 62:8  
hopefully (1) 173:22  
hopes (1) 111:17  
hoping (1) 163:17  
hospital (6) 26:24 71:5,11  
72:24 73:2 115:16  
host (1) 84:18  
hosted (3) 49:7 64:9 86:20  
hostel (3) 101:6,8 179:17  
hosting (1) 84:17  
hosts (1) 74:6  
hough (8) 109:15 144:20  
145:11 146:2,11 149:4,7  
152:13  
hour (2) 105:5 143:15  
hours (3) 42:25 101:13 161:6  
housekeeping (1) 4:21  
houses (1) 50:7  
however (7) 11:15 84:21  
121:2 151:7 162:1 170:2  
173:1  
hub (1) 106:6  
hub (1) 113:11  
huge (2) 123:8 180:10  
hunched (2) 5:18,25  
hurt (1) 177:4

---

**I**

iconic (1) 149:17  
id (1) 56:1  
idea (4) 99:14 109:23 122:16  
169:25  
ideal (1) 136:8  
identical (2) 48:25 49:8  
identification (1) 130:23  
identified (14) 48:20,23  
66:21 78:9,13,19 79:4,9

108:17 160:10,19 166:19 174:16 179:12 <b>identify (4)</b> 42:22 79:16 95:7 145:7 <b>identifying (1)</b> 110:13 <b>identity (1)</b> 142:9 <b>ideological (1)</b> 130:22 <b>ideology (7)</b> 124:3 133:3 143:21 144:25 154:15 156:6 185:16 <b>ied (7)</b> 2:19 11:25 12:17 14:24 93:9 96:3,14 <b>iep (4)</b> 118:8,11 119:1 132:11 <b>ieva (1)</b> 159:19 <b>ill (12)</b> 55:20 88:6 98:3 109:10,15,21,23 110:2 154:2 172:8 188:3,11 <b>illegal (1)</b> 170:7 <b>im (24)</b> 1:6 31:24 32:19,21,23 34:4,5,5,24,25 39:6 41:1 55:15 64:11 74:2 87:19 109:19 110:4 127:21 149:5 153:24 154:7 177:6 187:22 <b>image (1)</b> 162:19 <b>images (1)</b> 17:16 <b>imagined (1)</b> 81:12 <b>imams (4)</b> 113:20,22 161:2,4 <b>immediate (2)</b> 26:9 145:8 <b>immediately (1)</b> 66:7 <b>imminence (2)</b> 153:9,16 <b>imminent (4)</b> 124:16,19 148:22 151:2 <b>impact (4)</b> 42:23 43:18 71:22 114:14 <b>impacting (3)</b> 65:19 79:8 80:3 <b>impending (1)</b> 111:7 <b>implausible (5)</b> 144:17 145:4 171:8,14 185:12 <b>implementation (1)</b> 63:25 <b>implemented (1)</b> 48:1 <b>implements (1)</b> 74:17 <b>implications (1)</b> 61:15 <b>implied (1)</b> 159:5 <b>important (7)</b> 45:18 53:9 54:23 140:15 150:7 160:12 179:22 <b>imposed (2)</b> 81:25 155:17 <b>impossible (1)</b> 165:3 <b>impress (1)</b> 120:3 <b>impressed (1)</b> 73:8 <b>impression (6)</b> 111:7 126:8 146:25 168:5 173:24 176:10 <b>impressions (1)</b> 166:23 <b>imprisonment (1)</b> 91:15 <b>improve (2)</b> 176:13 178:23 <b>improved (2)</b> 119:22 120:12 <b>improvement (2)</b> 111:18 179:18 <b>improvements (2)</b> 54:2 120:4 <b>improving (2)</b> 53:12 60:21 <b>inappropriate (2)</b> 164:7 171:20 <b>inbox (1)</b> 103:17 <b>incident (13)</b> 8:18 11:2 12:25 13:17 22:10 39:16 43:10 52:1 82:20 85:17 88:4 115:12 119:8 <b>incidents (5)</b> 92:22 100:19 119:10 177:18,20 <b>incite (1)</b> 108:9 <b>incited (1)</b> 119:1 <b>include (5)</b> 46:8 81:12 104:10 134:12 175:8 <b>included (16)</b> 45:16 60:3 81:4,9 84:5 87:15 89:17,21 92:21 96:12 112:10 132:2 160:2,3,21 169:21 <b>including (30)</b> 2:14 11:6 46:18 56:5 59:6,16 78:20 79:4 81:18 83:3,18 88:13,21 92:24 99:3 105:22 108:18 119:8	122:24 129:19,22 131:8 135:8 139:9 143:3 156:11 163:17 167:18 171:10 175:19 <b>income (1)</b> 170:1 <b>inconsequential (1)</b> 165:6 <b>inconsistencies (2)</b> 144:14,22 <b>inconsistency (1)</b> 155:8 <b>inconsistent (2)</b> 51:15 145:4 <b>increase (6)</b> 79:18 152:24 153:4 162:8 166:3 175:12 <b>increased (6)</b> 60:25 147:23 152:7,9,10 153:16 <b>increases (2)</b> 152:2 153:7 <b>increasing (3)</b> 69:9 156:5 186:8 <b>independent (3)</b> 76:25 82:23 147:13 <b>indeterminate (1)</b> 91:15 <b>index (4)</b> 43:8,11,12 189:2 <b>indicate (2)</b> 163:24 183:9 <b>indicated (6)</b> 1:6 25:6 40:8 105:11 162:13 178:4 <b>indicates (1)</b> 78:14 <b>indicating (2)</b> 44:9 110:21 <b>indication (4)</b> 113:8 145:7 173:5 180:13 <b>indications (1)</b> 11:13 <b>indicative (2)</b> 59:6 68:9 <b>indirectly (2)</b> 79:8 80:3 <b>individual (20)</b> 54:20 68:25 73:9 76:1 88:24 104:3 115:16 117:18 136:23 150:17 152:6,8,9,11,20 160:12 178:17 182:6 184:13 185:25 <b>individualised (1)</b> 61:1 <b>individually (1)</b> 67:1 <b>individuals (11)</b> 55:12 56:20 57:21 84:8 85:1 90:5 149:25 150:8,12,25 178:14 <b>induction (1)</b> 107:1 <b>inductions (1)</b> 45:25 <b>industry (2)</b> 75:5 101:19 <b>inference (1)</b> 50:2 <b>influence (2)</b> 121:11 155:10 <b>inform (3)</b> 27:24 87:10 154:17 <b>informally (1)</b> 117:12 <b>information (39)</b> 1:13 21:18 26:7 31:2 46:21 47:3,11 49:17 50:11 51:23 52:12 58:6 59:6 60:2 62:5 86:24 88:10,12 103:4,23,24 104:5 110:1,25 130:24 131:14 136:25 137:7 147:6 148:23 151:22 160:20 162:23 164:6,19 175:11 184:17,19 185:23 <b>informationsharing (1)</b> 112:5 <b>informed (5)</b> 23:11 25:16 55:9 116:14 134:17 <b>informing (3)</b> 59:1 104:12 187:7 <b>initial (6)</b> 72:2 78:8 79:14 119:25 131:7 132:24 <b>initially (10)</b> 24:15 52:13 92:5 101:6 108:25 113:13 135:20 142:7 153:19 178:22 <b>initiative (3)</b> 45:4 141:6 166:16 <b>injured (10)</b> 2:14 8:9 9:16 26:19 52:6,7 60:17,19 70:19 71:18 <b>injuries (16)</b> 9:24 26:22 30:22 66:22 67:9 68:7,12 69:14,21,22 70:25 71:3,16,20 72:13 73:1 <b>injury (17)</b> 15:6 64:18 65:21 66:2 67:2,11,18,20 68:1,3,6,15,21 69:1,2 71:19 72:1 <b>injustice (1)</b> 174:2 <b>inkling (1)</b> 186:3 <b>inmate (4)</b> 57:13 108:15	114:24 132:17 <b>inmates (7)</b> 46:5 57:2,6,12,17 81:5,9 <b>inner (1)</b> 67:20 <b>innovation (2)</b> 116:17 172:14 <b>input (5)</b> 46:7 57:5 104:13 145:8 185:9 <b>inquest (1)</b> 1:24 <b>inquests (3)</b> 61:16 87:24 97:11 <b>inserted (1)</b> 16:13 <b>inside (5)</b> 9:7 22:19 93:19 126:3 171:12 <b>insight (3)</b> 162:14 164:21 185:2 <b>insightfully (1)</b> 156:5 <b>insofar (1)</b> 184:25 <b>inspection (1)</b> 23:12 <b>inspector (3)</b> 10:22,24 11:10 <b>inspectorate (1)</b> 114:16 <b>inspire (2)</b> 90:16,24 <b>instinctively (1)</b> 30:5 <b>institute (3)</b> 48:2 52:20,25 <b>institutional (1)</b> 125:11 <b>instructed (1)</b> 19:1 <b>instruction (2)</b> 14:3 24:2 151:15 155:12 167:1 <b>intelligence (52)</b> 44:3,7,9,12 46:8 47:7 61:6,9 86:2 92:22 103:11,13,16 104:23 105:22 107:16,23,25 108:3,14 110:11,18,24 111:2,6,13,16,21,24 112:8,13 118:16 119:3 120:1 121:10 124:9,11 132:14 134:2,6 139:10,17,19 156:10 157:6 159:13,14 161:22 178:9,11 180:17,19 <b>intended (1)</b> 44:10 <b>intensive (2)</b> 51:21 69:19 <b>intent (5)</b> 146:17 160:15 168:21 185:17,18 <b>intentions (2)</b> 43:3 89:25 <b>interact (1)</b> 70:16 <b>interacting (1)</b> 45:10 <b>interaction (2)</b> 69:1 97:7 <b>interest (3)</b> 125:22 159:16 186:7 <b>interested (1)</b> 88:20 <b>interests (1)</b> 141:21 <b>interior (2)</b> 75:16 83:21 <b>internal (13)</b> 33:9 64:23 67:21,24 70:6 71:21 76:24 78:22,24,25 83:1 165:4 180:19 <b>internally (2)</b> 65:22 70:12 <b>internet (6)</b> 58:1 88:17 141:10 167:3,19,21 <b>interpretation (1)</b> 146:9 <b>interpreted (1)</b> 110:22 <b>interrogated (1)</b> 156:1 <b>intervened (1)</b> 97:1 <b>intervention (4)</b> 25:18 45:6 72:21 166:21 <b>interventions (6)</b> 46:10 103:5 104:10 117:5,19 138:15 <b>interview (9)</b> 43:3 58:18,22 59:2,16 153:19,20 172:19 185:6 <b>interviewed (4)</b> 50:24 143:14,14 185:10 <b>interviews (1)</b> 146:21 <b>intimidation (2)</b> 108:5,18 <b>into (66)</b> 4:12 8:2,2 9:21 10:12 12:17 13:25 20:5 22:17 27:17,20 33:8 38:9 42:4 46:17 47:3,12 58:11 65:14 67:25 68:19 70:12,21 81:15 84:6,10 87:23 90:7 91:3 96:24 97:2,21 98:18,20,24 100:2 103:8,17 104:1 106:5,22 111:15 115:24 122:12 125:23 131:4 134:24 136:15 139:7 142:12,20	145:8 151:10 156:22 157:17 160:15 162:7,14,21 163:21 172:22 174:1,10,21 185:2 186:7 <b>introduced (5)</b> 80:14 105:25 126:16 173:12 174:18 <b>invalid (1)</b> 164:6 <b>invested (1)</b> 176:11 <b>investigate (1)</b> 170:15 <b>investigating (1)</b> 181:12 <b>investigation (6)</b> 87:22 89:15 93:2 95:6 181:13 186:6 <b>investigations (2)</b> 16:19 86:2 <b>invitation (4)</b> 81:11 128:14 147:8 157:22 <b>invitations (1)</b> 172:23 <b>invite (2)</b> 48:15 158:6 <b>invited (6)</b> 42:3 51:10 61:10 116:22 137:1 165:12 <b>involved (38)</b> 3:9 18:15 32:4 56:21 87:6 88:14,15 90:11 97:21 98:11 100:15,21 107:20 108:4,11,17 112:18 115:11 116:3 118:7,9 123:3 124:1 125:15 128:3,12 138:19 142:6,17 151:15 155:12 167:1 172:11 177:18 180:21 181:7,10,11 <b>involvement (17)</b> 41:3 127:24 128:1,23 133:14 143:8 156:19 157:20 158:2 159:16 176:12 177:22 178:9 179:21 180:9 181:17 182:7 <b>involves (1)</b> 105:19 <b>involving (1)</b> 84:7 <b>inwards (1)</b> 67:13 <b>ipp (1)</b> 139:24 <b>irritated (2)</b> 137:23 175:15 <b>islam (6)</b> 88:20 99:2 100:22 118:18 146:12,13 <b>islamic (9)</b> 43:18 47:9 89:3 90:12 98:22 154:9,15,16 160:24 <b>islamist (2)</b> 89:24 139:11 <b>isnt (1)</b> 114:1 <b>isolated (3)</b> 102:2 137:22 183:23 <b>isolation (2)</b> 142:4 147:3 <b>issues (16)</b> 44:21 54:4 76:9 83:2 111:20 117:23 124:6 136:22 139:23 140:24 141:19 167:18 168:23 175:15 176:1,8 <b>item (1)</b> 93:11 <b>items (5)</b> 52:2 94:16 95:15 96:16 182:12 <b>its (26)</b> 32:22 45:6 53:12 54:22,23 67:7,12,19 74:7 103:18 106:8 121:21 122:21 144:23 146:1 147:12 149:6 151:3 152:15 153:6,8,13,22 159:3 178:8 187:19 <b>itself (4)</b> 17:3 47:1 65:5 148:19 <b>ive (6)</b> 29:2 37:18 114:1 172:7 177:7 187:24 <b>ixion (1)</b> 96:20 <b>izzy (2)</b> 5:12,23	<b>january (18)</b> 56:10 58:5 64:6 89:23 96:21 110:12 118:1 119:16 140:2 142:15 157:22 159:25 161:1,7 173:12 181:15,21,24 <b>jaquiss (8)</b> 2:21 3:2,7,8,16 4:1,11,13 <b>jarman (5)</b> 2:22 3:6,8,16 4:11 <b>jeffery (1)</b> 27:2 <b>jeffrey (1)</b> 15:22 <b>jenny (1)</b> 172:10 <b>jeremy (1)</b> 32:3 <b>jessie (1)</b> 63:23 <b>jexu (1)</b> 156:16 <b>jihad (1)</b> 156:3 <b>jihadi (1)</b> 90:1 <b>jihadist (1)</b> 155:5 <b>joanna (3)</b> 16:2 116:9 117:2 <b>joaquin (1)</b> 39:11 <b>job (9)</b> 45:17 59:16 101:18 113:6 126:20 129:20 135:19 167:1 177:2 <b>jobcentre (1)</b> 167:2 <b>jobcentres (1)</b> 125:21 <b>jobs (4)</b> 127:8,9 171:21,22 <b>johal (23)</b> 130:9 138:8,17 140:18 141:12,20 142:14 143:13,19 144:13 145:13,17,24 146:22 147:13 151:17 152:14 153:17 156:19,21 157:14 185:9,11 <b>john (4)</b> 2:11,22 7:10 15:22 <b>join (4)</b> 11:6 50:21 123:21 124:13 <b>joined (4)</b> 26:14 102:23 110:9 128:23 <b>joining (2)</b> 46:23 176:19 <b>joint (2)</b> 156:16 181:13 <b>jojo (1)</b> 32:5 <b>joked (1)</b> 102:12 <b>jon (1)</b> 181:8 <b>jones (5)</b> 3:21 116:24 127:23 128:13 129:7 <b>jorge (1)</b> 85:13 <b>journey (3)</b> 95:23 123:11 169:22 <b>judge (14)</b> 1:5 2:22 3:22,22 4:5,10,14 20:9 32:9 55:25 90:10,15 109:15 154:7 <b>judged (1)</b> 78:15 <b>judgment (3)</b> 160:8,21 162:10 <b>judgments (2)</b> 47:4 55:12 <b>julia (1)</b> 125:19 <b>July (16)</b> 77:16 80:20 86:6 92:7,11 108:16 110:18 135:18 136:1 159:22,24 175:23 177:21 179:1 182:21,22 <b>jumped (5)</b> 6:5 36:11 107:11 108:12 118:9 <b>jumping (1)</b> 178:1 <b>junction (2)</b> 12:4 14:12 <b>June (21)</b> 80:17 98:15 107:11 110:16 111:5,8,12 112:18 116:8,17 121:5,19 126:1,12 143:4 157:8 175:1 176:15 180:1,23 182:14 <b>jury (17)</b> 1:3 31:24 39:6 55:14,19,24 109:6,9,14,17 153:24 154:1,6 172:5 177:6 187:22 188:10 <b>justify (1)</b> 11:17	<b>kept (5)</b> 6:22 98:13 117:13 133:23 172:24 <b>key (3)</b> 7:17 75:8 138:10 <b>keys (3)</b> 4:22 56:7 153:3 <b>keyworker (2)</b> 165:20 175:9 <b>keyworkers (1)</b> 165:20 <b>khan (526)</b> 1:12,13,25 2:16 3:4,7,9 5:1,21 6:17,21,23 7:2,3,7,11,14,14 11:25 12:8 17:17 18:5 6:7,11,14,18,19,24 19:2,3,13,16,18,21 20:2,3 21:9 26:13 27:5,10,11,14 28:5 8:10,12,14,16,18,21,22,23 29:1,9,10,10,13,18 30:2,7 32:1,4,12,15,16,18,21,23 33:3,4,4,6,7,21,21,23 34:2,3,8,11,15,17,20,21,23,24 35:1,4,8,10,12,14,19,21,22 36:1,3,7,8,14,15 37:2,7,10,12,17,24,25 39:9,17,23,24 40:2,7,9,17,17,20,23 41:8,12,12,13,16 42:12,15,16,20 43:1,6,12,14,17,22,22,23 44:5,13 45:19 50:14,21 51:9,16,24 54:3,13 57:25 58:6,19 59:7,16 60:13 61:9 62:1,17,20 63:2,6,8,10,17,21 66:1 69:15 73:15,18,23 84:22 87:21,23,25 88:5,10,11,13,14,19,24,25 89:9,15,17,17 90:2,9,24 91:3,5,14 92:5,13,20,23 93:2,7,9,15,19,20,22 94:8 95:2,4,8,14,17,19,21 96:1,3,6,20,21,24 97:1,2,5,7,14 101:5 102:13,20 104:16,18,19,24 105:6,10 106:19 107:8,19,23 108:3,7,11,14,16,19,21 110:9,13,16,19,21 111:3,8,14,22,24,25 112:6,4,8,14,17,17,21,23 113:4,10,13,13,18 115:20 116:3,6,16,18,23,25 117:20 118:1,3,7,8,10,14,14,18,22 119:1,14,17,23 120:1,36,24,25 37:4,5 121:6,11,14 123:17,20 124:10,12,15,17,19,24 125:16 126:2,6,12,14,15,16,18,19,23 127:7,8 129:12,13,16,23,24 130:7,10,20,24 131:12,19,21,21,24 132:6,8,10,14,20 133:2,9,11,19 134:2 135:4,18,22 136:15,17,22 137:1,4,19,21,22 138:6 139:7,14,18,23,24 140:18 141:5 142:3,5,9,15 143:1,3,6,14,16,20,22,23 144:8,10 145:4,20 146:2,4,8,20,24,24 147:5 148:25 149:8 154:24 155:1,8,10,22,24 156:2,5,6,21 157:8,10,15,24,25 159:8,25 160:2,4,25 161:6,14,21 162:13,16 163:1,6,14,25 164:17,17 165:21,25 166:4,15,16,22 167:2,7,9,14,18,22,24 168:4,7,9,12,16,20 169:1,6,13,14,15,17 170:2,6,7,8,21,24 171:1,2,5,8,11,16,19,20,21 172:1,4 173:4,12 174:13,14,18,22	175:2,12,13,21 176:10,18,18,22,24 177:1,3,4,13,14,17,22,25 178:10,13,14,19,22,25 179:5,7,9,10,12 180:3,5,13,17,18 181:3,4,12,12,15,18,20,21 182:1,5,6,11,23 183:5,8,17,22 184:3,5,9,12,14,22 185:4,10,21,24 186:2,4,6,17,24 187:15 <b>khans (88)</b> 18:20,23 33:25 36:5,6,12,18,18 37:4 41:3,10 55:2 58:8 59:4 61:11 89:2,5 90:22 96:9 97:12 105:14 107:10 111:17 116:9 118:5 119:9 121:5 132:2,4,11 133:14 134:11,13,16 135:13 136:3 138:19,22 139:5,9,21 143:7 145:25 146:16 147:7 154:21 156:20 157:20,21 160:17 161:3 166:24 167:20 169:3,9 173:24 175:24 176:3 177:11,16 178:2,4,9,20 179:2,3,3,18,21,25 180:1,9,11,13,16,21 181:8 182:4,16,17,21 184:10,25 185:12,15,20 186:9 187:10 <b>kicking (1)</b> 36:17 <b>kicks (1)</b> 18:20 <b>kill (2)</b> 32:19 107:24 <b>kim (1)</b> 174:23 <b>kind (3)</b> 52:16 81:11 166:25 <b>kinds (1)</b> 166:8 <b>king (2)</b> 14:13 15:25 <b>kit (2)</b> 13:10,22 <b>kitchen (1)</b> 20:5 <b>kits (1)</b> 29:21 <b>knees (1)</b> 36:7 <b>kneelt (1)</b> 37:3 <b>knew (33)</b> 13:20 21:24 24:5 30:24 45:17 49:14,20,25 51:19 60:9 81:3 98:12,22 101:5 102:5 112:21 115:21 116:4,6 119:23 122:16,17 133:18 134:20 136:22 139:6,14 145:1 161:19 167:22 168:21 170:8 171:4 <b>knife (27)</b> 5:2 6:17 18:6 27:12 29:13,18 30:4 31:15 35:10 36:24,25 37:4,5 39:25 40:7 64:22 65:23,24 68:14 69:7,10,25 71:17 75:21,21 78:11 96:13 <b>knives (16)</b> 5:22 7:15 18:19 28:15 35:24 36:2,3,20,23 69:15 92:25 94:20 95:5,8,14 96:13 <b>knock (1)</b> 18:18 <b>knockbacks (1)</b> 176:6 <b>knocked (1)</b> 97:3 <b>know (35)</b> 4:6,19 5:21 6:25 8:24 10:15 11:9 12:12 16:11 21:16 22:1 25:13 28:13 38:21 43:22 49:24 56:2 62:6 63:1 81:13 84:21 85:16 89:4 90:13 91:4 98:8 101:15 126:20 137:5 147:10 153:5 159:15 169:7 177:10 188:4 <b>knowing (5)</b> 44:12 46:17 87:8,15 114:1 <b>knowledge (4)</b> 41:9 58:12 81:21 129:7 <b>known (15)</b> 2:15 31:5,8 49:22 75:2 77:6 81:14 84:18 85:20 100:3 103:10 115:9 150:25 186:6 <b>knows (3)</b> 31:4 84:3 114:3 <b>knuckles (1)</b> 36:14 <b>koczoik (13)</b> 2:11 8:11 9:15 18:6 26:3 27:4,21 28:3,4,5,20,25 29:16
--	---	---	---	--	--	--

koczojics (1) 28:17  
kuffars (1) 107:12

---

**L**

---

lack (5) 78:20,21 124:23  
141:18 171:9

lacked (2) 141:15 146:8

ladies (3) 4:23 26:25 64:11

lady (2) 27:19 66:9

lancaster (1) 48:8

landing (3) 3:20 20:9 21:3

langtry (10) 8:15,21  
9:8,18,20 10:11,14,18 16:8  
22:12

language (2) 125:14 187:4

large (4) 83:25 94:10,11,15

largely (2) 129:20 136:7

larger (1) 94:12

larmour (11) 5:14 6:5,9,10  
7:1,3,9,22 8:5 9:21 96:7

lartin (1) 92:8

las (6) 11:17 16:2 24:10  
70:21 71:7 72:17

last (13) 1:10 3:15 69:2  
96:24 97:7 102:6,25  
156:12 171:8 172:3  
173:8,10 178:24

lasted (1) 34:10

late (12) 63:7 73:18 78:1  
80:14 110:10 114:5,10  
116:24 117:10 137:25  
160:17 169:4

later (22) 4:2 6:3 8:3 12:14  
29:18 40:14 41:10 88:25  
89:17 90:4 95:1 101:13  
108:19 132:5 133:19  
135:19,25 139:21 155:14  
158:13 164:25 177:4

laughed (1) 102:12

launched (2) 1:25 89:24

laura (1) 13:15

laying (1) 10:3

lead (6) 61:21 63:24 117:10  
143:12 156:14 163:21

leader (1) 88:22

leaders (1) 110:19

leading (2) 5:7 26:17

leaflets (1) 99:10

learn (3) 43:17 45:7 113:5

learned (1) 156:23

learning (122) 2:23 5:13,15  
17:24 20:8 21:1 29:24  
30:25 31:3 32:8 33:12  
38:12 41:2,4,7,20 44:17  
45:3,5,22 47:22 48:9 49:21  
52:18,22 53:5,9,11,15,15  
54:10,17 56:3,19 57:3,7,19  
58:3,16 59:12 60:1,9,20  
61:17,22 62:12 76:17  
80:14,16,20,24 81:9,22  
82:2 105:24  
106:2,4,10,14,17,25  
107:2,4,7 108:20 109:1  
110:10 112:22  
114:4,6,7,11 115:4,11  
116:4,5,10 122:2,8,18  
123:1,18,23  
124:1,13,21,25 125:12  
126:1,3 127:24  
128:4,8,10,13,15,24 129:9  
133:14 135:13,16 137:2  
142:6,16 143:8 147:22,24  
157:21 167:25 172:7,11,16  
173:9 176:11 178:18,20  
179:22 181:23 182:8,13  
184:24 185:5

learnt (2) 114:1 143:18

least (5) 25:17 91:18 104:19  
143:15 149:2

leave (4) 4:1 19:8 22:13  
99:11

leaving (2) 18:11 26:13

lectern (2) 33:4,4

lecturer (1) 173:10

led (9) 3:10 65:21 68:17  
71:24 72:18 74:16 90:20

162:12 172:18

left (28) 3:19 4:20 5:25 8:6  
12:19 15:17 17:15,19  
20:13 22:17 23:15 26:12  
29:16,20 30:1 32:1,11  
33:17 36:5 37:10 66:22  
67:1 75:10 93:9 108:21  
128:9 129:20 175:21

lefthand (1) 22:21

legal (4) 1:8,17 3:1 104:15

legally (1) 104:21

legitimate (1) 111:4

length (3) 67:3 139:1 173:2

less (7) 38:13 52:12 63:4  
74:22 92:17 168:19 175:14

let (5) 7:16 18:21 20:15  
29:14 37:12

lets (1) 152:6

letting (1) 75:12

level (21) 60:24 70:8,9  
77:8,18 79:20 106:16  
114:17 118:8 132:11  
134:16 140:3,18 146:16  
153:6 157:16 165:4  
178:3,5 185:16 186:9

levels (6) 31:14 69:9 85:3  
125:7 135:7 165:25

library (4) 127:13 141:10  
167:11,19

licence (22) 91:20,24 92:1  
136:10,13,16,18 137:20  
142:17,24 148:21 151:24  
157:1 168:5,11,12 178:19  
180:21 184:5 186:12,13,14

lied (1) 144:21

liein (1) 188:5

lies (3) 114:3 118:18,19

life (17) 17:6 23:19 25:19  
26:6 62:6 71:9 87:20 89:20  
91:2 99:8 102:18 113:16  
126:9 142:8 151:11 158:3  
173:23

lifting (1) 136:3

light (2) 31:11 137:15

lightly (1) 33:21

lights (2) 8:19 12:11

like (15) 20:16 29:4 52:2  
54:13 63:22 66:10 106:11  
108:10 128:11 145:24  
151:6 155:17 158:5 165:15  
174:4

liked (2) 43:11 108:9

likelihood (4) 77:24 78:14  
146:19 185:20

likely (7) 57:20 91:2 120:21  
131:4 137:4 162:8 163:4

liking (1) 111:23

limit (1) 180:8

limitations (2) 118:2 142:23

limited (3) 44:15 74:10 83:24

limits (1) 115:18

line (6) 56:12 141:24 151:24  
156:18 168:25 186:20

lines (2) 50:23 133:3

lingered (1) 185:13

link (1) 157:6

linked (3) 144:19 177:19,25  
123:1,18,23

linking (4) 50:10 107:23  
132:6 156:10

links (1) 110:17

lisa (1) 7:4

list (6) 31:22 49:19 51:11,12  
58:14 163:23

listened (4) 1:9,20 187:25  
188:1

listening (3) 55:16 110:4  
188:8

literature (2) 99:16 122:6

little (7) 12:14 38:13 67:2  
71:9 111:6 161:14 162:24

live (1) 177:2

lived (1) 89:11

liver (5) 28:11 68:1,3,17  
71:18

livery (3) 74:6 86:10 87:1

lives (7) 1:24 2:2,19 11:18

53:10 109:4

living (5) 76:3 97:23  
99:13,14 101:5

lobby (1) 28:7

lobe (2) 65:2 67:24

local (1) 172:13

locally (1) 100:2

located (1) 75:14

location (11) 25:5 26:8  
41:13 79:7 105:5 148:2  
149:17 150:11,17 151:7,9

locations (1) 86:8

lock (3) 75:8 78:23 119:2

locked (1) 178:2

log (1) 117:14

logistical (1) 167:18

logistics (6) 61:22 147:16,17  
148:6 151:20 183:23

lois (2) 130:9 156:14

london (47) 8:16,19 11:2,7  
12:3,24 13:1,3,15 22:7  
29:11 31:25 37:2 39:15  
51:17,19 74:6 79:17  
85:7,25 86:1,13,19 90:7  
95:17 102:6,14 120:20  
137:5 147:14,20  
148:1,2,9,13,19 149:17  
150:4 151:15 153:13  
158:10,23 159:2,8 183:5  
184:6 186:18

lone (3) 78:10 79:6 83:2

lonely (1) 62:17

long (12) 5:2 15:5 24:17,19  
92:8 108:21 118:13 123:11  
138:18 170:10 175:25  
176:4

longer (8) 14:20 21:15 24:5  
92:3 126:24 127:14 156:3  
187:8

longstanding (1) 45:11

longterm (4) 45:15,18 116:1  
121:17

longwinded (1) 51:5

look (14) 11:12 21:9 27:20  
42:22 53:18 73:15 89:24  
104:1 117:4 123:2 152:5  
160:8 163:23 167:4

looked (15) 3:19,24 6:16,18  
18:4 20:16 26:17,19 29:3  
35:15 47:21 71:25 78:4  
98:24 144:25

looking (14) 3:14,21 15:16  
47:24 66:3 149:2  
150:11,14 152:1,19 155:1  
167:14 170:2 174:10

lopez (1) 85:13

losing (2) 70:7 142:2

loss (8) 66:12 70:6,7 71:21  
72:15,19,22 125:17

lost (8) 1:24 2:19  
14:17,19,22 15:4,12 25:7  
lot (18) 14:17,19 15:5,12  
23:1 25:7 62:20 70:7,16  
97:5,23 98:7 107:6 108:22  
155:12 162:22,23 176:5

lots (2) 20:15 149:18

loudly (1) 37:13

loved (1) 20:19

low (12) 47:24 70:10 78:17  
82:9 106:7,9,12 112:10  
146:17,20 185:17,21

lower (2) 27:22 64:21

lowered (3) 10:9 36:3 179:21

lowest (1) 125:8

lowrisk (1) 125:6

luck (1) 171:23

lucraft (4) 1:5 55:25 109:15  
154:7

ludlow (27) 4:1,4,6 21:17  
33:14 38:25 41:21 44:16  
45:22 63:5,7,18,20 64:4  
82:4 112:15 113:1 114:15  
116:14 122:4,10,23 123:23  
128:11 183:2 184:9 186:16

lukasz (2) 2:11 5:9

lunch (3) 76:7 109:7,19

lung (16) 16:22  
65:3,9,10,14,19 67:25  
68:2,2,16 70:2,13,13,15  
71:17,22

lying (8) 3:25 21:4 52:6  
59:10 111:4 120:7,25  
145:5

---

**M**

---

m1 (1) 166:15

m2 (2) 169:2 171:1

machin (21) 17:21 18:1,8  
102:21 105:12,24 106:14  
109:21 110:9 111:25  
112:11,20 113:8 114:20  
115:2 116:23 120:5  
123:19,22 161:5 164:16

machinery (1) 153:4

madingley (1) 58:25

magazine (2) 90:15,24

mail (1) 104:21

main (11) 28:20 29:24 34:18  
41:23 49:11 74:12,12 75:8  
105:20 119:3 174:22

maintain (2) 116:10 140:3

maintained (3) 75:25 89:12  
128:23

maintenance (2) 27:6,8

major (8) 25:6 65:18 66:24  
67:4 69:12 70:1 71:16  
159:8

making (8) 3:13 23:18 45:16  
53:20 57:9 110:7 134:23  
137:19

male (1) 40:15

man (4) 89:12 93:16 108:10  
126:18

manage (8) 48:24 103:6  
187:8  
150:12 160:9

manageable (1) 143:9

managed (9) 18:18 34:16  
120:15 129:18 130:9  
142:22 143:11 148:15  
177:14

management (23) 1:12  
25:24 41:16 46:9 47:19  
53:4,13 57:4 60:21,24  
74:17 77:21 103:12 104:6  
117:3 135:11 136:21  
140:11 146:25 165:22,24  
175:6 181:8

manager (25) 5:13 56:12  
84:2,11 92:24 96:20  
103:1,18 114:4 117:2  
118:6 125:19 129:12,16,21  
130:3 133:17 151:21  
156:19 157:7 168:25  
174:23 177:10,11 186:20

managers (2) 129:19 130:2

manages (2) 74:16 84:2

managing (7) 49:12 52:21  
117:13 160:7 172:16  
177:14 181:11

manchester (1) 92:9

manipulative (3) 106:24  
132:22 172:1

manner (3) 121:7 164:10  
180:4

many (9) 48:4 49:1 53:8  
65:11 66:16 83:10 93:12  
125:24 142:11

mappa (82) 49:13 51:10,21  
58:1,24,25 92:25  
105:18,19,21 111:12,15  
112:2,5 121:3,10 127:19  
130:13 133:20,24 134:7,11  
135:6,10,11  
136:1,10,21,25  
137:10,11,16 138:24  
139:3,19 140:2,19,23  
141:17 143:5,19  
145:3,5,10,12 147:9 148:3  
150:21,23 151:3,10 153:11  
156:25 157:5,7 158:7  
159:10 165:10,14 168:10

175:1,4,21,23 176:6  
179:24 180:15,23 181:21  
182:12,20 183:4,13,21  
186:15,19,21  
187:1,2,4,7,17

mappas (1) 136:21

marauding (2) 54:15 78:23

marc (1) 17:20

march (22) 42:13 51:9 52:20  
58:17 59:15 74:5 80:17  
83:22 88:1 91:21 118:6  
119:17 132:1,9 161:13  
163:25 166:17 168:9  
169:1,13 182:1,9

mark (3) 10:25 113:7 176:17

marked (2) 11:21 184:10

market (3) 95:7,10,12

married (2) 97:16 101:20

martyrdom (3) 94:6,24  
146:13

mask (3) 19:16 94:18,21

massive (1) 115:3

masters (1) 122:14

matched (1) 93:17

matches (1) 93:8

mate (1) 37:15

material (2) 6:13 64:10  
70:23 76:6 89:21,22  
105:22 119:6 120:9,22  
130:24 160:20

matter (5) 12:2 46:6 50:6  
171:25 187:6

matters (7) 58:22 86:3  
92:19,21 150:3 159:12  
167:17

matthew (1) 22:6

maximum (2) 67:12 186:9

maxx (1) 93:16

maybe (1) 163:8

mean (1) 113:24

meaningful (2) 15:4,7

meas (1) 151:16

meant (13) 11:16 15:9 23:9  
26:8 57:20 68:10 79:20  
80:6 91:17,25 105:2  
120:22 170:8

measures (15) 1:14 31:8  
48:24 49:4,8 50:12 77:2  
78:16,22 82:1,15 83:3 84:6  
175:7,8

mechanisms (1) 56:20

media (1) 135:23

medic (2) 12:6,20

medical (2) 13:13 70:18

medicine (1) 69:20

medics (2) 8:5 15:15

medium (5) 106:7,9 146:17  
179:4 185:17

meeq (2) 32:3 37:1

meet (8) 89:22 96:7 117:23  
122:7 156:23 165:7 167:23  
188:9

meeting (62) 7:2 51:18  
80:10 82:22 85:17 96:24  
112:2,3,4,6,7 118:13,14  
119:17,25 120:2,5 131:25  
133:25 134:12 136:1  
137:10,11,16,17 140:19  
141:1,22 143:5,19  
147:15,19 150:23 153:13  
155:1,21,23 158:7,12  
161:14 164:1 165:5,11  
166:21 167:13,16 168:9,10  
175:1,23 177:1 180:15,24  
181:15,21 183:4,13,21  
187:2,4,17,20

meetings (37) 77:13 90:13  
92:23,25 103:10 104:7  
105:19,20 111:14  
117:7,15,17 121:5 130:1  
133:20,23 138:24 139:20  
140:2,12,23 150:21 153:12  
157:5 158:13 159:10 160:1  
164:14,17 167:17 175:21  
176:7 179:16,25 180:23  
182:9,21

meets (1) 74:21

member (5) 3:23 19:7 75:2  
103:20 107:24

members (12) 2:13 31:24  
39:6 55:14 58:2 74:18  
90:11 109:6 153:24 172:5  
177:6 187:22

memo (1) 53:19

men (11) 29:16 35:10  
40:1,6,8,10 88:24 90:19  
121:22 123:9 131:23

mention (2) 147:11 151:14

mentioned (10) 41:24 62:20  
80:22 97:6 126:6 155:11  
173:20 177:1 183:3,24

mentor (14) 127:14 142:3  
154:8 157:13,18 166:15,18  
168:3 169:2,3,5,8,12  
171:18

mentoring (5) 136:22  
141:18,20,24 157:13

mentors (7) 134:13 138:12  
141:5,12 142:2 154:12  
157:2

merchant (4) 16:2,5,9,20

mercury (7) 103:15,16,25  
106:20 108:2 110:13  
124:11

merited (1) 159:9

merits (1) 171:7

message (5) 11:1 15:20 24:7  
41:8 173:19

messaged (1) 177:3

met (18) 7:10 13:19 62:2  
96:21 106:19 111:22  
113:10,12 118:1,22 122:24  
129:25 159:25 167:9  
173:2,4 174:20 181:8

metal (6) 31:17 50:9 75:21  
82:17 83:22 85:6

metre (1) 19:17

metres (7) 5:22 33:23  
35:14,22,24 39:16,24

metropolitan (2) 10:21 11:19

mi5 (4) 159:17 181:13  
186:6,8

mi5s (1) 159:15

michelle (3) 17:22 127:22  
139:4

mid2016 (1) 107:8

mid2018 (1) 180:22

midafternoon (1) 153:25

middle (2) 67:24 109:20

midlands (6) 134:25 140:8  
148:10 156:15 181:10  
182:24

midmarch (1) 169:4

midmay (1) 140:17

midmorning (1) 55:14

midnovember (1) 73:19

midway (1) 109:24

night (20) 35:16 41:5 49:19  
53:4,19,23 55:3 69:23  
76:19 77:2 83:24 91:11  
100:1 111:17 113:5 122:22  
163:18 166:3 175:12 180:7

miles (1) 99:14

military (1) 152:8

millicent (1) 2:21

milne (5) 13:14 15:14  
16:17,22 17:6

milnes (1) 15:3

mind (3) 15:10 159:3 180:8

minds (1) 38:11

mindset (4) 54:13 59:7  
154:16 180:19

mindsets (1) 129:1

minimal (2) 146:18 185:20

minimise (3) 61:18 177:22  
181:17

minimising (2) 55:7 181:18

minister (2) 76:23 77:2

ministry (1) 33:10

minney (7) 8:15  
9:1,6,10,15,17 22:18

minor (1) 176:1

minute (1) 37:7

minutes (44) 8:3 11:3 12:3  
14:21,23 21:14 22:2,15  
24:18,20,25 25:17 26:1,6  
29:18 30:14 55:17 66:16  
69:4 71:8 95:20 96:2,6  
133:22 134:1,12 135:6,10  
137:11,14 139:5 140:12,16  
141:17 147:9,11,12  
151:10,14 159:11 160:4  
183:4,14,16

misted (1) 58:11

misrecording (1) 140:14

miss (1) 127:11

missing (1) 113:7

mission (2) 45:10 109:1

mitigating (3) 78:16  
151:16,18

mixed (3) 119:12 133:6  
162:16

mixing (2) 111:10 170:3

moaning (1) 6:15

modern (1) 180:7

modern (2) 51:3 174:13

modest (1) 111:9

module (7) 17:23 29:24 56:9  
64:3,4,5,9

mohammad (1) 41:17

mohammed (1) 90:4

molver (5) 17:22 18:1,4,8,11

moment (2) 36:3 61:11

momentarily (1) 14:15

moments (1) 14:22

monck (1) 63:23

money (4) 170:9,11  
171:10,13

monitor (1) 75:17

monitored (4) 16:11 104:16  
129:6 179:6

monitoring (7) 90:20,21  
102:20 136:11 157:4  
181:11 187:13

month (3) 104:8 105:3,3

monthly (3) 117:17 129:25  
160:1

months (19) 41:10 60:1  
75:15 88:6 101:13 108:19  
111:13 119:10 126:7  
131:18 132:5 133:19 136:5  
137:18 142:5 147:9 15

**motive (1)** 108:16  
**motives (1)** 155:7  
**motorbike (1)** 94:17  
**mounted (1)** 90:19  
**mouth (2)** 5:3 14:12  
**mouthto mouth (1)** 10:7  
**move (17)** 18:9 28:23  
 37:9,17,19,21 40:19  
 56:6,20 105:3 119:20  
 154:18 158:4,5 167:4  
 178:17 185:25  
**moved (16)** 18:25 19:18  
 21:22 26:13 28:20 37:9  
 40:9 42:7 92:8,11 110:14  
 115:16 119:19 127:3  
 143:20 181:4  
**movement (3)** 19:22,23  
 66:14  
**movements (3)** 4:18 35:15  
 45:18  
**moving (9)** 14:18 19:21  
 37:24 39:15 52:1,10 56:22  
 69:2 104:10  
**mps (1)** 51:11  
**ms (100)**  
 3:1,2,7,8,13,16,16,17,19  
 4:1,1,5,8,11,11,12,13,14,14  
 5:6 6:8,17,24 7:22 8:1,8,10  
 9:15,20 12:15,22 13:21  
 14:9,15,17 15:11,14  
 16:5,9,10,20 17:7,7,10  
 18:1,4,8,11  
 19:9,13,15,19,22 20:1  
 21:19 24:12 26:4,18,22  
 27:4,23 28:2 29:23  
 30:7,11,13,18,21 33:2,6  
 60:19 61:19,25 62:15  
 63:1,4,7,10,15,18,21  
 64:3,3 74:19 96:19 123:1,2  
 127:17 128:13 129:7 139:3  
 161:4 164:16,16 174:12  
 177:17,21 178:6,12 184:2  
**much (21)** 34:22 83:8,13  
 98:6 105:12 106:11 108:23  
 119:22 126:3,4,5 141:16  
 143:1 147:5 163:20 166:10  
 168:18 169:7 171:4 176:24  
 188:7  
**multiagency (1)** 105:17  
**multifaith (1)** 42:11  
**multiple (4)** 6:1 48:21 68:8  
 163:14  
**multitude (1)** 150:1  
**murphy (14)** 8:15,25  
 9:2,7,8,18,20,24 10:2,7,14  
 14:11 16:15 22:11  
**muscle (2)** 66:24 67:15  
**muscles (3)** 64:24 67:22  
 68:19  
**muslim (14)** 42:10 43:15  
 88:21 89:11 97:18 100:20  
 108:15 110:19 121:20,22  
 134:3 154:9 156:2 181:16  
**must (2)** 1:20 87:18

**N**

**name (6)** 21:24,24 52:14  
 116:4 126:21 146:13  
**names (1)** 51:11  
**naming (1)** 132:14  
**narrative (1)** 169:20  
**narwhal (10)** 4:10 18:14  
 33:19,25 34:4,14 35:25  
 36:2,11 39:20  
**nash (3)** 10:14,18 14:11  
**nature (5)** 38:7 76:6 83:4,11  
 159:6  
**nave (1)** 176:21  
**near (4)** 5:17 6:18 7:14 96:12  
**nearby (1)** 37:8  
**necessarily (5)** 84:18 121:25  
 130:2 132:23 164:12  
**necessary (6)** 48:2 57:18  
 105:8 113:1 115:23 140:4  
**neck (14)** 14:19 19:23,24  
 20:13,15 22:23 23:22

25:16 52:8 64:19,25 65:17  
 67:18 70:1  
**need (14)** 31:14 50:12 56:24  
 77:7 110:8 118:10 133:22  
 153:19 163:16 175:6  
 179:19 180:20 182:1 183:6  
**needed (24)** 4:3 11:14,23  
 14:7,25 15:12 26:8,11 53:3  
 71:11 72:20,24 77:5 78:3  
 87:7 108:23 113:20 125:15  
 142:22 143:2 144:9 170:9  
 171:13 178:15  
**needing (1)** 118:4  
**needs (3)** 54:16 106:18  
 165:22  
**negative (3)** 43:18 164:21  
 168:13  
**neglect (1)** 174:1  
**negotiate (1)** 34:7  
**negotiated (2)** 56:24 57:22  
**neither (5)** 3:5 30:23 66:25  
 86:9 102:15  
**nerves (2)** 65:1,18  
**nethercott (1)** 122:25  
**netting (5)** 107:11 108:12  
 118:10 119:9 178:1  
**network (3)** 90:13 172:12,17  
**neutralised (1)** 12:9  
**never (9)** 31:20,21 48:5 49:3  
 50:6 77:6 89:11 173:2,7  
**newly (1)** 143:18  
**news (4)** 99:22,23 100:13  
 125:13  
**next (17)** 21:6 31:24 39:6  
 41:1 56:1 64:11 85:22  
 87:19 101:25 102:21  
 165:25 175:11 178:5,25  
 182:20 187:9,10  
**object (1)** 140:13  
**objected (2)** 56:12 120:6  
**objection (1)** 147:25  
**objections (1)** 183:8  
**objective (1)** 170:18  
**objectives (2)** 131:8 132:5  
**obliged (1)** 31:6  
**observe (1)** 143:2  
**observed (3)** 72:8 97:19  
 163:13  
**obstructing (1)** 25:2  
**obtained (1)** 135:19  
**obvious (2)** 5:24 52:8  
**obviously (1)** 188:3  
**occasion (1)** 143:15  
**occasional (3)** 73:18,20  
 112:16  
**occasionally (1)** 134:9  
**occasions (6)** 17:15 44:24  
 45:1 75:13 104:19 161:7  
**occlude (1)** 16:21  
**occluding (1)** 25:3  
**occurred (5)** 70:20 75:13  
 124:18 148:25 183:16  
**oclock (5)** 4:24 5:16 27:23  
 32:9 109:8  
**october (14)** 42:20 80:1  
 110:20 121:19 126:22  
 142:12 15:23 22:14  
 39:14,18  
**northbound (1)** 13:4  
**notch (1)** 67:5  
**note (3)** 125:1 152:12 160:22  
**notebook (1)** 59:19  
**noted (34)** 3:8 7:3 10:2  
 23:14 43:12 51:25 64:19  
 67:18,22 68:5 118:23  
 119:7 120:9,12 121:6  
 127:7 131:1 132:3,18  
 136:9 144:9 146:23 168:12  
 169:14 170:21 171:20  
 174:5 178:2,11 179:1,17  
 180:2 184:12 185:25  
**notes (8)** 59:3 92:23 131:25  
 138:25 167:13 177:15  
 187:17,24  
**nothing (14)** 6:19 10:10 17:4  
 19:16 25:2,8 46:17 50:24  
 51:25 99:10 121:1 157:17

167:20 169:1  
**notice (3)** 102:5 114:9 176:2  
**noticeably (1)** 175:24  
**noticed (5)** 19:15 30:3 35:14  
 40:1 174:22  
**notified (2)** 64:7 148:9  
**november (57)** 1:25 2:24  
 31:16 41:20 47:20,23  
 48:19 50:22 60:15 64:4  
 75:10,20 77:10,13 79:25  
 80:10 82:20 84:12 85:8,14  
 90:17 93:7,15,22 94:16  
 95:17 96:25 97:7 101:22  
 102:9 107:19 127:1,7  
 136:5 137:13,15,23,25  
 140:3 144:2 147:10,12,15  
 173:4 174:18 177:12,21  
 179:16 183:5,17,19,22  
 184:2,8 185:11 187:14,17  
**number (21)** 22:24 41:2,13  
 44:24 62:4 65:7 66:7 93:4  
 100:10 105:25 115:6 116:5  
 142:1 144:7 151:8 154:11  
 157:4 160:20 170:24  
 171:16 188:4  
**numbers (3)** 83:25 104:16  
 115:9  
**numerous (4)** 45:1 97:4  
 108:3 118:21

**O**

**oasys (18)** 130:19 131:19  
 133:15 134:17,18 135:3,7  
 136:8,20 139:15 140:18  
 165:25 175:11 178:5,25  
 182:20 187:9,10  
**object (1)** 140:13  
**objected (2)** 56:12 120:6  
**objection (1)** 147:25  
**objections (1)** 183:8  
**objective (1)** 170:18  
**objectives (2)** 131:8 132:5  
**obliged (1)** 31:6  
**observe (1)** 143:2  
**observed (3)** 72:8 97:19  
 163:13  
**obstructing (1)** 25:2  
**obtained (1)** 135:19  
**obvious (2)** 5:24 52:8  
**obviously (1)** 188:3  
**occasion (1)** 143:15  
**occasional (3)** 73:18,20  
 112:16  
**occasionally (1)** 134:9  
**occasions (6)** 17:15 44:24  
 45:1 75:13 104:19 161:7  
**occlude (1)** 16:21  
**occluding (1)** 25:3  
**occurred (5)** 70:20 75:13  
 124:18 148:25 183:16  
**oclock (5)** 4:24 5:16 27:23  
 32:9 109:8  
**october (14)** 42:20 80:1  
 110:20 121:19 126:22  
 142:12 15:23 22:14  
 39:14,18  
**northbound (1)** 13:4  
**notch (1)** 67:5  
**note (3)** 125:1 152:12 160:22  
**notebook (1)** 59:19  
**noted (34)** 3:8 7:3 10:2  
 23:14 43:12 51:25 64:19  
 67:18,22 68:5 118:23  
 119:7 120:9,12 121:6  
 127:7 131:1 132:3,18  
 136:9 144:9 146:23 168:12  
 169:14 170:21 171:20  
 174:5 178:2,11 179:1,17  
 180:2 184:12 185:25  
**notes (8)** 59:3 92:23 131:25  
 138:25 167:13 177:15  
 187:17,24  
**nothing (14)** 6:19 10:10 17:4  
 19:16 25:2,8 46:17 50:24  
 51:25 99:10 121:1 157:17

**offenders (33)** 2:6 38:15  
 46:19 48:21 54:3 55:6 56:5  
 61:3 84:8 85:1 117:9,11,19  
 125:25 128:5  
 129:4,8,12,17,18,21,22  
 130:3,14,18 136:17  
 138:13,22 142:2 156:22  
 177:12,14 180:7  
**offending (21)** 43:19,24  
 46:14 50:25 124:8 130:22  
 144:8,16 145:25 146:20  
 155:5,15 160:7 169:9  
 171:9,15 179:2 181:17  
 184:25 185:2,21  
**offer (3)** 41:11,14 51:23  
**offered (3)** 23:2 126:16  
 182:14  
**offering (2)** 45:7 52:15  
**office (25)** 5:13,20  
 6:6,8,10,21 7:20,25 8:3,6  
 9:19,21,25 27:7,16,24 29:8  
 35:7 41:23 42:3 64:15  
 141:6 154:13 157:12  
 175:16  
**officer (25)** 9:13 10:24  
 12:15,21 17:20 20:22  
 37:1,13,18 40:7,9,16 58:4  
 59:17 87:8 88:12 92:25  
 116:12 129:10,15 130:10  
 131:17 138:9 165:16  
 174:25  
**officers (32)** 2:17 6:20 8:16  
 9:4,6,10,17 10:25 11:6,21  
 12:13 15:21 22:7 37:5,8,21  
 38:2 39:9 40:4,4,6,8,20,23  
 72:3 101:3 130:15 134:21  
 138:9,13 140:6 181:7  
**offices (1)** 125:21  
**often (1)** 69:8  
**okay (2)** 7:11 63:13  
**old (6)** 110:22 112:9 121:12  
 134:4 139:18 175:3  
**older (1)** 97:14  
**oldest (2)** 73:24 74:6  
**omission (1)** 140:14  
**omu (3)** 57:4 117:3,10  
**once (13)** 1:7 19:10 26:15  
 44:10 57:6 77:11 92:20  
 103:17 114:23 140:23  
 166:5 169:24 177:7  
**ones (4)** 48:25 72:13 83:25  
 85:10  
**onetoone (1)** 43:2  
**oneward (1)** 31:2  
**ongoing (7)** 14:23 23:4,20  
 159:6 163:15 174:19  
 187:13  
**online (1)** 56:11  
**onthejob (1)** 41:22  
**onto (6)** 29:11 36:11 118:9  
 127:9 131:14 135:3  
**onwards (1)** 135:5  
**open (26)** 6:11 7:18 16:14  
 21:22 25:2  
 27:10,10,12,14,14,17  
 29:1,6 37:4 75:1 79:2  
 100:17 104:1 113:10  
 169:25 173:15 176:19  
 179:8 181:19 184:23 186:6  
**opened (2)** 29:8 75:8  
**opening (3)** 16:18 17:18  
 160:22  
**openly (1)** 181:20  
**openness (1)** 55:6  
**operate (1)** 45:23  
**operating (3)** 72:21 74:12  
 84:5  
**operation (5)** 11:9 38:19  
 89:23 90:5,20  
**operational (1)** 156:17  
**opinion (5)** 25:18 64:21 66:2  
 72:10 165:8  
**opportunities (6)** 45:17  
 114:17 171:9 174:11,17  
 182:11  
**opportunity (11)** 38:1 61:6

80:18 114:18 123:25  
 124:7,17 127:10 129:5  
 135:15 176:13  
**opposed (1)** 61:2  
**opposite (3)** 5:17 20:3 67:6  
**opt (1)** 172:22  
**optimistic (1)** 101:19  
**optimistically (1)** 174:9  
**option (1)** 79:18  
**options (3)** 79:16 104:10  
 182:21  
**order (3)** 63:9 121:7 180:4  
**ordinarily (1)** 156:20  
**ordinary (3)** 45:14 175:17  
 176:2  
**organisation (4)** 1:14 87:9  
 89:5 122:17  
**organisations (4)** 76:5 82:10  
 106:16 136:12  
**organised (6)** 48:3 86:19  
 108:1,18 139:10 152:10  
**organiser (1)** 75:3  
**organisers (5)** 76:6 77:5,7  
 79:23 87:15  
**organs (2)** 67:24 68:20  
**ors (1)** 12:15  
**otchere (3)** 4:21 5:6 27:23  
**others (33)** 7:22 10:7 22:4  
 26:25 28:5,23 35:1 37:9  
 57:5 74:7 90:9 91:10 92:24  
 98:24 100:22 102:13  
 108:9,22 110:21 112:8  
 117:12 122:24 123:24  
 132:15 155:20 174:8,21  
 178:1 179:5 180:17,22  
 185:15,24  
**otherwise (3)** 74:13 149:7  
 184:19  
**outcomes (1)** 107:6  
**outline (1)** 58:22  
**outlined (1)** 92:19  
**outlook (1)** 184:11  
**output (3)** 14:20,22 24:24  
**outrage (2)** 152:4,16  
**outrages (1)** 91:12  
**outreach (1)** 86:14  
**outset (1)** 83:12  
**outside (14)** 3:18 17:20 29:9  
 38:13 76:5 80:2,8 109:2  
 111:10 115:14,22 116:12  
 185:147:18  
**outstanding (1)** 73:7  
**outwardly (1)** 180:11  
**overall (37)** 1:9 5:18 6:5,16  
 16:7 21:6 22:16 23:2 28:16  
 30:13 36:1,14,14 39:14  
 40:10 63:12 73:20,24 99:2  
 106:1 111:8,13,25 119:9  
 125:18 126:22 133:1,5  
 137:18 139:14 165:18  
 170:25 173:9 175:25 176:3  
 179:20 182:5  
**overall (3)** 156:6 163:1  
 185:20  
**overcome (1)** 97:4  
**overheard (1)** 174:7  
**overnight (1)** 148:17  
**oversee (1)** 138:16  
**oversight (3)** 45:24 136:10  
 140:3  
**oversubscription (1)** 115:4  
**own (23)** 1:20 17:25 31:13  
 53:22 63:2 67:7,19 74:7  
 75:20 97:5 101:6 102:2  
 108:16 117:13 161:15,24  
 164:14 168:5 181:4 183:6  
 186:25 187:20,24  
**owned (1)** 94:10  
**owner (1)** 176:17  
**owns (1)** 60:25  
**oxford (2)** 11:22 128:10

**P**

**pack (2)** 86:25 95:4  
**packaging (1)** 96:12  
**padded (2)** 5:2 94:10

**pads (3)** 10:13 16:10 23:6  
**paid (2)** 95:13,24  
**pain (1)** 28:18  
**pakistan (10)** 88:1,6 90:2  
 91:8 97:16 98:3,4  
 169:22,25 170:2  
**pale (1)** 6:16  
**panel (6)** 7:18 136:2 157:7  
 165:14 175:4 183:11  
**panels (2)** 26:18 183:9  
**panic (1)** 75:13  
**panicking (1)** 7:8  
**paper (2)** 53:6 85:15  
**paperbased (1)** 103:14  
**paralleling (1)** 145:14  
**paramedic (2)** 13:16 24:10  
**paramedics (4)** 12:23 16:3  
 70:21 72:17  
**parents (2)** 88:1 101:9  
**parke (14)** 8:16 9:3,8  
 22:6,7,11,12,19,24  
 23:1,5,7,16,17  
**parked (4)** 12:3 13:2,3 15:23  
**parliament (1)** 50:8  
**parole (4)** 3:23 91:19 92:4  
 140:1  
**part (35)** 2:9 10:21 24:10  
 33:9,10 42:10,13 44:24  
 45:10 46:12 86:21,25  
 108:1,25 110:15 111:22  
 122:13 123:25 124:5,25  
 139:21 141:6 145:11  
 151:19 153:1 154:18  
 156:16 168:1 170:5,17  
 172:10 178:5 179:5,22  
 180:13  
**parted (1)** 109:19  
**participant (1)** 140:13  
**participants (2)** 48:23 135:11  
**participate (6)** 55:7 57:6  
 115:6 124:4 162:15 172:18  
**participated (3)** 42:15 96:20  
 119:24  
**participating (2)** 48:22 57:18  
**participation (1)** 175:10  
**particular (7)** 1:11 54:4  
 76:20,21 77:14 104:9  
 159:1  
**particularly (7)** 28:18 30:7  
 43:15 62:9 116:8,20  
 123:14  
**parties (3)** 98:7 115:23  
 168:22  
**partly (2)** 95:25,25  
**partner (1)** 110:24  
**parts (3)** 104:11 120:6  
 135:12  
**parttime (3)** 5:13 41:17  
 61:19  
**pass (1)** 117:12  
**passed (8)** 6:14 9:19 34:14  
 68:16 87:7 103:18 141:13  
 157:25  
**passes (1)** 86:23  
**passing (3)** 65:19 67:13  
 175:13  
**passion (2)** 128:22 166:11  
**past (4)** 32:16 35:18 36:5  
 54:13  
**pastoral (1)** 42:9  
**path (3)** 3:10 114:2 163:24  
**pathfinder (6)** 104:7,8  
 117:15,17 160:1 161:14  
**pathologist (2)** 64:13,16  
**pathways (1)** 174:16  
**patient (5)** 14:16,16  
 70:11,17 169:15  
**patients (2)** 13:25 14:25  
**patrolling (1)** 86:23  
**pattern (3)** 111:25 162:7  
 188:3  
**paul (1)** 42:6  
**pause (4)** 52:18 152:15  
 153:24 187:22  
**paused (2)** 7:17 152:13  
**pay (1)** 43:25

**pc (53)** 8:15,16,21,25  
 9:3,8,8,10,17,18,20,25  
 10:11,14,18,19 11:19,25  
 12:5 14:11,11 15:19,24  
 16:7,8,18  
 22:6,6,6,7,8,9,11,12,12,15,18,19,21,2  
 23:1,2,3,5,7,9,15,16,17,19  
 24:2,8,18  
**pectoral (1)** 67:22  
**peer (1)** 48:15  
**penal (1)** 56:4  
**penetrated (6)** 65:3,17,25  
 68:19 70:14 71:17  
**penetrates (1)** 69:9  
**penetration (2)** 65:6 67:16  
**peninsula (1)** 90:17  
**penology (1)** 122:14  
**pensions (1)** 125:20  
**pentonville (1)** 17:23

49:17 88:23 101:11  
 picking (1) 56:1  
 picture (2) 44:15 111:16  
 piece (5) 33:20 78:2 103:23  
 127:21 139:17  
 pieces (2) 108:3 110:11  
 pike (7) 8:12  
 28:6,9,14,15,19,25  
 pillars (2) 20:12 32:13  
 pin (2) 104:14,15  
 pipe (1) 90:23  
 pipeline (1) 31:16  
 pitchers (3) 25:20 49:17 51:8  
 place (21) 40:3 52:25 57:24  
 58:13 60:7 76:13 77:9 78:3  
 82:6 84:1,12,14,25 85:4,6  
 87:5,23 104:7 123:4  
 136:11 140:19  
 placed (5) 52:18 54:5 55:11  
 108:13 174:2  
 places (3) 149:22 150:1  
 167:2  
 plainly (1) 65:23  
 plainsters (1) 86:15  
 plan (12) 13:24 25:24  
 131:7,8 135:11 137:4  
 157:2 165:24 166:2 171:12  
 175:6,10  
 planned (3) 93:13 140:24  
 170:6  
 planning (7) 61:12 91:7  
 93:1,3 119:16 131:25  
 132:9  
 plans (7) 91:13 93:6 113:8  
 114:5 137:10 165:23  
 187:18  
 plato (1) 11:9  
 play (1) 6:2  
 played (2) 59:13 121:4  
 playing (2) 102:3 170:13  
 plea (2) 91:9 162:13  
 pleaded (2) 91:5 100:14  
 pleasant (3) 96:22 161:12  
 162:18  
 please (2) 5:23 20:17  
 pleased (2) 154:25 176:15  
 plexus (1) 65:1  
 plot (1) 107:24  
 plus (2) 160:6,11  
 pm (10) 11:1 80:23,25  
 102:10 109:11,13 154:3,5  
 181:2 188:12  
 pneumothorax (1) 16:23  
 poem (2) 63:11 107:12  
 pointed (2) 7:15 40:2  
 points (1) 3:3  
 police (99) 2:16 4:3 5:10 6:4  
 8:1,5,12,17,17 10:21  
 11:19,21 12:10 14:2  
 15:15,19,22 17:20  
 18:10,25 19:2,3 20:4 21:16  
 22:7,8,9 24:20 28:3  
 29:2,2,9 31:18 32:23,25  
 34:5,6,25,25  
 37:1,6,8,9,10,16,25 39:18  
 40:2,6,13,20,22,24 52:15  
 55:13 59:17 63:16 72:3  
 73:5 77:12 79:17 85:7,23  
 86:1,12,13 87:7,8,10,22  
 88:3,23 89:1,6 96:9 97:21  
 98:18 99:9,10,18 104:12  
 128:22 134:21 135:17  
 137:23 141:4 143:10  
 148:5,7,9,14 151:21,21  
 158:1 175:19 181:7,11,14  
 184:17  
 policies (1) 53:8  
 policy (6) 46:2 48:1 56:5  
 74:10 77:10,21  
 polite (1) 166:10  
 politely (1) 164:11  
 political (2) 146:6,14  
 politically (2) 146:1,8  
 poor (1) 119:9  
 population (5) 114:19  
 121:20,22,23 149:23

porter (4) 5:9 27:19,21 85:13  
 pose (1) 117:6  
 posed (2) 118:3 179:9  
 posing (1) 157:10  
 position (5) 6:12 51:22  
 119:12 145:13 151:4  
 positive (35) 44:4 107:6  
 119:10 120:14 124:16  
 127:5 132:4,12 133:14  
 137:8 142:13 154:24 156:7  
 158:3,15 159:4 162:17,19  
 166:6 169:18,19,20  
 170:21,23 175:4 176:9  
 178:21 179:17,20,22  
 180:11 183:11 184:10,20  
 185:14  
 positively (4) 173:15 180:18  
 183:12 184:22  
 possesses (1) 152:20  
 possession (1) 107:14  
 possibilities (1) 123:3  
 possibility (6) 50:4 79:11  
 80:21 121:1 128:6 182:23  
 possible (10) 15:2,13 23:15  
 72:10 73:22 88:9 135:24  
 162:17 182:13 184:23  
 possibly (6) 11:25 73:11  
 105:9 123:24 145:4 163:7  
 post (7) 64:16 66:21 73:15  
 74:4 95:1 104:22 156:21  
 posted (2) 51:4 63:12  
 postpone (1) 96:25  
 postrelease (4) 115:21  
 116:11 123:8 174:9  
 posts (1) 85:23  
 postsentence (2) 109:5  
 131:6  
 posturing (1) 68:10  
 potential (8) 14:24 54:23  
 80:21 83:19 114:18 124:15  
 151:12 163:16  
 potentially (6) 106:24,25  
 142:13 150:14 153:7  
 163:21  
 poundstretcher (1) 94:18  
 powder (2) 4:23 5:6  
 power (1) 83:5  
 practicable (1) 78:18  
 practical (6) 41:14 46:2  
 141:7 166:15 167:17 169:2  
 practically (1) 72:12  
 practice (2) 50:10 53:25  
 practices (1) 51:15  
 practising (1) 181:16  
 practitioners (1) 130:11  
 praying (1) 98:23  
 preaching (6) 89:3 90:12  
 99:2,9 100:2,22  
 prebook (1) 118:5  
 preceding (1) 60:1  
 precontemplation (1) 163:7  
 predatory (1) 106:24  
 preferred (1) 61:1  
 prehospital (1) 69:20  
 premises (16) 141:8 143:16  
 154:24 158:4 165:17,22  
 166:2,7 167:4,5,10  
 174:24,25 175:22 176:4  
 181:4  
 preparation (8) 87:21 91:1,7  
 93:1,3 94:5,24 163:7  
 preparations (3) 96:17  
 114:21 155:6  
 preparatory (1) 90:14  
 prepare (1) 169:6  
 prepared (16) 11:16 23:5  
 47:13 52:19 53:6 77:23  
 79:15 105:22 112:3 119:18  
 130:19 132:1 157:8 164:22  
 165:23 178:24  
 preparing (3) 48:12,13  
 160:19  
 presence (7) 1:3 31:18 55:24  
 79:18 109:17 143:10 154:6  
 present (4) 55:3 105:18  
 133:4 149:25

presentation (2) 161:8  
 180:11  
 presented (9) 44:13 124:14  
 143:16 156:7 172:8 175:13  
 179:8 185:24 187:5  
 presenting (1) 164:23  
 press (2) 89:2,7  
 pressed (2) 23:21 102:16  
 pressure (13) 6:14 8:11,13  
 21:7,13 22:22 23:25 24:6  
 30:13 66:14 70:8,15 71:24  
 presumption (3) 84:9,17,24  
 pret (1) 22:13  
 pretending (1) 108:15  
 prevent (13) 44:22,24  
 56:8,9,11,14 57:17 63:25  
 64:2,7,9 86:22 181:7  
 preventing (1) 17:1  
 previous (16) 49:9 111:13  
 114:8 119:9 122:11  
 143:6,21 144:14 145:19  
 148:15 160:23 169:5,8,12  
 177:25 187:10  
 pride (1) 171:2  
 prime (2) 76:22 77:2  
 prince (1) 77:11  
 principally (3) 49:11 50:6  
 52:22  
 principle (3) 128:4 158:12  
 165:13  
 principled (1) 55:1  
 printed (1) 63:12  
 prior (7) 58:13 94:6,23  
 139:20 146:22 147:24  
 179:25  
 priority (1) 186:9  
 prised (1) 37:4  
 prison (109) 17:20,23 20:22  
 38:10,12,15,17,21  
 42:1,4,6,15 44:2,4,7 45:13  
 46:4,15,17,20,24 48:9 49:7  
 50:7 51:1 52:23 53:13  
 57:15 59:8 60:4,22 61:12  
 62:9,10,16,23,24 81:15,18  
 88:11 89:13  
 92:13,18,20,22  
 100:8,19,20 101:2,3  
 103:20,24 104:1,11  
 105:1,14,15,21 106:3  
 109:2 113:5,12,16,21  
 114:10,16 115:12 117:2  
 121:25 122:1,7,9,12 123:9  
 124:9 125:4 126:3,6  
 131:11,12 139:9 142:6  
 145:14 154:10,17,22  
 155:4,20 156:9,12 157:6,9  
 159:13,14,21 161:15,16  
 162:1,15 163:2 167:14  
 169:18 172:20 173:13,23  
 174:3 177:19 178:8,24  
 prisonbased (7) 45:12,20  
 46:11 47:14 81:12 131:16  
 173:3  
 prisoner (26) 20:7,25 32:7  
 33:11 45:5 80:12 87:3  
 92:14 103:15,23 104:4,17  
 106:1,6 107:20,25  
 108:4,12 114:23 120:16  
 125:3,4,7 139:8 156:2  
 181:5  
 prisoners (33) 31:4 38:7 42:9  
 44:4,8,19 45:10 46:18 47:8  
 53:8 87:4 92:18  
 103:10,11,12 104:5,10,14  
 105:18 106:21 108:7 114:9  
 117:6,14,22 119:3,11  
 120:7 134:3 155:25 160:9  
 173:18 179:4  
 prisons (16) 38:13 45:9 46:3  
 56:4,7,8 100:23 105:11  
 108:5 123:12 125:21 128:6  
 172:13,18,19,21  
 private (1) 59:13  
 privileged (1) 104:21  
 privy (1) 44:2  
 proal (1) 155:25

probable (1) 71:2  
 probably (11) 31:3 76:21  
 109:7,24,25 110:1,3 115:6  
 123:10 161:4 177:8  
 probatation (33) 49:12 55:13  
 58:4,15 59:14 60:22 88:12  
 92:24 116:12 129:10,14  
 130:9,10,15,16 131:17  
 133:24 134:21 136:24  
 138:8,25 140:5 154:17  
 156:9,14,15 159:15  
 165:16,18 166:20 171:25  
 174:24 186:14  
 problem (4) 72:18 148:1  
 186:17 187:15  
 problematic (1) 145:15  
 problems (2) 139:13 179:13  
 procedure (3) 84:5,11 140:14  
 procedures (5) 31:10 46:5  
 53:13 78:20 114:20  
 proceeded (1) 105:16  
 process (18) 50:23 60:24  
 78:6 97:8 105:19 110:15  
 111:15,22,23 112:19  
 114:13,22 119:24 120:8,11  
 131:10 144:20 153:22  
 processes (1) 60:6  
 produce (3) 63:10 87:12  
 136:6  
 produced (7) 78:9 87:14  
 90:16 114:10 144:2  
 178:7,25  
 produces (1) 66:12  
 productive (1) 63:8  
 products (1) 95:10  
 professional (4) 53:25 148:8  
 160:8 172:25  
 professionals (3) 144:23  
 149:13 153:11  
 professor (4) 64:13 69:18,19  
 172:10  
 profile (8) 76:21 85:11 87:11  
 112:23 122:21 124:9  
 149:16 161:17  
 profound (1) 2:4  
 profuse (1) 70:3  
 profusely (1) 71:20  
 programme (23) 30:17 42:21  
 44:6 54:17 56:19,22  
 57:14,18 80:25 96:21  
 108:20 116:2 117:20,22,22  
 122:6,16 125:3 128:19  
 138:11 154:14 157:3  
 176:11  
 programmes (2) 55:5 154:11  
 progress (16) 110:7 118:20  
 119:15 120:8,15,17 132:4  
 133:6 134:23 137:19  
 143:18 145:16 161:2,13,15  
 176:7  
 progressing (2) 101:4 112:25  
 progression (1) 117:7  
 progressively (1) 66:15  
 project (2) 78:4 86:25  
 prolific (2) 150:1 151:6  
 prolonged (1) 24:24  
 prominent (2) 88:21 143:1  
 promoted (1) 129:14  
 promoting (4) 89:16 108:17  
 119:4 171:3  
 prompted (1) 49:10  
 pronounced (1) 17:6  
 propaganda (1) 130:24  
 proper (1) 82:5  
 properly (1) 17:2  
 property (4) 41:6 78:12 80:8  
 91:2  
 proportion (1) 74:25  
 proportionate (1) 140:10  
 proposal (1) 81:1  
 proposals (1) 53:7  
 proposed (5) 78:6 114:11  
 157:1 178:19 182:17  
 proposition (1) 150:6  
 proscribed (1) 89:4  
 prosecution (1) 89:23

prosecutions (1) 160:22  
 prosocial (1) 111:10  
 prospect (2) 92:16 159:7  
 prospects (1) 184:9  
 prosper (1) 127:8  
 protect (3) 5:25 7:20 36:18  
 protecting (4) 136:14  
 150:10,19 186:1  
 protection (2) 91:16 105:17  
 protections (1) 47:25  
 protective (6) 13:21 85:24  
 137:8 147:2 163:16 180:10  
 protest (1) 107:12  
 protocol (1) 31:14  
 protocols (2) 52:21,24  
 proud (1) 176:12  
 proven (1) 105:15  
 provide (11) 1:8 42:8 114:6  
 130:11 131:13 138:9  
 156:17 157:6 166:22  
 182:14 184:3  
 provided (22) 14:9 21:17  
 58:24 59:19 60:2,18 72:12  
 73:4 75:6 86:25 116:12  
 133:16 141:5,7 148:14  
 157:14 165:10 166:25  
 169:10 170:11 185:10  
 186:14  
 provides (2) 46:2 61:5  
 providing (5) 12:21 21:21  
 24:14 30:20 172:25  
 provision (2) 53:24 157:2  
 ps (30) 8:15,15  
 9:1,2,6,7,8,15,18,20,24  
 10:2,7,14,14 12:17 14:11  
 16:15 63:5 147:15,18  
 175:20 179:16 181:6  
 183:20,20 184:2,21,21  
 187:15  
 pso (1) 165:19  
 psych (1) 30:8  
 psychological (2) 46:9 174:4  
 psychologist (3)  
 159:20,21,23  
 psychology (2) 57:5 159:24  
 pubic (2) 94:25 95:2  
 public (22) 2:13 75:1 91:15  
 92:15 99:2 103:21  
 105:17,19 124:5 131:5  
 135:9 136:14 149:10,23  
 150:10,19,24,25 166:1  
 179:10 185:1 186:1  
 pugsley (4) 13:15,21 14:15  
 17:7  
 pull (1) 29:1  
 pulled (5) 28:15 33:22 36:2,6  
 37:22  
 pulse (7) 10:4 21:23  
 23:9,15,17,22 25:21  
 pumping (1) 23:1  
 punching (1) 36:17  
 punish (1) 42:18  
 punis (1) 23:18  
 purchase (2) 93:11,25  
 purchased (5) 93:7,15  
 94:9,17 95:4  
 purchases (1) 93:23  
 purchasing (1) 75:23  
 purpose (3) 133:22 154:14  
 187:19  
 purposeful (3) 66:14 68:22  
 69:4  
 purposes (2) 87:24 111:4  
 pursuing (2) 131:2 167:15  
 push (2) 5:1 34:1  
 pushed (1) 102:18  
 pushing (1) 171:23  
 putting (7) 13:10,21 16:20  
 84:14 106:23 107:2 162:22  
 pvc (1) 96:10

quality (3) 57:1 141:15  
 153:18  
 quarters (1) 2:17  
 question (14) 54:12 115:25  
 138:4 145:18 149:8,12,15  
 150:2,16 151:10  
 152:3,15,22 153:10  
 questioning (2) 25:20 161:10  
 questionnaire (1) 1:17  
 questions (12) 58:7 61:24  
 76:13 79:23 81:21 82:7  
 85:4 143:22 149:4,5  
 153:20 161:11  
 quick (1) 14:17  
 quickly (6) 6:15 14:8 26:10  
 71:1 113:25 168:16  
 quiet (1) 113:14  
 quote (22) 6:15 7:11 10:5,6  
 42:18 46:25 63:1,8 70:17  
 99:16 113:24 120:16  
 146:10 148:19 154:24  
 162:3,17,23 163:4 164:3  
 168:15 176:21

---

R

---

racial (1) 88:4  
 radical (1) 90:12  
 radicalisation (11) 44:21  
 51:3 56:6,17 57:14 89:16  
 108:5 154:12 156:11  
 174:13,16  
 radicalise (3) 44:8 110:21  
 134:2  
 radicalised (2) 130:23 155:20  
 radicalising (10) 47:8 100:22  
 118:12 120:7 121:11 155:10  
 178:1 179:5 180:2,17  
 radio (1) 11:1  
 raided (1) 99:17  
 raids (1) 89:20  
 raise (4) 113:18 115:2  
 117:18 140:25  
 raised (20) 30:6 35:24 58:7  
 68:12 112:4 148:3  
 158:12,21,25 159:12  
 163:14 168:24 177:25  
 182:15,22,24 183:8,22  
 186:21 187:2  
 raising (4) 127:18 186:18,25  
 187:3  
 ramadan (1) 175:24  
 ran (20) 5:6,6 7:20 8:2 9:1,9  
 12:4 18:15 20:3 27:23 28:1  
 33:16,17 35:19 36:5 37:3  
 105:25 106:18 115:5  
 169:23  
 random (3) 83:19 86:24  
 100:13  
 range (2) 153:19,20  
 ranking (1) 31:12  
 ransom (11) 7:17,24 8:4  
 27:1,7,11,15 29:6,8,9,21  
 ransoms (1) 70:6  
 rapid (2) 7:13 71:24  
 rapidly (3) 15:13 70:17 71:5  
 rapport (2) 167:22 168:6  
 rare (3) 66:6 76:24 181:6  
 rasping (1) 10:10  
 rather (8) 2:11 42:18 51:5  
 62:16 110:4 146:6 149:6  
 171:14  
 rating (4) 133:18 135:1  
 166:2 182:18  
 rationale (1) 135:9  
 razor (1) 107:21  
 razors (1) 94:22  
 reached (2) 36:12 140:21  
 reaching (1) 40:10  
 reacted (2) 10:2 171:16  
 reacting (2) 18:7 23:18  
 reaction (1) 100:4  
 read (17) 47:17 48:10 51:4  
 68:24 96:19 104:22 108:10  
 120:8 127:22 138:23,25  
 139:6 145:24 149:5 155:9  
 161:1 172:6

readily (1) 158:25  
 reading (5) 24:1 81:10 139:4  
 169:18 174:14  
 ready (2) 13:11 55:15  
 real (6) 41:14 99:24 121:8  
 128:22 168:21 180:19  
 realised (3) 10:4 40:14  
 168:16  
 realistic (2) 71:2 73:3  
 realistically (1) 72:10  
 reality (1) 120:19  
 really (16) 50:24 54:7,23  
 62:7 71:1 72:20 98:9  
 102:16 113:15 124:22  
 158:3 161:24 166:6,6  
 168:1 181:16  
 reason (6) 1:15 33:3 49:24  
 59:11 111:1 180:5  
 reasonably (3) 49:5 78:17  
 118:13  
 reasons (2) 125:10 170:4  
 reassured (2) 142:10 164:12  
 rebuild (1) 109:4  
 recall (26) 7:22 30:7 39:9  
 41:5 59:1 61:23 73:14  
 97:24 98:5 116:20  
 134:6,19,24 137:9,20  
 139:4,17 140:25 147:19  
 150:11 158:8,10,17,19  
 180:18 183:12  
 recalled (17) 6:23 18:19,23  
 35:10 37:25 40:12,22  
 63:16 98:2 113:1 122:19  
 140:19,20 143:5 147:14,16  
 180:16  
 recalling (2) 149:2 150:14  
 recalls (15) 13:21,24 14:15  
 18:6 32:14 34:1,11  
 36:8,21,24 40:6,16 63:4  
 116:19 139:12  
 recategorise (1) 135:4  
 receive (2) 45:25 141:14  
 received (21) 7:7 8:18  
 11:8,22,24 14:19 16:4  
 18:20 77:19 128:14 138:17  
 139:3,19 141:12 154:20  
 160:24 173:14 176:5,16  
 181:24 183:2  
 receiving (5) 4:13 6:4 12:19  
 22:16 168:13  
 recently (4) 49:7 96:15  
 103:2 116:1  
 reception (14) 4:23 5:14,19  
 6:6 7:19,25 8:3 9:18  
 27:16,17 29:7 33:5 35:2  
 176:16  
 receptionist (2) 6:7 75:11  
 recipe (1) 90:23  
 recited (1) 107:12  
 recognised (2) 54:6 153:22  
 recognising (1) 120:17  
 recollection (6) 122:2 123:22  
 147:13,21 158:15 187:20  
 recommendation (3) 84:1  
 119:22 130:21  
 recommendations (5) 61:16  
 83:7,17,20 114:16  
 recommended (2) 83:8  
 121:14  
 record (16) 21:16 64:6  
 103:16 104:4 107:10  
 110:13 111:5 117:12  
 124:11 125:2 130:5 132:6  
 134:1 135:7 182:6 187:3  
 recorded (22) 2:7 43:4,16  
 59:2 104:15,18 105:5  
 118:13,25 124:10 131:14  
 132:9 140:15 156:2,4  
 162:16 165:24 167:14  
 171:1 181:19 185:4,15  
 recording (1) 124:24  
 records (10) 52:20 64:1  
 92:22 104:1 107

<p><b>recovery (2)</b> 15:4 22:4  <b>recruited (1)</b> 154:13  <b>red (1)</b> 93:16  <b>reduce (5)</b> 78:16 108:24  117:5 149:20 163:2  <b>reduced (3)</b> 118:8 134:16  150:18  <b>reduces (1)</b> 70:9  <b>reducing (1)</b> 122:25  <b>reduction (7)</b> 134:20  140:20,22 141:1 165:2,5  182:19  <b>reeducating (1)</b> 128:5  <b>reentered (1)</b> 7:25  <b>refer (5)</b> 147:9 171:1 183:4  186:15 187:17  <b>reference (6)</b> 107:19 134:13  137:13 144:10 152:13  183:25  <b>references (2)</b> 45:16 145:19  <b>referral (1)</b> 179:24  <b>referred (6)</b> 62:13 90:11,24  112:12 117:20 156:25  <b>referring (2)</b> 23:7 81:6  <b>refers (2)</b> 92:16 157:10  <b>reflect (3)</b> 178:15 179:4  183:15  <b>reflected (4)</b> 53:21 186:20  187:1,4  <b>reflecting (1)</b> 182:7  <b>reflection (4)</b> 49:11 52:19  53:8 164:4  <b>reflective (1)</b> 53:25  <b>reflects (1)</b> 187:20  <b>reflex (1)</b> 68:11  <b>reform (3)</b> 17:21 125:23  174:17  <b>reformed (2)</b> 126:18 133:2  <b>refused (5)</b> 37:16 115:8  143:6 157:23 161:18  <b>refusing (1)</b> 178:1  <b>regard (1)</b> 172:14  <b>regarded (6)</b> 82:23 111:4  121:8 125:6 179:21 180:10  <b>regarding (5)</b> 1:13 51:16  87:3 139:12 184:24  <b>regardless (1)</b> 121:9  <b>regards (1)</b> 185:8  <b>region (2)</b> 15:8 65:18  <b>register (9)</b> 75:25 76:2  78:3,8 79:14,14 80:1,9  83:2  <b>registered (1)</b> 159:19  <b>registrant (1)</b> 13:14  <b>regrading (1)</b> 121:15  <b>regret (1)</b> 124:25  <b>regular (7)</b> 103:10 107:4  134:22 138:13 175:9,19  184:20  <b>regularly (2)</b> 136:17 176:22  <b>regulation (1)</b> 79:1  <b>rehabilitation (7)</b> 2:6 45:6  53:11 80:12 87:4 125:23  128:7  <b>reined (1)</b> 125:15  <b>reinforced (1)</b> 180:20  <b>reintegration (2)</b> 136:15  142:20  <b>reiterated (1)</b> 98:8  <b>reject (1)</b> 155:19  <b>rejected (1)</b> 38:24  <b>related (8)</b> 17:14 90:5  121:13 125:10 134:4  152:24 162:18 173:25  <b>relating (5)</b> 61:9 111:14  119:8 135:3 172:16  <b>relation (13)</b> 54:12 56:4  58:7,23 103:4 122:2 129:3  138:6 139:23 145:15  148:25 151:18 182:20  <b>relationship (5)</b> 89:10  141:3,4 178:21 184:21  <b>relationships (4)</b> 45:11,15,18  56:25  <b>relatively (3)</b> 107:5 130:14  135:16</p>	<p><b>relaxed (1)</b> 113:17  <b>relaying (1)</b> 52:12  <b>release (35)</b> 16:23,24 44:9  57:25 62:1,23 88:11 91:20  92:3 102:17 105:21 110:23  111:7 112:14 116:16  121:5,8 123:16  124:16,19,21 132:15  134:11 140:1 162:9  167:7,8,16 173:3 178:20  179:6,25 180:5,16,25  <b>released (24)</b> 44:10 60:4  61:3 81:5,15,24 91:25  92:12,20 101:5 116:1  120:22 121:9 123:12,13  131:4 133:19 134:5 139:7  156:22 161:19 180:6 181:4  182:2  <b>relevant (9)</b> 11:24 50:18  57:17 58:15 61:7 93:23  123:14 160:11,13  <b>reliable (2)</b> 23:20 44:14  <b>reliant (1)</b> 58:14  <b>relief (5)</b> 46:20 82:4,8 101:3  102:19  <b>relieve (1)</b> 19:7  <b>relieving (1)</b> 23:24  <b>religion (6)</b> 88:15,18  113:22,23 146:15 155:18  <b>religious (5)</b> 110:17 130:22  146:9 155:7,16  <b>reluctant (1)</b> 29:6  <b>relying (2)</b> 44:13 49:12  <b>remain (3)</b> 105:12 109:1  180:20  <b>remainder (1)</b> 92:2  <b>remained (10)</b> 91:4 104:25  111:23 131:20,24 132:20  149:8 179:9,19 182:5  <b>remaining (2)</b> 123:13 133:8  <b>remanded (1)</b> 91:3  <b>remarkable (2)</b> 2:10 51:25  <b>remarks (1)</b> 90:10  <b>remembered (2)</b> 30:5 128:7  <b>remind (2)</b> 127:21 172:9  <b>remit (2)</b> 115:22 130:4  <b>remorse (1)</b> 43:16  <b>remorseful (1)</b> 100:12  <b>removal (1)</b> 70:4  <b>removes (5)</b> 10:1 24:3 37:16  39:25 57:17  <b>removed (6)</b> 9:23 24:4  25:3,5 51:12 181:2  <b>removing (2)</b> 23:24 94:25  <b>render (3)</b> 7:23 11:15 65:14  <b>rendered (1)</b> 84:23  <b>rendezvous (1)</b> 13:18  <b>renewed (1)</b> 142:1  <b>reoffended (1)</b> 61:4  <b>reoffending (3)</b> 122:25  146:19 185:21  <b>reopened (2)</b> 26:19 29:12  <b>repair (1)</b> 42:23  <b>repeated (2)</b> 12:1 73:24  <b>replied (5)</b> 32:15,24 145:24  147:5 170:8  <b>reply (4)</b> 27:13 82:13 144:13  186:16  <b>report (49)</b> 52:19,20 53:2  78:8,9 83:7 84:4 111:2  112:9 119:18,25 120:8,10  130:19,20 131:7,20  134:13,17 136:8 141:19  144:8 145:19 157:8,10  160:2,24 161:13 162:6  163:22,25 164:2,10,15  165:10,13 166:22  168:9,18,22,23 169:21  170:16,18 178:6,8,12  179:15 185:14  <b>reported (9)</b> 11:11 13:7  41:20 168:15 175:23 176:7  180:3 181:21 182:12  <b>reporting (3)</b> 44:7 108:3  110:16  <b>reports (22)</b> 89:2 92:21</p>	<p>103:16,20 108:9 117:8  120:6 131:19 132:12  135:23 138:23 141:12,13  155:9 157:13 160:24  162:16 169:11 170:24,25  178:25 179:17  <b>representations (1)</b> 168:11  <b>represented (1)</b> 149:9  <b>reputation (2)</b> 89:18,19  <b>reputational (1)</b> 78:5  <b>reputations (1)</b> 106:16  <b>request (3)</b> 59:2 76:5 77:12  <b>requested (1)</b> 134:10  <b>require (3)</b> 77:2 104:13  187:13  <b>required (8)</b> 48:5 83:12 84:3  85:11 103:22 140:14  142:18 144:5  <b>requirement (3)</b> 47:16 181:2  187:9  <b>requirements (4)</b> 76:10,14  81:25 87:17  <b>requiring (1)</b> 77:14  <b>rescue (1)</b> 23:4  <b>research (14)</b> 2:7 5:14 45:17  52:24,25 56:4,18,21 57:1  58:18,22 173:11 174:19  185:6  <b>researchers (1)</b> 56:24  <b>researching (1)</b> 174:19  <b>residency (1)</b> 148:17  <b>resident (3)</b> 46:12 175:14  176:9  <b>residents (2)</b> 175:7,18  <b>residing (1)</b> 175:2  <b>resigned (2)</b> 168:25 169:5  <b>resistance (1)</b> 134:25  <b>resistant (1)</b> 66:5  <b>resources (1)</b> 152:21  <b>respect (1)</b> 39:4  <b>respectful (1)</b> 124:4  <b>respectively (1)</b> 3:4  <b>respond (2)</b> 1:18 19:13  <b>responded (7)</b> 2:16 32:18,22  52:14 111:24,25 127:13  <b>responding (1)</b> 78:21  <b>response (9)</b> 13:17 24:11  25:20 38:1 39:8 51:6 53:6  144:21 168:13  <b>responses (1)</b> 77:20  <b>responsibilities (1)</b> 53:21  <b>responsibility (7)</b> 74:22  84:13 121:24 125:20  145:12 161:18 164:22  <b>responsible (6)</b> 74:14,24  138:21 172:15 179:8  186:12  <b>responsive (1)</b> 52:13  <b>rest (1)</b> 46:19  <b>restorative (2)</b> 42:13 43:20  <b>restrict (1)</b> 148:21  <b>restrictions (6)</b> 41:13 50:15  62:11 105:4 136:3,19  <b>result (3)</b> 62:5 68:1 107:7  <b>resulted (3)</b> 51:18 71:6 142:1  <b>results (1)</b> 65:12  <b>resume (1)</b> 61:17  <b>resumption (1)</b> 72:6  <b>resuscitate (1)</b> 15:12  <b>resuscitation (2)</b> 17:5 69:20  <b>retain (2)</b> 83:5,23  <b>retained (1)</b> 179:14  <b>retired (2)</b> 3:22,23  <b>retribution (1)</b> 108:18  <b>retrieve (1)</b> 12:20  <b>retrospect (1)</b> 159:7  <b>return (6)</b> 44:10 110:22  112:9 121:12 134:4 177:5  <b>returned (5)</b> 4:22 17:24 18:1  19:5 29:19  <b>returning (4)</b> 17:10 92:7  93:10 139:18  <b>reveal (1)</b> 166:10  <b>revealed (3)</b> 90:21 93:2 95:2  <b>reverend (8)</b> 42:6,11,24  43:4,16,22 44:2,11</p>	<p><b>reverse (2)</b> 15:10 25:25  <b>reversing (1)</b> 15:7  <b>review (17)</b> 64:12 78:6,7  80:5 82:21 83:1,1 91:18  104:23 108:2 118:15 119:5  120:20 131:25 132:2  164:25 178:5  <b>reviewed (5)</b> 103:25 104:25  136:17 160:21 163:25  <b>reviews (1)</b> 160:23  <b>revised (3)</b> 79:14 133:16  179:1  <b>rhetoric (1)</b> 155:13  <b>rib (4)</b> 67:23 68:16 69:8,11  <b>ribs (1)</b> 69:9  <b>righthand (2)</b> 20:13 26:16  <b>rise (1)</b> 44:1  <b>ripple (6)</b> 54:4,19 55:20 109:10  154:2 188:11  <b>risk (177)</b> 11:16 23:24 35:6  41:16 47:13,18,18,24  48:6,7,8,13,17 49:12 50:10  53:1,3,12 56:16  57:13,16,21 58:10  60:5,8,12,21,23 61:2,15  75:25 76:1,2,20 77:1,23  78:3,6,6,8,9,12,13,14  79:3,6,14,15,15 80:1,2,2,4  82:9,11,18 83:1,25  84:7,8,10,11 85:1  92:15,16,17 103:8  104:17,20 105:2,11,18  106:6,10,12,13 108:7  114:22 117:5,7 118:2  119:15,23 120:24 121:8  123:25 125:2,8,11  129:12,17 130:23  131:2,3,20 132:22 133:18  134:16,16,17  135:1,4,5,7,8,8,11  136:16,23 139:8,16  140:10,18,20 142:19,25  146:19 147:23 148:13,23  149:9,15,16,20,20,25  150:12,16,18,22,24,25  151:1,2,3,11 153:9,9,14,16  157:10,12 158:22 159:5  160:14 161:10,16,16  162:8,18 163:2,4,12,15  165:2,5,24 166:4 175:5,12  178:2,3,4 179:3,9,10,18  181:5 182:5,18 183:24  184:13,14 185:3,15 186:8  <b>risks (49)</b> 44:14 48:20,23  20:11,14  21:4,7,9,10,15,20,21,22  22:16,20 23:4 24:12,15  25:7,15,24 26:2,13  32:12,16 33:18  52:6,7,8,12,16 60:17  64:17,18 65:14,16 66:9,17  69:21,25 70:24 71:4,10,23  97:13 116:24 127:23,25  128:2,3,7,9,11,14,16,18,21,24  129:1,8  <b>saskias (5)</b> 2:2 19:25  23:14,18 127:24  <b>sat (4)</b> 3:7 20:17 21:6 116:23  35:22 37:3  <b>satisfied (1)</b> 49:4  <b>satisfy (1)</b> 139:25  <b>save (6)</b> 11:18 25:19 49:4  50:7 77:8 128:19  <b>saved (2)</b> 2:19 71:9  <b>saw (74)</b> 3:25 4:1,5,8,10,11  5:5,8,16,21 6:10,17,21  7:13,24 8:1,7,8,11 9:15,21  12:18 16:1,2 17:16  18:5,11,14,24  19:2,13,22,24 20:1,11 21:3  22:16 26:18 28:4,25  29:1,16,18 30:2,18 32:6  33:18 34:4 35:8,25 37:2,5  39:16,19,23,25 40:3,10  42:25 52:6,6 60:16 101:21  109:3 126:12 145:11  162:2,3 166:13 167:9  <b>root (2)</b> 64:24 154:19  <b>rooted (2)</b> 155:7 179:2  <b>roots (1)</b> 130:22</p>	<p><b>round (1)</b> 78:7  <b>route (1)</b> 11:24  <b>routine (3)</b> 46:8 79:23  101:24  <b>rowbotham (17)</b> 5:12 8:8,10  9:15,20 14:9,17 15:11,14  17:7,10 24:12 26:4,18,22  33:6 64:3  <b>royals (2)</b> 31:13,19  <b>rubdown (1)</b> 105:9  <b>rudeness (1)</b> 171:17  <b>ruined (1)</b> 89:19  <b>rules (3)</b> 46:1 104:18 105:14  <b>rummaging (1)</b> 19:12  <b>run (2)</b> 6:21 77:20  <b>running (11)</b> 28:3 30:1 33:2  35:12,17,23 36:16 38:17  45:9 76:17 122:18  <b>ruskin (1)</b> 5:12  <b>ruth (1)</b> 30:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>S</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>sadek (11)</b> 13:16 15:15  16:17 25:10,11,14,21,24  26:3,9,21  <b>sadness (1)</b> 173:25  <b>safe (13)</b> 2:15 11:15 40:5  46:13 47:5 49:5 50:14  54:25 76:16 77:5 80:8  91:19 159:2  <b>safeguarding (1)</b> 48:1  <b>safeguards (3)</b> 56:23 57:23  123:15  <b>safety (1)</b> 26:10  <b>safety (8)</b> 24:15 45:1 50:17  74:21,24 77:21 79:1  164:14  <b>same (12)</b> 17:17 54:21 73:20  77:18 79:9 80:2 101:23  102:6 105:1 155:13 170:1  188:3  <b>sampford (3)</b> 15:19,24 16:8  <b>samuels (7)</b> 2:22 3:22  4:5,10,14 20:9 32:9  <b>samy (1)</b> 13:16  <b>sandra (1)</b> 19:5  <b>santos (3)</b> 27:25 28:2 74:19  <b>sarah (1)</b> 165:24  <b>saskia (81)</b> 2:3,6 3:21,25 5:5  8:9 9:20 12:19 14:18  15:3,16 17:12,14,19,24  19:8,11,12,17,18,19,20,23,24  20:11,14  21:4,7,9,10,15,20,21,22  22:16,20 23:4 24:12,15  25:7,15,24 26:2,13  32:12,16 33:18  52:6,7,8,12,16 60:17  64:17,18 65:14,16 66:9,17  69:21,25 70:24 71:4,10,23  97:13 116:24 127:23,25  128:2,3,7,9,11,14,16,18,21,24  129:1,8  <b>saskias (5)</b> 2:2 19:25  23:14,18 127:24  <b>sat (4)</b> 3:7 20:17 21:6 116:23  35:22 37:3  <b>satisfied (1)</b> 49:4  <b>satisfy (1)</b> 139:25  <b>save (6)</b> 11:18 25:19 49:4  50:7 77:8 128:19  <b>saved (2)</b> 2:19 71:9  <b>saw (74)</b> 3:25 4:1,5,8,10,11  5:5,8,16,21 6:10,17,21  7:13,24 8:1,7,8,11 9:15,21  12:18 16:1,2 17:16  18:5,11,14,24  19:2,13,22,24 20:1,11 21:3  22:16 26:18 28:4,25  29:1,16,18 30:2,18 32:6  33:18 34:4 35:8,25 37:2,5  39:16,19,23,25 40:3,10  42:25 52:6,6 60:16 101:21  109:3 126:12 145:11  162:2,3 166:13 167:9  171:6 176:12 178:19 186:2</p>	<p><b>saying (19)</b> 4:2 10:17 20:19  22:19 23:8 27:12 52:4,15  59:8 63:5 112:9 127:9  133:11 155:8,22 156:4  161:21 164:6 174:20  <b>scale (1)</b> 76:9  <b>scared (1)</b> 62:11  <b>scenario (1)</b> 78:21  <b>scene (21)</b> 2:15,25 4:16  12:2,11,18 14:24 15:17,21  17:11 22:14 26:23 40:4,11  69:24 72:13,14,15,20 73:4  87:22  <b>sceptical (2)</b> 131:24 132:20  <b>scepticism (3)</b> 132:7 161:25  179:14  <b>scheduled (1)</b> 96:25  <b>scheme (1)</b> 114:8  <b>school (4)</b> 56:13 88:4,5,7  <b>science (1)</b> 152:7  <b>scientist (1)</b> 73:13  <b>scissors (3)</b> 9:25 94:17,18  <b>scope (1)</b> 123:3  <b>scoping (1)</b> 123:4  <b>scores (1)</b> 146:25  <b>scoring (3)</b> 144:5 145:7,16  <b>scotland (1)</b> 82:25  <b>scream (2)</b> 5:4 19:10  <b>screamed (1)</b> 19:23  <b>screaming (6)</b> 3:18 5:8 18:4  21:3 30:18 32:13  <b>screams (9)</b> 3:24 19:9,25  20:10 29:25 32:10,11 52:2  60:16  <b>screened (1)</b> 106:3  <b>screening (4)</b> 79:19,20  86:23,24  <b>screenplay (1)</b> 120:3  <b>scriptures (1)</b> 146:10  <b>scrutiny (2)</b> 139:25 180:8  <b>seals (1)</b> 10:2  <b>search (6)</b> 50:9 77:14 85:6  89:18 105:9 146:13  <b>searched (5)</b> 89:7 96:9  105:2,7 107:22  <b>searches (5)</b> 31:18 77:9  83:19 167:1 175:8  <b>searching (1)</b> 82:17  <b>second (10)</b> 19:10,10 32:10  35:4 65:4,4 88:2 106:13  155:23 179:15  <b>seconds (2)</b> 4:2 34:10  <b>section (4)</b> 73:25 91:6  135:10 147:22  <b>sections (2)</b> 144:5,7  <b>secure (4)</b> 76:16 115:16  123:5 135:16  <b>secured (1)</b> 79:17  <b>security (62)</b> 1:14 6:20 7:15  31:7,10,11,13,15 45:2  46:7,8 49:4,8  50:5,12,19,22 55:13 56:16  57:4 59:23 60:14 74:20  75:4,5 76:14 77:3,5,8,15  78:20 79:5,18 80:5  82:1,2,6,14,16 83:3,18  84:4,15 85:3,20,24  86:4,7,23 90:18 103:4  114:20 115:8,17,19 121:17  125:4 164:5,19,24 172:21  173:6  <b>see (26)</b> 1:5 3:20 17:8 19:20  21:20 22:20 23:12 24:4  27:21 30:1 32:12,17 40:15  43:11 62:10 80:18  101:7,25 108:8 114:14  135:12 164:1,2 166:18  178:16 186:17  <b>seeing (4)</b> 18:6 40:12 107:6  114:13  <b>seek (6)</b> 42:17 108:24  154:16,18 155:14 167:21  <b>seeking (1)</b> 126:25  <b>seem (3)</b> 28:12 62:22 126:7  <b>seeded (11)</b> 7:3,5 21:10  35:11 40:13 42:17 43:23</p>	<p>101:19 114:18 134:23  176:12  <b>seen (21)</b> 3:11 5:20 14:7  21:19 24:12 29:5 33:14  39:24 51:24 59:8 94:6  95:21 107:15,16 125:16  146:11 161:21 168:16,22  175:3 178:6  <b>segregated (1)</b> 125:9  <b>segregation (9)</b> 42:12 59:9  62:14 105:10 108:13  169:19 173:13,24 174:3  <b>segregations (1)</b> 38:6  <b>seized (1)</b> 89:21  <b>selected (1)</b> 79:19  <b>self (1)</b> 179:12  <b>selfdefence (1)</b> 144:12  <b>selfreport (1)</b> 161:24  <b>selfreporting (1)</b> 162:1  <b>semispecialist (1)</b> 138:25  <b>semispecialists (1)</b> 140:6  <b>send (2)</b> 91:12 127:16  <b>sending (4)</b> 11:17 127:16  142:25 158:22  <b>senior (9)</b> 87:8 110:13  129:10,14 132:14 133:17  156:1 159:19,22  <b>sense (9)</b> 132:7 142:14,21,22  148:16 158:11 173:21  174:1,2  <b>sensible (1)</b> 41:15  <b>sensitive (2)</b> 151:7 159:14  <b>sent (8)</b> 11:10 51:12 58:14  80:25 120:20 122:5 127:12  174:12  <b>sentence (16)</b>  91:15,20,22,23,23  92:2,6,21 107:9 119:16  131:7,25 132:9 139:24  155:11 160:10  <b>sentenced (2)</b> 91:14 131:17  <b>sentencing (1)</b> 90:10  <b>sentiments (1)</b> 39:4  <b>separate (2)</b> 76:25 135:10  <b>separated (1)</b> 89:14  <b>separation (1)</b> 104:12  <b>september (9)</b> 59:15,21 63:3  79:13 81:1 119:5 128:13  166:4 181:3  <b>sequence (1)</b> 1</p>
--	---	---	---	---	--	--

settle (3) 10:21 11:3,10	signalled (1) 9:8	someone (28) 3:21 4:13,25	spraying (1) 28:22	stevelman (6) 27:2,25	122:19	swing (1) 34:1
settled (3) 118:11 119:18	significant (20) 42:16 44:18	5:10 8:7 9:11 21:23 27:23	springhill (4) 20:23,23 33:11	28:2,24 29:3 30:23	sudden (1) 171:17	swiping (1) 36:1
181:22	65:15 68:10,20 70:5 71:19	28:1 34:8,20 35:20 36:19	38:24	steven (1) 2:10	suffered (3) 65:16 71:4 72:25	sycamore (2) 42:21 44:6
seven (4) 40:23 88:2 96:2	72:13 73:1 85:10 92:23	47:6 51:18 62:17 68:13	spur (1) 118:9	stevenson (3) 32:6 35:6,10	suffering (1) 71:11	symbolism (1) 150:7
97:15	121:20 130:7 140:15	105:20 108:9 124:7 129:16	spurt (1) 25:4	stick (2) 35:20 172:7	sufficient (1) 177:8	sympathetic (1) 42:19
several (11) 4:15 66:22 67:9	149:9,23 150:1 153:5	143:17 150:2,14 154:18	squared (1) 64:21	still (12) 10:6 22:22 23:21	suffix (1) 160:11	system (16) 2:8 31:11 38:9
69:4 81:24 83:7 89:24	165:2,4	158:22 165:15 166:1	squash (1) 70:15	24:9 28:15 37:20,24	suggest (14) 16:24	44:20 50:2 103:14,15,25
101:13 123:11 131:8	163:22	187:7 98:12 99:8 100:1	squeezing (1) 21:25	119:23 133:18 136:10	73:17,19,23 103:5 119:21	104:7 106:20 130:5
179:25	signifon (1) 181:2	30:5 35:16 38:1 40:12	stab (15) 5:5 14:19,21 28:9	161:17 184:13	141:17 145:21 146:5	131:14,15 135:4 138:20
severe (2) 66:2 73:1	signs (4) 10:16 23:19 26:6	62:19 63:8,11,22 75:12	33:6 34:15 65:17,23 66:19	stoke (5) 90:8,8 98:12	148:24 153:15 184:19	177:16
sexual (1) 2:7	163:22	87:7 98:12 99:8 100:1	67:5,5,21 68:8,18 69:17	175:2,5	185:18 187:22	systems (2) 64:1 139:1
shadowed (1) 138:24	silage (1) 152:17	112:4 118:20 121:3 125:5	stabbed (7) 5:18 15:8 25:15	stokeontrent (3) 87:25 89:24	suggested (8) 53:2 63:7	szczotko (11) 4:8,12 12:22
shahjahan (1) 90:4	silent (1) 19:25	131:5 146:21 159:8 163:9	27:24 28:1 29:4 30:10	150:6	79:12 102:15 120:15	21:19 27:4 29:23
shall (1) 109:16	similar (3) 71:22 113:2 153:6	170:9 171:18 172:2 178:21	stabbing (3) 5:10 6:1 67:18	stomach (1) 5:19	128:11 153:11 186:3	30:7,11,18,21 60:19
shallow (1) 146:10	similarly (1) 149:21	188:4	stabblings (1) 66:6	stood (6) 36:19 50:24	suggesting (5) 47:7 93:11	
shame (1) 43:14	simon (2) 5:14 27:1	sometimes (5) 62:13	staff (37) 19:7 31:6 38:21	71:2,10,14 72:23	110:18 134:2 163:18	T
share (2) 59:5 143:17	since (12) 31:10 44:18 47:21	126:23,24 144:9 174:6	42:9 44:4 46:3 53:22 74:18	stop (10) 5:24 6:12 17:5,9	suggestion (2) 118:19 147:4	table (5) 3:7 6:6 17:17 78:7
shared (3) 141:18 145:10	62:24 74:5 87:13 96:21	somewhere (2) 14:5 177:2	77:19 82:19 84:4 85:17	21:8,10 70:11 71:1 100:3	suggests (1) 69:11	116:23
151:22	159:21,22,24 172:11	157:23	87:17,18 103:21 104:2,5	113:18	suicide (5) 29:5 32:17 33:22	tabletop (1) 86:19
sharia (1) 146:3	184:12	sophisticated (1) 90:19	105:5,11 107:9,24 111:10	stopped (10) 10:8 13:11	54:15 94:13	tackling (1) 154:11
sharing (1) 1:12	sincere (2) 97:12 126:11	sort (4) 54:15 113:12,24	119:11,21 121:22 122:13	18:17 34:3 35:22 63:6 99:9	suitability (1) 174:25	tact (20) 38:15 51:2 56:15
sharp (3) 64:20,22 65:24	single (5) 64:22 65:16,24	171:10	123:6 128:19 132:3,5	113:23 126:15 177:3	suitable (5) 59:22 103:6	57:15 58:9 61:3
sharron (1) 165:16	73:9 84:2	sorts (1) 147:17	136:24 150:24 154:17,25	stopping (3) 10:3 14:15	158:16,18 167:4	117:9,10,16 129:18,22,23
shau (2) 141:20 168:25	sink (1) 102:11	sought (5) 56:19 80:15 95:6	156:9 163:14 179:17	117:24	sum (1) 1:6	130:14 132:15 138:10,21
shaved (1) 95:2	siren (1) 39:18	77:22 181:16	staffing (1) 87:16	store (1) 94:9	sumet (1) 130:9	140:7 142:1 177:12,14
shaving (1) 94:24	sirens (2) 8:20 12:11	49:5	stafford (8) 58:18 93:8,16	stories (1) 172:3	summarise (1) 188:1	tactic (1) 111:3
sheet (2) 31:1 85:15	sisters (1) 89:6	sounded (1) 97:10	95:9 101:6 165:17 175:5	story (3) 125:13,17 170:15	summarised (1) 119:12	tactical (2) 10:23 24:10
shes (2) 24:8 173:2	sit (5) 40:21,21 55:17 79:1	sounds (1) 52:2	176:18	storyteller (1) 155:22	summary (12) 1:9,19 109:20	tactics (1) 148:4
shield (2) 12:6 34:2	109:8	source (1) 147:6	staffordshire (5) 89:6 130:15	straightaway (1) 71:5	111:12 130:21 132:3 135:9	tag (1) 157:4
shielded (1) 21:7	site (2) 64:8 153:3	sources (1) 160:20	148:10 181:7,9	straightforwardly (1) 52:24	154:20 157:9 161:13 163:1	tagging (2) 138:12 184:4
shifted (1) 36:18	sitting (1) 17:16	south (3) 9:3 15:23 121:17	stage (9) 22:2 24:23 93:14	strained (1) 178:22	165:15	taken (19) 14:8 17:5 26:4,23
shirt (1) 5:20	situation (6) 5:11 29:4 70:16	southbound (2) 8:21 13:2	106:13 119:2 163:7,8	strapping (1) 18:25	summer (5) 62:25 90:2	31:20 66:1 69:15 73:14
shock (8) 10:18 24:7 66:19	78:22 100:24 158:24	space (1) 65:8	174:23 177:9	strategic (4) 61:13 78:8	163:12 172:15 175:25	77:4 83:15 87:23 106:22
69:16 72:6 99:5,25 100:4	situations (1) 66:10	spanned (1) 166:17	staged (1) 78:6	121:24 156:17	summingup (3) 1:4,22 189:4	118:24 136:5 140:9 144:7
shocked (1) 138:2	six (6) 1:10 42:24 126:6	speak (2) 62:8 126:15	stages (2) 22:3 163:5	strategy (2) 44:25 157:20	sunday (2) 101:14 102:10	157:17 170:23 184:1
shocks (1) 24:23	129:13 161:6 178:24	speaking (9) 3:4 17:17 20:8	staircase (7) 5:8 28:7,21,25	street (6) 8:17 12:4 14:13,13	sundays (1) 101:10	184:1
shoot (3) 19:2,3 40:23	size (4) 94:10,11,12,16	79:23 101:17 122:15	32:12 33:17 34:19	16:1 22:8	sunglasses (1) 93:19	takes (2) 74:22 144:23
shooting (1) 40:17	sked (3) 14:7,11 16:1	126:13 144:11 174:5	stairs (16) 3:25 4:8 20:2,11	streets (1) 150:5	superb (1) 73:10	taking (18) 4:4,17 16:7
shop (2) 95:7,9	skelton (6) 51:12	speaking (9) 3:4 17:17 20:8	21:4,5,17 22:21 26:14	strengthened (2) 53:19,23	superficial (5) 68:7 132:21	17:13 36:24 37:4,25 40:3
shopping (1) 149:22	58:5,7,11,13,21,24 59:5	79:23 101:17 122:15	29:11,14 32:12,13 33:18	strengthening (1) 53:7	133:12 146:12 160:5	52:22 58:13 60:19 82:10
shops (2) 9:3 101:17	88:12 97:1,6 116:12,13	126:13 144:11 174:5	52:6,10	stress (1) 100:18	87:5 109:20 124:24 140:19	162:21 180:18
short (10) 2:3 3:13 7:3 55:22	118:22,25 119:13 120:13	special (7) 76:14 77:2,5	stairway (2) 3:20 29:25	stretched (1) 40:18	superintendent (1) 85:22	100:12,13 116:24 152:18
58:19 59:7 107:5 109:12	127:17,19 129:15,16,19	81:25 82:1 86:1 181:9	stake (1) 106:17	strike (1) 170:12	superiors (1) 57:22	supervise (2) 123:6 175:7
154:4 182:6	133:1,5,11,15,21	specialist (4) 11:20 86:5	stall (3) 95:11 171:3,4	striking (1) 36:25	supervised (3) 58:1 141:10	167:19
shorter (1) 108:22	134:15,20 135:12,25	130:8 138:8	stalls (3) 89:4 99:15 100:2	strips (1) 94:22	supervising (1) 177:12	talk (7) 30:3 62:17 96:22
shortlist (1) 172:20	137:18,22 138:1,2,6 139:2	speciality (1) 54:16	stamping (1) 18:19	strong (1) 174:2	supervision (5) 117:16 125:7	100:12,13 116:24 152:18
shortly (3) 62:1 107:13	140:24,24 141:1,2,3	specific (26) 42:1 54:19	stand (3) 34:24 72:21 116:8	struck (6) 30:5,6 35:21	130:1 138:6 167:3	talkative (1) 120:2
180:15	143:12,13 144:2,4 146:16	58:10 74:15 76:1 78:2 79:3	standard (4) 73:8 76:15	55:5,8 66:1	132:1 161:3 177:17	talked (1) 174:18
shot (3) 12:1,9 29:18	147:18 157:14 175:20	82:18,19 87:12 91:13	77:10 84:5	structure (1) 122:20	supervisor (9) 4:21 74:20	talking (8) 14:18 20:18
shots (3) 15:20 38:2,2	177:7,7,10 178:3 179:13	125:9 137:5,20	standards (1) 57:8	structured (3) 83:16 130:20	77:15 116:9 117:4 131:12	32:8,24 118:14 148:6
should (23) 18:9 31:21 40:8	180:5 181:17 182:19,22	147:11,19,25 148:1,4,13	standing (4) 20:1,11 48:12	160:8	132:1 161:3 177:17	151:4 181:20
60:23 76:1 83:5,8 87:9	183:6 186:11	151:6,15,23,24 153:8	155:24	structures (1) 66:3	support (21) 2:8 11:23 17:22	talks (1) 147:16
123:20 124:13 128:24	skeltons (3) 136:7 153:18	158:19	standoff (2) 34:10 156:1	struggle (1) 37:17	41:12 52:15 53:25 60:14	tamponead (1) 17:1
129:2,4,5 141:20 142:16	184:7	specifically (8) 80:11 83:11	staring (1) 19:15	struggled (1) 146:24	117:6 126:17 128:22	tape (6) 93:8,12 94:20
150:19 157:11 158:16	skills (6) 114:4 117:20,21	91:7 93:11 123:15 139:17	start (10) 1:22 21:13 32:14	stuart (1) 8:16	130:11 133:21 138:9,13	95:5 96:10
165:8 168:22 183:16 186:4	123:1,24 152:20	158:20 159:10	43:25 70:20 100:11 109:16	stuck (1) 159:3	141:7 148:14 153:18	taqiyya (3) 111:3 118:17,23
shoulder (8) 5:5 28:17	skin (3) 65:25 66:4 67:14	specifics (2) 59:6 158:8	123:10 161:25 188:6	stuck (7) 3:6 5:12 17:22	156:17 166:20,25 168:8	targeted (1) 75:11
34:12,16 36:5,6 37:14	slash (4) 66:23,25 67:2 68:8	speculative (1) 164:7	started (12) 11:2 18:18	29:23 115:13,14 173:11	supported (2) 80:12 112:13	targeting (2) 18:12 79:7
113:13	slashed (1) 28:17	speed (1) 2:16	19:10 21:10,11 22:1 28:23	students (14) 42:2,4	supportive (1) 167:6	tarig (1) 41:5
shout (1) 12:20	sled (1) 72:5	spending (2) 102:2 141:10	35:12 41:19 93:3 122:8	45:12,16,21,24 46:1 60:3	supposed (1) 62:10	taser (4) 19:1 29:19 37:23
shouted (2) 20:4 22:13	sleeping (1) 63:7	spent (7) 59:8 62:14 102:23	155:12	106:25 114:9 172:22,24	sure (6) 55:15 93:13 98:20	38:2
shouting (11) 5:8,17 18:3	sight (1) 188:5	105:10 118:14 161:6	starting (1) 40:18	173:2,3	106:17 133:9 165:3	task (2) 42:1 48:13
27:10 32:14,15 36:20	slightly (4) 36:1,13 153:8	167:10	statement (4) 96:19 109:1	studied (1) 150:3	138:2	tasked (1) 82:25
37:8,11,13 39:22	172:6	spice (1) 42:15	127:22 168:20	studies (2) 154:9 181:22	surely (2) 149:16 152:25	166:24 169:11
shouts (2) 12:1 29:25	slipped (1) 152:12	spilsbury (1) 94:3	statements (2) 68:23 172:5	study (3) 90:3 169:23 170:2	surface (2) 21:12 66:23	tasks (1) 166:19
show (7) 17:16 33:22 43:16	slipping (1) 82:11	spilsburys (1) 96:19	static (1) 187:12	studying (2) 47:6 122:14	surfer (3) 16:18 26:9,11	taxi (2) 39:10 63:19
133:21 137:12 165:1	slot (2) 80:24 110:3	spine (2) 65:20 66:1	stating (1) 184:11	styles (2) 121:16 126:13	surgical (1) 72:21	taylor (1) 16:7
183:16	slowly (3) 28:6 39:15 141:23	spirits (1) 63:9	station (12) 7:2,10 8:17,19	subclavian (2) 64:25 65:11	surprise (3) 51:20 57:10	teaching (4) 42:10 52:23
showed (10) 2:11 51:17	slurs (1) 88:4	split (1) 149:6	12:10 13:18 15:19 22:8,9	subculture (1) 108:6	130:6	89:2 128:6
64:2,23 89:2 95:8 97:1	small (3) 30:12 115:8 116:24	spoke (40) 7:4 12:8 15:16	51:18 95:18 136:3	subduing (1) 2:12	surprised (7) 44:5 57:13	teachings (1) 88:21
131:3 132:3 171:3	smaller (1) 68:12	26:20 38:15 43:23 88:14	status (2) 118:2 142:21	subject (8) 47:7 91:20 92:1,3	60:13 81:16,17 121:13	team (26) 13:14,20 14:14
showing (3) 35:25 55:6 111:8	smartphone (1) 58:2	99:13 101:20 102:9,13	statutenhancing (1) 171:6	101:10 132:10 139:15	138:2	15:16,17 33:9 42:11,14
shown (4) 41:9 48:9 51:8	smoke (1) 17:19	113:4,15,19,20 126:2,12	statutory (1) 105:20	181:13	surprising (1) 66:13	49:13 70:22 74:15,18
58:25	snapped (1) 34:17	127:1,25 137:18 139:1	stay (3) 24:16 33:14 115:21	subjects (1) 3:15	survival (4) 66:8 71:10 72:23	107:4 110:25 111:15
showstopper (1) 81:20	sniff (1) 11:12	155:24,25 156:5 161:3,4	stayed (3) 30:14 101:13	submitted (1) 81:1	94:9	112:22 120:20 128:15
shut (4) 4:4 6:21,23 101:1	snitch (1) 110:20	166:9,12 167:16,24 168:10	119:14	subsequently (1) 140:22	survived (3) 69:23 70:25	129:25 130:9,10,16 140:6
sia (1) 75:5	social (2) 142:4 147:2	169:22 170:21	steam (2) 183:6 186:25	subset (1) 74:11	72:11	156:15,16 157:5
side (1						

tended (1) 171:19  
tendon (1) 28:19  
tendons (1) 67:3  
tends (1) 31:2  
tension (1) 16:23  
term (3) 81:6 91:16,24  
terms (19) 50:17 60:14,14  
70:18 75:12 76:4,19 77:3  
132:24 140:10 141:15  
143:24 147:2 148:13  
151:21,22 152:20 169:9  
170:21  
terrible (1) 2:20  
terror (4) 78:10 79:6,15 80:3  
terrorism (17) 77:16 78:21  
79:4 86:3,7 89:15 90:6  
91:1 99:21,24 103:8  
110:23 121:13 134:5  
167:15 171:11 176:20  
terrorismrelated (1) 103:7  
terrorist (25) 43:9 49:25 50:4  
54:3,15 78:23 84:22 86:9  
89:8 91:8,11 94:7 100:15  
104:20 108:4 110:13  
127:3,5 129:4,8 130:18  
142:8 150:3 152:3 155:6  
terrorists (3) 108:6 128:25  
150:17  
tesco (2) 93:8 95:5  
test (1) 135:15  
testing (1) 175:9  
tests (2) 73:14 74:1  
tew (2) 16:2,10  
text (4) 59:15 127:12,13  
173:19  
texted (1) 63:21  
thank (5) 55:17 109:8  
153:25 188:7,9  
thats (8) 11:10 79:2 110:8  
131:17 149:11,12,14 153:7  
theatre (1) 72:21  
theme (1) 155:15  
themselves (6) 14:14 25:25  
68:13 77:6 107:10 148:12  
theological (3) 146:6,8 154:7  
theologically (1) 146:1  
theology (2) 154:9,15  
therapeutic (2) 38:7 56:15  
therefore (3) 49:23 169:23  
175:4  
theres (3) 99:10 151:13  
153:2  
theyd (4) 49:15 100:13  
101:1,25  
theyve (1) 103:18  
thing (3) 38:12 113:18 188:5  
thinking (9) 58:12 101:4  
117:20,21,24 133:3 134:17  
163:23 164:5  
thinks (1) 144:15  
thompson (1) 139:4  
thoracic (3) 16:24 65:4,20  
thoracotomy (3) 16:17 26:12  
72:8  
though (3) 43:9 67:7 149:21  
thought (55) 2:18 19:16  
23,8,17,23 25:8 29:3 34:22  
35:16 37:25 43:22 48:24  
52:3,10 53:3 54:5 56:14  
57:2 59:5 60:23 62:15  
83:15 85:8 93:18 96:2,22  
97:9,25 98:4 100:20 102:7  
110:19 111:15 112:23  
122:23 124:14 126:11  
127:10 129:1 133:3 134:9  
142:13 144:4,12,17 153:21  
158:7 161:9 163:8 165:13  
168:23 169:24 171:13  
184:21 186:19  
thoughts (3) 127:2,3,6  
thrashing (1) 10:5  
threat (1) 12:17  
threats (1) 38:19  
three (17) 22:2 33:23  
40:6,17 43:13 74:22  
90:7,9,19 96:6 99:13

125:21 129:22 161:7  
165:19 169:6 173:10  
threshold (2) 89:22 150:13  
thresholds (1) 57:8  
throw (4) 9:13 33:3,21  
113:10  
through (58) 1:17 2:5,6 4:17  
5:7 7:14 17:13 18:4 19:12  
26:17 27:18 38:9,19 48:13  
51:21 56:20 65:2,19 66:4  
67:14,25 68:16 69:11  
71:17 72:2 79:21 80:7,25  
82:11 83:9,14,15 90:13  
99:22 103:18 106:5  
109:20,24 110:2,7 112:15  
113:25 114:3,7 116:7  
129:9 137:21 138:11,23  
141:5,19 147:5 159:10  
163:13 166:16 172:24  
188:3  
throughout (5) 92:14 105:1  
125:25 132:7 182:9  
throw (1) 108:23  
throwing (3) 34:11 38:16  
98:16  
thrown (1) 88:24  
thrust (2) 28:10 35:25  
thrusting (1) 18:14  
thursday (1) 188:14  
ticket (2) 95:23,24  
ticking (1) 120:25  
tie (1) 94:20  
tier (1) 69:12  
time (79) 2:15 15:3 16:12  
24:24 28:18 37:25 38:4  
40:15 41:24 44:5,8 45:12  
46:19 49:2 51:5 55:17  
59:8,10 62:9,13,16  
66:8,10,15 73:24 75:10  
82:17 86:18 87:12 88:15  
97:20,22 99:15,15 100:10  
101:12,15,16 102:2,6  
105:1,10,14 107:17 108:20  
112:1 113:4 115:11 118:14  
120:19 122:15 125:16  
126:11 128:8 132:8 134:10  
139:16 141:11 149:10  
157:25 158:5,13 159:15  
163:20 165:6 166:12,16  
167:4,10 168:3 170:1,9  
171:13 176:3,4,22 178:7  
179:20 182:5  
times (13) 6:1 28:10  
40:17,24 42:25 62:20  
74:22 118:21 126:24 155:2  
171:16 176:22 183:21  
timetables (1) 91:13  
timings (2) 25:13 69:6  
timpsons (1) 184:8  
tip (1) 71:18  
tk (1) 93:16  
tm (2) 154:8,20  
tbn (1) 74:3  
today (1) 188:8  
toe (1) 9:23  
together (122) 2:23 5:13,15  
7:12 15:17 17:24 20:8 21:1  
29:24 30:25 31:3 32:8  
33:12 38:12 41:2,4,7,20  
42:14 44:17 45:3,5,7,22  
47:22 48:9 49:21 52:18,22  
53:5,9,11 54:10,17 56:3,19  
57:3,7,19 58:3,16 59:12  
60:1,9,20 61:22 62:12  
76:17 80:14,16,20,24  
81:9,22 82:2 89:12 105:24  
106:2,4,10,14,17  
107:2,3,4,7 108:20 109:1  
110:10 112:22 114:6,7,11  
115:4,11 116:4,10  
122:2,8,18 123:18  
124:1,13,21,25 125:12  
126:1 127:24  
128:4,8,10,13,15,24 129:9  
133:14,16 135:13,16 137:2  
142:6,16 143:8,14

147:22,24 157:21 167:25  
172:7,11,16 173:9,16  
176:11 178:18,20 179:22  
181:23 182:8,13 184:24  
185:5  
together (1) 61:17  
toilet (3) 19:14 30:12 93:17  
toilets (5) 4:25 20:3 60:19  
94:21 96:1  
told (47) 7:4 8:12,22 9:7  
12:8 14:2 17:3 18:8  
21:8,23 24:13,20,23 25:3  
26:5 28:2 46:13,22 49:6  
50:25 61:8 62:17 63:18  
81:17,23 82:4 85:19 89:9  
98:17,19 112:21 118:7,10  
121:19 134:14 137:9 138:1  
155:19 156:9 160:3  
164:3,21 169:9,15,17  
174:7 184:24  
tolerable (2) 78:17 109:25  
tomorrow (2) 188:6,9  
too (19) 3:25 15:5,7 24:21  
33:13 56:3 68:24 71:20,23  
72:24 83:20 105:12 115:10  
138:19 139:7 142:18 147:5  
157:23 168:24  
took (20) 3:15 9:14 12:6  
21:6 22:14,16 28:6 30:13  
37:5 43:13 77:18 102:4  
106:15 123:2,4 133:5  
135:15 137:24 143:12  
183:9  
tool (1) 160:6  
toolkit (1) 53:18  
tools (1) 125:7  
topic (4) 41:23 74:2 87:19  
187:21  
topelev (1) 136:10  
torn (1) 96:12  
torrential (1) 68:17  
torso (1) 30:10  
torture (1) 174:4  
total (2) 100:4 161:6  
touch (3) 63:3 116:13 172:24  
tourniquet (1) 72:4  
tourniquets (1) 10:1  
towards (37) 5:6,19,22 6:21  
7:14,15 9:13 11:2,4 13:12  
15:25 18:14 19:21  
20:12,14 21:4,5,22 26:13  
27:11,12,15 28:15,20,21  
29:14 34:13,18,18,23  
35:13 36:1 37:2 43:15  
119:11 120:10 155:11  
track (2) 64:23 99:19  
tracks (1) 100:4  
traditions (1) 97:19  
traffic (2) 31:11 39:15  
tragedy (1) 53:15  
tragic (1) 87:13  
tragically (1) 2:3  
train (7) 7:8,9 85:18,22 96:1  
136:3 184:7  
trained (6) 11:14 56:15 75:3  
138:16,18 176:22  
training (34) 41:21,22 44:23  
45:2 53:22 54:14  
56:8,9,11,16,16,17 63:25  
64:2,8,9 75:5,7 77:16,18  
79:4,17 86:14,16 91:8,11  
100:16 130:18 135:22  
138:17 141:8 151:12 155:5  
182:23  
trains (2) 95:21 184:3  
transactions (1) 95:16  
transcript (1) 185:7  
transcripts (3) 8:24 12:12  
16:12  
transferred (1) 92:6  
transparency (1) 60:25  
transparently (1) 56:24  
transport (2) 37:1,6  
trauma (1) 68:5  
traumatic (1) 16:5  
traumatised (1) 62:16

travel (7) 47:19 89:25 95:22  
183:21 184:6,7 187:19  
travelled (6) 7:12 8:19 12:11  
90:2,3 95:17  
travelling (3) 51:17,19 96:7  
treat (4) 71:13 72:14,20  
132:25  
treated (2) 174:3 176:14  
treating (1) 26:21  
treatment (12) 14:6 24:13  
26:23 66:17 69:23 71:6  
72:12,16 79:16 80:4 129:2  
173:14  
tree (2) 42:21 44:6  
trespass (1) 94:8  
trex (2) 93:7 95:5  
triage (1) 14:17  
triceps (2) 66:24 67:15  
tried (25) 6:3,11,12,13 8:4  
11:3 23:11 26:20 30:3 33:1  
36:23 37:16 40:20 44:7  
96:25 97:2 99:11 100:3,25  
101:1 102:19 113:4 127:15  
168:16 172:7  
trigger (1) 157:1  
triggered (1) 48:2  
trim (1) 94:4  
trip (6) 147:17,20 148:1,9  
151:16 183:23  
trojan (2) 9:13 10:25  
trouble (6) 38:22  
98:14,18,20 100:2 163:21  
troubled (1) 62:13  
truck (9) 135:22 151:12,23  
152:1,23,23 153:2,5  
182:23  
true (1) 62:7  
truly (1) 155:7  
trust (4) 11:14 17:21 49:8  
184:9  
trusted (1) 46:22  
trusting (1) 56:25  
truth (2) 164:7 185:13  
try (5) 21:8 34:15 42:22  
103:5 178:16  
trying (26) 3:21 18:24 20:16  
28:8 30:21 32:14 33:3 34:6  
36:14 39:25 40:5 68:13  
75:18 76:8 100:15 110:21  
113:5 134:2 155:4 162:19  
163:11 165:2 169:14  
171:23 184:23 185:25  
tsp (4) 117:21 120:14 160:24  
161:5  
tube (1) 63:22  
tui (5) 141:20,25 157:19,20  
169:2  
tun stall (1) 99:13  
turn (10) 31:24 39:6 41:1  
56:2 64:11 74:2 87:19  
100:25 126:9 154:7  
turned (6) 5:25 29:11,13  
76:11 89:20 126:15  
turning (4) 3:3 93:1 99:20  
102:21  
tusk (13) 4:11 18:14  
33:19,25 34:4,14,17,17  
35:4,25 36:2,11 39:20  
tutorial (1) 122:13  
tv (1) 101:24  
twice (6) 19:2 105:3 140:23  
143:14 167:9 185:10  
twitch (1) 23:14  
type (5) 48:14 57:10 67:5,17  
95:10  
typed (1) 120:19  
types (4) 30:25 55:10 81:22  
83:19  
typically (1) 92:17

unable (2) 51:13 146:22  
unauthorised (1) 86:22  
unaware (1) 58:9  
unbelievable (1) 100:5  
uncertainty (1) 128:17  
underarm (2) 95:1,3  
undergone (1) 184:14  
undergraduates (2) 46:17  
106:21  
underlying (2) 72:18 161:8  
undermine (1) 56:25  
undermined (1) 156:12  
underpinned (2) 150:24  
151:1  
understand (9) 42:23 56:19  
81:11 151:24 160:13  
161:15 178:15,16 181:9  
understanding (20) 41:10  
42:17 43:20 53:20 81:4,20  
83:10 87:16 128:1 140:5,9  
144:18 146:9,12 147:21,22  
148:6 151:19,25 154:16  
understood (11) 43:19 46:7  
51:20 75:6 83:12 114:8  
122:20 133:21 169:7  
177:17 178:8  
undertake (1) 184:16  
undertaken (5) 64:7 77:22  
104:24 119:20 160:25  
undertaking (1) 182:23  
undertook (8) 10:23 17:23  
29:23 56:7,15 77:16 82:21  
131:6  
undue (1) 157:17  
unemployed (1) 136:22  
unescorted (1) 56:7  
166:19 167:17  
unethical (1) 59:5  
unfortunately (2) 71:7,15  
unhappy (1) 118:19  
unity (1) 121:21  
uniquely (8) 10:22 24:11 46:9  
57:4 117:3,17 134:25  
156:16  
units (2) 11:5 105:10  
unity (2) 141:5 166:16  
universities (1) 142:12  
university (24) 3:6 41:4,8,19  
42:2 47:18 48:8 52:17 56:9  
57:22 60:23 61:14,21  
63:24,25 64:6 102:7 114:9  
115:14 127:25 167:25  
170:22 181:25 184:18  
universitybased (1) 45:20  
universities (1) 64:1  
unknown (1) 59:9  
unless (3) 78:15 125:9  
144:23  
unlikely (1) 66:16  
unmarked (1) 15:22  
unofficial (1) 89:11  
unrealistic (1) 142:20  
unsupervised (1) 58:2  
unsure (2) 61:10 128:16  
until (8) 91:5 99:4 128:17  
135:25 166:4 175:21 179:7  
188:13  
unusual (1) 166:1  
unusually (2) 117:16 121:21  
unwell (1) 3:21  
upbeat (2) 101:21 154:25  
update (3) 11:24 112:16  
160:17  
updated (3) 97:8 103:14  
176:6  
updates (3) 117:19 139:3  
157:5  
upgrade (1) 118:11  
upgraded (1) 75:15  
upon (4) 82:8 83:3 111:2  
120:4  
upper (7) 36:9 64:20 65:2  
67:11,15,20 68:15  
upset (3) 118:3 164:5,19  
upside (1) 89:20  
upstairs (3) 8:8 28:1 30:11  
upwards (1) 67:13

used (28) 7:17 14:11 16:16  
21:8 31:9,16,19 34:15  
39:19 43:9 49:9 66:3  
69:7,12,14 80:19 93:9,25  
94:19 96:14 106:19 107:2  
110:5 112:11 125:13  
135:23 164:6 174:4  
useful (2) 48:14 130:18  
user (1) 73:24  
users (1) 78:12  
uses (1) 93:12  
using (12) 8:19 12:11 31:17  
34:1,2 36:22 45:24 87:17  
111:3 118:17 162:10  
167:20  
usman  
(42) 1:25 3:4 5:23 97:20,25  
98:4,6,8,9,11,13,15,18,19,23  
99:1,5,6,8,11,14,18,20,22  
100:1,5,8,10,10,17,25  
101:2,3,8,10,15,21,22,22  
102:3,5,16  
usmani (1) 41:5  
usually (1) 60:8

171:2  
visits (1) 183:17  
vital (1) 10:16  
voice (4) 52:3 59:2 110:4,6  
voices (1) 110:6  
volunteer (1) 172:12  
vulnerabilities (2) 78:19 79:9  
vulnerability (1) 79:3  
vvip (1) 77:8

**W**

waist (1) 18:24  
waited (1) 17:7  
waiting (6) 32:23,24  
34:5,24,25 106:1  
waitress (1) 19:5  
wakefield (1) 92:7  
wales (1) 77:11  
walk (1) 102:3  
walked (2) 8:6 32:16  
walking (6) 5:19 20:12  
35:7,18 37:2 39:21  
walkthrough (1) 83:22  
wall (3) 8:5 28:6 107:14  
wallace (2) 82:24 83:7  
wands (2) 31:17 75:22  
wanting (4) 142:2 143:17  
168:10 172:19  
wardens (2) 74:11 82:21  
warehouse (1) 171:21  
warm (3) 13:25 14:5,16  
warned (1) 145:3  
warning (4) 29:17 50:15  
121:6 163:22  
warrant (1) 159:11  
warranted (1) 76:2  
wasnt (12) 13:5 35:16 58:11  
60:5 98:7 99:7 112:24  
123:15 133:9 137:16 155:4  
169:10  
watched (4) 59:7 73:6  
101:24 185:4  
watkins (7) 6:19,23  
7:13,18,24 8:10 75:10  
wax (2) 94:22 96:11  
way (21) 3:12 15:18 20:3  
22:14 33:3,5 34:6 35:6  
38:9 44:13 54:2 63:2 73:10  
83:16 98:1 110:2 118:3  
156:3 172:7 186:21 187:2  
ways (7) 53:22 110:22 112:9  
121:12 134:4 139:18  
178:16  
weaknesses (1) 85:9  
weapon (2) 6:11 78:11  
weapons (2) 66:1 144:11  
wearing (6) 5:1 11:25 35:16  
93:19 94:16,21  
web (1) 65:1  
websites (1) 171:2  
wedding (1) 89:11  
wednesday (1) 1:1  
week (5) 7:1 102:1 126:24  
167:9 176:23  
weekly (1) 186:2  
weeks (3) 1:10 62:21 187:25  
weighing (1) 23:23  
weight (1) 36:15  
weird (2) 7:5 113:11  
welcome (2) 55:25 109:18  
welcomed (1) 54:11  
welcoming (1) 53:7  
wellbeing (1) 59:4  
went (72) 2:13 4:4 5:1,7 6:6  
7:10 8:8,21 9:16,21,24  
12:6,17,21 13:1,4,8 14:16  
15:14 16:1 17:8 19:6  
20:10,14 21:3,20  
29:9,11,15,17,21 30:11,19  
32:11 33:5,6,7,19 35:1  
36:4 52:2,5 58:17 66:4  
88:5 93:11 94:8,14 95:19  
96:1,4 98:9 101:7,8 135:3  
157:4 162:7 168:14 169:22

west (5) 134:25 148:10  
 174:23 181:10 182:24  
 whack (1) 34:16  
 whacked (1) 34:21  
 whatever (1) 111:17  
 whats (1) 32:18  
 whatsoever (1) 148:22  
 whereby (3) 115:12,20 153:3  
 whilst (27) 10:7 11:23 13:9  
 16:10 17:7 19:8 23:4 27:5  
 35:1 36:1 38:11 42:12  
 61:12 70:6 88:7 112:6  
 131:11,12 133:7 139:9  
 157:16 161:15 162:1  
 163:2,13 177:19 186:1  
 whipping (1) 38:15  
 whiter (1) 165:24  
 white (4) 5:20 176:17,25  
 177:3  
 whitemoor (40) 17:22 42:7  
 46:4,12 57:20 59:20 80:18  
 92:10 102:23,24 105:25  
 106:18 107:8 111:3 112:17  
 114:5,6,12,19 115:12  
 116:17 117:3,11 121:18,20  
 122:3,11,16,21 123:10  
 126:2 135:14 143:4,9  
 158:1 159:24 167:8 171:5  
 176:14 182:14  
 whole (3) 40:25 150:23  
 168:14  
 whom (1) 138:22  
 whose (2) 51:11 92:14  
 wide (1) 67:21  
 wider (2) 58:12 108:1  
 wideranging (1) 137:12  
 width (1) 64:19  
 wife (3) 39:22 89:12 177:1  
 william (3) 14:13 15:25  
 121:16  
 williamson (4) 74:3 77:17  
 81:3 85:2  
 willing (1) 96:23  
 willingness (2) 43:17 132:4  
 willison (1) 30:16  
 window (2) 6:11 26:18  
 windows (1) 18:5  
 wing (8) 105:7,12 110:14,14  
 119:18,21 132:2,12  
 wiring (1) 96:13  
 wish (1) 76:12  
 wished (1) 41:25  
 wishing (1) 125:23  
 withdrawal (1) 95:14  
 withdrawn (1) 63:4  
 withdrew (3) 93:24 95:19  
 96:4  
 witness (1) 168:19  
 witnessed (1) 27:1  
 witnesses (4) 27:3 31:25  
 39:7 41:3  
 wolf (3) 78:10 79:6 83:2  
 woman (1) 89:10  
 wont (1) 110:2  
 wood (3) 8:17 22:8 33:20  
 wooden (2) 33:4 35:20  
 woodhill (2) 92:10,11  
 work (28) 2:5,7 38:8 47:19  
 53:4,12 60:20 63:2 78:2  
 96:24 99:8 103:17 106:6  
 114:23 124:1 125:20,24  
 126:5 129:9 132:4,19  
 144:6 149:24 160:19 166:8  
 169:15 170:20 176:5  
 worked (18) 42:10 49:21  
 53:17 59:18 61:19 76:15  
 109:2 130:8 134:20 148:7  
 154:9,10 159:21,23 163:17  
 165:17 169:2 173:9  
 worker (1) 17:23  
 working (15) 41:19 44:17,19  
 50:3 54:7 102:24 128:6,12  
 130:11 135:15 141:3,4  
 153:3 165:16 184:20  
 workload (1) 136:7  
 workshop (2) 3:3,3

workshops (1) 86:13  
 worried (4) 99:17 161:20  
 162:6 176:13  
 worrying (1) 162:5  
 worth (1) 187:25  
 wouldnt (4) 100:5,17 107:16  
 148:25  
 wound (28) 14:19 20:21  
 21:7,14 22:5 23:21,25 24:5  
 25:1,6 26:8 30:13 64:19,24  
 65:3,17,23 66:19,23,25  
 67:5,6,6,17,21 68:18  
 69:13,17  
 wounds (6) 6:14 8:11 14:22  
 22:24 24:4 68:8  
 wrist (3) 21:20 23:15,16  
 wrists (1) 36:12  
 write (1) 117:8  
 writing (12) 3:2 45:16 50:21  
 62:22 113:14 116:6 119:21  
 123:18 142:11 166:11  
 173:16,23  
 written (5) 51:2 79:13 120:3  
 174:13,15  
 wrong (9) 3:10,11 99:11,19  
 100:7 111:18 163:24  
 170:3,4  
 wrongdoing (1) 177:23  
 wrote (3) 63:11 122:7 184:13  
 ws5 (1) 37:18

---

X

---

xbox (2) 102:3 137:24

---

Y

---

yards (1) 18:17  
 year (5) 57:23 74:22 107:5  
 119:17 136:1  
 years (19) 88:13 89:13  
 91:16,18,23,24,25 92:1,3  
 97:15 102:25 106:1 107:8  
 115:3 125:25 165:18,19  
 173:8,10  
 yelled (1) 7:21  
 young (7) 1:24 66:9 89:10  
 106:25 108:10 127:17  
 131:23  
 younger (1) 97:15  
 youngest (1) 88:2  
 youre (6) 32:19 38:18,18  
 55:15 62:19 82:6  
 yous (1) 32:19  
 youth (1) 43:10  
 youve (5) 109:15 110:5  
 150:18 187:24,25  
 yx97 (3) 9:12 12:8,9

---

Z

---

zero (2) 149:20 150:18  
 zone (3) 13:25 14:5,16

---

I

---

1 (8) 9:13 10:25  
 64:3,4,5,9,17 189:4  
 10 (6) 34:10 65:6,25 88:1  
 129:19 131:18  
 100 (4) 18:17 92:17 104:17  
 127:5  
 1000 (1) 1:2  
 1015 (2) 19:9 24:25  
 1030 (2) 188:6,13  
 1036 (1) 93:23  
 1055 (1) 17:15  
 11 (4) 41:20 136:1 167:8  
 175:23  
 1109 (1) 94:2  
 112 (1) 94:8  
 1121 (1) 55:21  
 1143 (1) 55:23  
 12 (5) 82:22 111:13 126:1  
 182:1 183:17  
 1258 (1) 109:11  
 13 (4) 80:10 92:11 97:20  
 183:19

1336 (1) 94:14  
 1337 (1) 4:20  
 1339 (1) 4:20  
 1345 (1) 19:6  
 1347 (1) 17:19  
 1354 (1) 94:17  
 1356 (3) 17:25 70:19 72:1  
 1357 (5) 3:16 5:21 17:25  
 70:19 72:2  
 1359 (1) 4:7  
 14 (4) 137:23 169:13 182:17  
 187:17  
 1405 (2) 13:13 21:18  
 1406 (2) 8:25 12:25  
 1407 (1) 8:25  
 1409 (2) 11:10 13:2  
 1411 (1) 23:7  
 1414 (1) 10:16  
 1415 (2) 10:16 12:13  
 1416 (1) 10:16  
 1417 (2) 10:17 24:2  
 1421 (1) 14:4  
 1422 (2) 14:14 25:11  
 1423 (1) 25:14  
 1424 (1) 16:4  
 1425 (1) 26:2  
 1426 (3) 15:17 16:13 26:12  
 1429 (1) 16:19  
 1433 (1) 17:6  
 145 (1) 174:21  
 1453 (1) 26:24  
 14th (1) 183:22  
 15 (8) 14:21 25:17 34:10  
 55:17 95:13 105:14 115:5  
 134:15  
 15page (1) 136:6  
 16 (3) 91:16,24 130:7  
 17 (5) 67:16 80:2,11 134:12  
 182:12  
 18 (2) 67:12 154:23  
 19 (1) 120:5  
 1960s (1) 97:16  
 1980s (1) 97:17  
 1991 (1) 88:1  
 1998 (1) 102:23

---

2

---

2 (10) 4:24 5:16 27:23 32:9  
 35:14 42:12 67:3 109:8  
 133:17 181:15  
 20 (7) 43:1 92:11 93:7,24  
 101:22 165:18 184:2  
 200 (5) 6:9,25 8:18 11:1 18:2  
 2004 (4) 88:2 97:20,23 98:3  
 2005 (2) 98:3,5  
 2007 (1) 154:10  
 2008 (2) 99:3 159:21  
 20082010 (1) 89:2  
 2009 (2) 88:23 98:15  
 201 (1) 109:13  
 2010 (11) 42:7 89:9,23  
 90:2,18,21 91:4 98:5  
 99:3,20 100:8  
 2012 (11) 44:18 86:18 91:14  
 92:7 107:11 129:11  
 130:14,19 131:6,7 139:14  
 2013 (5) 91:21 92:8  
 103:13,15 107:19  
 2014 (9) 45:3 56:8 89:13  
 92:9 107:23 131:20  
 159:22,24 172:11  
 2015 (2) 107:24 132:1  
 2016 (11) 64:1 92:11  
 114:5,10 117:8,10,21  
 121:19 132:9,14 184:12  
 2017 (31) 42:13 48:9 50:22  
 56:12 64:4 74:5  
 108:11,13,16 110:10 115:3  
 118:1,6,13,22,25 119:5  
 123:18 127:24 128:2  
 129:11,14 132:16 133:1  
 139:12 160:1,17 161:1  
 177:11,13,21  
 2018 (62) 42:14,20 44:3,23  
 62:2 64:5 77:22 78:1 80:14  
 86:15 91:5 92:11 100:8

101:5 110:12,16,18  
 111:5,8,12,20 112:2,7  
 115:3 116:8 119:16,24  
 120:11,12 121:5,10 128:9  
 133:15 138:18  
 139:12,14,22 154:20  
 157:8,13 159:23,25 160:18  
 161:1,7 163:25 165:1  
 166:17 169:3 172:15  
 177:24 178:7,11,18  
 179:1 176:24 180:1,2,9,23  
 187:11  
 2019 (75) 1:25 2:24 31:16  
 41:20 48:19 51:9 58:5  
 62:25 63:3 64:17 73:19  
 75:20,22 77:10,13,16 78:9  
 79:13,25  
 80:10,17,17,20,22 82:22  
 86:6 96:21,25 101:22  
 112:18 116:17 121:19  
 126:1,22 128:3,13,21  
 130:7 134:12,15 135:2,18  
 136:5 137:1 140:3,17  
 141:17,25 143:4,13,19  
 144:2 154:23 155:24  
 157:18,22 158:8 165:18  
 166:17 169:1 170:25 172:4  
 173:12 175:23 177:12  
 181:1,1,3,15,24 182:10  
 185:10,11 186:16 187:8  
 2020 (2) 52:20 83:22  
 2021 (4) 1:1 56:10 64:6  
 188:14  
 205 (3) 8:2 21:15 22:1  
 209 (1) 23:3  
 21 (4) 91:23 96:25 183:2  
 186:16  
 210 (1) 6:25  
 212 (1) 25:22  
 215 (1) 93:15  
 22 (6) 93:15 143:19 147:9  
 160:10 178:11 179:16  
 220 (1) 13:18  
 22nd (1) 183:4  
 23 (2) 118:25 181:21  
 24 (2) 166:4 181:5  
 25 (1) 181:24  
 2530 (1) 39:16  
 26 (3) 1:1 125:20 127:1  
 27 (5) 80:22 91:4 111:12  
 185:11 188:14  
 27th (1) 144:3  
 28 (6) 42:20 93:22 97:7  
 102:9 127:7 184:8  
 29 (18) 1:25 2:24 47:20,23  
 60:15 75:10 77:13 82:20  
 84:12 85:8,14 94:16 95:17  
 110:20 137:25 163:25  
 173:4 174:18

---

3

---

3 (2) 137:11 183:13  
 30 (5) 39:24 95:19 96:5  
 129:21 141:17  
 300 (1) 129:22  
 306 (1) 154:3  
 322 (1) 154:5  
 34 (1) 35:24  
 38 (1) 125:25

---

4

---

4 (7) 42:13 59:21 127:8  
 167:8 168:9 178:18 187:14  
 400 (3) 80:23,25 181:2  
 4044 (1) 121:21  
 410 (2) 110:3 188:12  
 430 (1) 110:2  
 Apiece (1) 96:13

---

5

---

5 (8) 24:20 58:17 64:19 67:2  
 91:6 112:7 134:1 183:17  
 50 (1) 35:22  
 510 (6) 11:3 24:18 26:1  
 30:1,14 93:10

515 (1) 95:4  
 525 (1) 93:10

---

6

---

6 (3) 79:15 80:11 83:1  
 600 (1) 102:10  
 68 (1) 6:18

---

7

---

72 (1) 67:21  
 730 (1) 7:6  
 739 (1) 95:18  
 744 (1) 95:22

---

8

---

8 (2) 91:18 177:24  
 847 (1) 96:1

---

9

---

9 (2) 81:1 91:14  
 908 (1) 96:4  
 911 (1) 6:8  
 915 (1) 96:5  
 99 (1) 123:9  
 999 (1) 6:8